3.4: PANEL OF SUPPLIERS CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT – CONTRACT C10027

Proponent : City of Albany

Business Entity Name: Various, detailed at Paragraph 5.

Responsible Officer : Executive Director Works and Services (K Ketterer)

IN BRIEF

 Tender C10027 – Panel of Suppliers Construction Equipment - be AWARDED to various suppliers.

ITEM 3.4: RESPONSIBLE OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

The Panel of Suppliers Construction Equipment Tender (C10027) – be AWARDED in the following suppliers:

- Albany Industrial Services for acceptance of the tendered rates.
- Bill Gibbs Excavations for acceptance of the tendered rates.
- Palmer Earthmoving Pty Ltd for acceptance of the tendered rates.
- Great Southern Sands for acceptance of the tendered rates.

Condition:

Allocations of work be assigned to the lowest tenderer in each equipment classification, and should the equipment not be available as required, the next lowest tenderer be approached.

(ABSOLUTE MAJORITY)

BACKGROUND

 The City of Albany is seeking to establish a Panel of Suppliers for the supply of construction plant and equipment for a twelve month term. The availability of this type of equipment will be accessed to augment the City of Albany's own resources during peak construction and maintenance periods. The City of Albany's current contract for the Panel of Suppliers expired in October 2010.

DISCUSSION

2. Tenders were asked to provide hire rates for items of plant, with an operator supplied. These preferred suppliers will be used on an 'as and when' basis with no guarantee of the amount of work required by the City of Albany.

| CEO: | RESPONSIBLE OFFICER: |
|------|----------------------|

ITEM 3.4 141 ITEM 3.4

ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING AND BRIEFING AGENDA 15/03/11 **REFER DISCLAIMER**

- The tender documents included tender evaluation criteria using the weighted attribute method. This method scores the evaluation criteria and weights their importance to determine an overall point score for each tender.
- 4. The tenders were assessed in two stages.
 - Stage 1 Compulsory Compliance provide evidence of current insurances and evidence of an In House Safety Management Plan.
 Tenders must comply with the Compulsory Stage 1 before further evaluation is carried out. In the case of this tender, all tenderers were compliant with Stage 1 of the assessment.
 - Stage 2 Assessment of Cost, Technical Compliance and Reliability of Contractor
- 5. The criteria used for this stage of the assessment is documented below

| Criteria | % Weight |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Cost | 60% |
| Technical Compliance & Experience | 20% |
| Reliability of Contractor | 20% |
| TOTAL | 100% |

- 6. Tenderers were required to submit rates per hour, as well as standby rates and mobilisation/demobilisation rates.
- 7. For the purposes of the assessment, the allocations of working and standby times comprising the standard 40 hour evaluation week, have been set at 38hrs working and 2 hours stand-by, with 2 occurences of mobilisation/remobilisation.
- 8. Tenders from a total of four suppliers were received. These suppliers are listed below

| Tenderer | Abbreviation for | Local Preference |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| renderer | Evaluation Purposes | Qualification |
| Bill Gibbs Excavations | Bill Gibbs | Yes |
| Palmer Earthmoving Pty Ltd | Palmer | Yes |
| Great Southern Sands | GSS | Yes |
| Albany Industrial Services Pty Ltd | AIS | Yes |

9. The following tables summarize the tender evaluation scores. The hourly rates for the hire, stand by and mobilisation have not been included in the table as these are "commercial in confidence", and should not be made publically available.

ITEM 3.4 142 **ITEM 3.4**

Excavator 18 Ton

| Tender | Equipment | Score |
|------------|---------------|--------|
| Bill Gibbs | Kobelco SK200 | 503.37 |
| Palmer | Cat 318BL | 416.8 |

Excavator 20 Ton

| Tender | Equipment | Score |
|------------|------------------|--------|
| AIS | Hitachi ZX230 | 465.60 |
| Bill Gibbs | Komatsu PC220 | 421.00 |
| Palmer | Cat 318BL | 383.20 |
| GSS | Volvo EC 210C | 530.20 |

Excavator 25 Ton

| Tender | Equipment | Score |
|--------|---------------|--------|
| Palmer | Cat 322CL | 360.40 |
| GSS | Volvo EC 240B | 559.60 |

Excavator 30 Ton

| Tender | Equipment | Score |
|------------|------------------|--------|
| GSS | Volvo EC 290C | 602.20 |
| Bill Gibbs | Hyundai 290 | 501.40 |
| Palmer | Volvo EC360CL | 277.00 |

Bulldozer 8.6 Ton

| Tender | Equipment | Score |
|--------|-------------|--------|
| AIS | Komatsu D53 | 420.00 |

Bulldozer 22 Ton

| Tender | Equipment | Score |
|--------|-------------|--------|
| AIS | Komatsu D85 | 420.00 |

Bulldozer 37 Ton

| Tender | Equipment | Score |
|--------|--------------------|--------|
| GSS | Cat D7R | 526.00 |
| Palmer | Komatsu D85A-21 | 394.00 |

Bulldozer 45 Ton

| Tender | Equipment | Alt A Score |
|--------|-----------------|-------------|
| GSS | Cat D9R | 506.20 |
| Palmer | Komatsu D155A-2 | 469.00 |
| Palmer | Cat D9N | 404.80 |

Padfoot Roller

| Tender | Equipment | Alt A Score |
|--------|-----------|-------------|
| GSS | Cat CP563 | 587.20 |
| Palmer | Dynapac | 332.80 |

Loader

| Tender | Equipment | Alt A Score |
|------------|---------------|-------------|
| AIS | Komatsu WA250 | 498.00 |
| GSS | Volvo 150F | 433.00 |
| Bill Gibbs | Hyundi 760-7 | 409.00 |

Truck (Semi Tipper)

| Tender | Equipment | Alt A Score |
|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| GSS | Nissan | 506.80 |
| | UD 445 | 500.60 |
| AIS | Nissan | 459.60 |
| | UD 400 | 459.00 |
| Palmer | FH12 | 431.80 |
| Bill Gibbs | Iveco Powerstar | 401.80 |

Truck (Tandem)

| Tender | Equipment | Alt A Score |
|------------|------------|-------------|
| GSS | Nissan | 513.40 |
| | UD 385 | |
| AIS | Nissan | 496.20 |
| | UD 300 | 490.20 |
| Palmer | Nissan UD | 395.80 |
| Bill Gibbs | Iveco 4300 | 394.00 |

- 10. Should there be a requirement for other equipment not on the preferred supplier listing, then the normal procedure for quoting out for these services will apply.
- 11. In practice it is often the case that equipment ordered from a recommended successful supplier is often not available due to it being allocated to another contractor or is working on another site. It is then standard practice to approach another supplier who has the same or equivalent equipment to supply the equipment, even though this second supplier is not the lowest tenderer. It would therefore be recommended that more than one tenderer be approved per item of equipment in order to satisfy the operational requirements of the Works Department.
- 12. In these cases, the lowest tenderer would be the default contractor, with the second and third contractors being approached only if the required equipment is not available from the lowest tenderer when required.

PUBLIC CONSULTATION/ENGAGEMENT

13. A request for tenders was published in the West Australian on 9 October 2010 and the Albany Weekender on 7 October 2010.

GOVERNMENT CONSULTATION

14. Nil

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

- 15. Regulation 11 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 (Regulations) requires Council to publicly tender if the contract is, or is expected to be, more, or worth more, than \$100,000.
- 16. Regulation 18 of the Regulations outlines a number of requirements relating to choice of tender. Council is to decide which of the acceptable tenders is the most advantageous to Council. It may also decline to accept any tender.
- 17. Regulation 19 of the Regulations requires Council to advise each tenderer is writing the result of Council's decision:

ITEM 3.4 ITEM 3.4 145

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

- 18. The expected value of plant hire in the 2011/12 financial year is expected to be in excess of \$250 000. The approval of this tender is therefore referred to Council for consideration and award.
- 19. Tenderers were required to provide a schedule of rates for their services, including a standby rate and mobilisation / demobilisation rates. The supply of hire of construction equipment is budgeted for in the capital works and maintenance budgets. The tendered prices are within those allocations.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS & ALIGNMENT TO CORPORATE PLAN

20. This item directly relates to the following elements from the Albany Insight ~ Beyond 2020 Corporate Plan...

"Community Vision: Nil

Priority Goals and Objectives: Goal 4: Governance ... The City of Albany will be an industry leader in good governance and service delivery.

Objective 4.2 The City of Albany will manage our municipal assets to ensure they are capable of supporting our growing community.

City of Albany Mission Statement: At the City of Albany we are results driven and accountable. We provide best value in applying council and community resources, and we apply Council funds carefully."

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

- 21. Council Policy Buy Local (Regional Price Preference) is applicable to this item.
- 22. This policy has been considered in the evaluation of the weighted scores.

ALTERNATE OPTIONS & LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

23. The City is not bound to accept the lowest or any tender and has the right to accept any tender or part of any tender.

SUMMARY CONCLUSION

24. The City has undergone a competitive process in line with the relevant legislation and established policies. All the contractors that submitted tenders have provided construction plant and equipment to Council previously. To date, the quality of service has been acceptable to Council.

ITEM 3.4 146 **ITEM 3.4**

| Consulted References | Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 Council Policy – Purchasing (Tenders & Quotes) Council Policy – Buy Local (Regional Price Preference) |
|----------------------------|---|
| File Number (Name of Ward) | C10027 (All Wards) |
| Previous Reference | OCM 14/12/10 Item 3.3 |