

## **Response to Appeals to the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT) Policy**

### **Objective**

The objective of this policy is to clarify the role, responsibility and accountability of the Council and City Officers in respect to decisions it makes which are the subject of an application for review to the SAT.

### **Policy Statements**

Council decision consistent with Officer's recommendation:

- Where a Council decision is the same or essentially the same as an Officer's recommendation, or corrects or improves the content of an Officer's recommendation, then the responsible Officer or another Officer nominated by an Executive Director or the Chief Executive Officer, shall provide a written response to an application for review on behalf of the Council or attend a mediation or tribunal hearing as required in order to represent the Council's position.
- Elected Members attending mediation sessions do so on a voluntary basis as community members and as observers; not as a representative of Council.
- The outcome of any mediation relating to a decision made at a Council meeting conducted as part of an application for review is to be reported to Council so that a formal response to the SAT on the mediation can be made.

Council decision contrary to the Officer's recommendation: Where a decision of the Council is the subject of an application for review to the SAT and that decision was contrary to the Officer's recommendation then, in the interests of the Council and the Officer:

- Unless otherwise determined by Council, the mover and seconder of the motion will have first option to represent Council.
- Council will be represented by a private consultant or a person appointed by the relevant Executive Director.
- Council's elected member representatives will prepare the brief for the appointment of the consultant or advocate, with the assistance of Council officers, as determined appropriate by the Chief Executive Officer.
- City officers shall provide all necessary information to the nominated Members of the Council, consultant or advocate to assist in the compilation of a response or a witness statement.
- In the event of City officers being subpoenaed, Council acknowledges that officers will be required to give evidence at a SAT hearing in support of the officer's recommendation, acknowledging that the evidence given may be contrary to the Council decision the subject of the appeal.

### **Scope**

This policy applies to decisions of Council.

### **Review**

This policy and procedure is to be reviewed by the document owner every two years.

### **Legislation Relating to this Policy**

- *Local Government Act 1995*
- *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996* Regulation 11

<b>Document Approval</b>			
<b>Document Development Officer:</b>		<b>Document Owner:</b>	
Manager Governance & Risk (MGR)		Chief Executive Officer	
<b>Document Control</b>			
<b>File Number - Document Type:</b>	CM.STD. 7 – Council Policy		
<b>Document Reference Number:</b>	NP1767021		
<b>Meta Data: Key Search Terms</b>	Council Policy Position, Decision, Appeal, State Administrative Tribunal.		
<b>Status of Document:</b>	<b>Council decision:</b> Adopted & Reviewed.		
<b>Quality Assurance:</b>	Executive Management Team Corporate Services & Finance Team		
<b>Distribution:</b>	Public Document		
<b>Document Revision History</b>			
<b>Version</b>	<b>Author</b>	<b>Version Description</b>	<b>Date Completed</b>
1.0	MGR	Adoption Ref: OCM 24/06/2014 Item CSF092. NP1437872.	27/06/2014
2.0	MGR	Review Ref: OCM 23/05/2017 Resolution CCCS028	20/06/2017