	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
1	The Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) considers that the proposed scheme amendment should not be assessed under Part IV Division 3 of the <i>Environmental</i> <i>Protection Act 1986</i> (EP Act).	Nil.	Advice noted.			
2	No response received.	Nil.	Nil.			
3	No response received.	Nil.	Nil.			
4	ATCO Gas do not have any objection to the proposed modification for the Local Planning Scheme subject to the Medium Pressure Gas Pipelines and Gas infrastructure being recognised and factored into any future designs for the areas where the ATCO Gas assets will be impacted.		Submission noted.			
	Any impact on the gas infrastructure and network due to the redevelopment may require the gas infrastructure to be relocated. ATCO Gas requests the proponents contact Engineering Services if this is identified. Atco Gas requests early consultation with proponents prior to any pre-construction field work studies being undertaken, any					

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	ground truthing/disturbance occurring or proposed crossing designs being finalised.					
5	No response received.	Nil.	Nil.			
6	Some off-site water and sewerage upgrades may be required, depending on the final development density, but the servicing issues affecting the servicing of the site are well summarised in the engineering report attached to the Structure Plan. Some sections of the Water Corporation water mains and gravity sewer and a private wastewater pressure main traverse the site and will need to be relocated out of the site onto acceptable alignments with existing or future road reserves. The proponents will also need to undertake	Water Corporation's advice will be relevant to subsequent subdivision and development stages.	Submission noted.			
	upgrades of the existing undersized water reticulation mains by replacing the 80mm cast iron mains with a minimum of 100mm along Flinders Drive frontage and along Marine Terrace and Adelaide Crescent, as depicted on the Wood & Grieve Engineers' sketch plan attached to the servicing report. The final details of the water main upgrades					

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Recommendation
			Recommendation
	will be determined by the Water		
	Corporation at the subdivision and/or		
	development stages.		
7	No response received.	Nil.	Nil.
8	No response received.	Nil.	Nil.
9	All issues appear to be addressed and	Nil.	Submission noted.
	DFES Great Southern Region has no		
	further comment.		
10	No response received.	Nil.	Nil.
11	The proposed scheme amendment and	Tourism WA's comments regarding the	Submission noted.
	structure plan will enable the development	distribution of hotel/short-stay rooms	
	of an integrated precinct that recognises its	and permanent residential apartments	
	importance, providing scope for tourist	in any future hotel/mixed use	
	accommodation and an activity centre with	development will be relevant at the	
	supporting infrastructure including cafes	development stage.	
	and restaurants.		
		City staff note the recommendation to	
	The site, which previously included the	make 'small bar' a 'D' or discretionary	
	Esplanade Hotel, has been vacant since	land use, rather than an 'A' use, which	
	2007 when the hotel was demolished. This	would require public advertising prior to	
	has left a significant gap in the tourism	any approval being issued. However,	
	accommodation offer of the Great Southern	City staff consider that maintaining	
	region. The scheme amendment is	'small bar' as an 'A' use is consistent	
	considered by Tourism WA as a critical	with the zoning provisions that apply to	
	element in facilitating investment and	the surrounding area.	
	utilisation of this site to fill this gap, and the		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

	Cumment of Culturisation Officer Comment				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	development of a high end hotel in this				
	location.				
	Tourism WA notes the creation of a Special				
	Use Zone that recognises the Middleton				
	Beach Activity Centre as a potential key				
	tourist node, and the development of a built				
	form and active beach front that reinforces				
	this position. In particular, Tourism WA				
	considers that the provision for additional				
	height requirements (up to 12 storeys) and				
	realignment of Flinders Parade to create				
	direct access to the beachfront are critical				
	elements of the hotel/mixed use				
	component of the precinct and scheme				
	amendment. The potential for Flinders				
	Parade to be significantly pedestrianised,				
	traffic calmed and potentially closed for				
	events such as markets and festivals is				
	recognised and supported.				
	The Special Use Zone will enable the				
	potential development of a landmark				
	building that corresponds to its locality, and				
	the creation of a precinct with a mix of uses				
	and activities. However, it is noted that the				
	proposed zoning and land uses permit				
	multiple dwellings within the hotel/mixed				
	use precinct and that the Middleton Beach				

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	Activity Centre Structure Plan document				
	specifies that residential development can				
	occur at upper levels on this site (page 40).				
	Tourism WA recommends that to protect				
	the tourism integrity of this site, a condition				
	should be included in the schedule, which				
	requires the hotel/short stay rooms to be				
	located on the upper floors and/or the				
	component of the site with the highest				
	tourist amenity (e.g. facing the ocean). This				
	is consistent with the provisions of Planning				
	Bulletin 83 – Planning for Tourism and				
	ensuring that tourism is the key focus of the				
	development of this significant tourism site.				
	Tourism WA supports the creation of mixed				
	use precincts and the opportunities for				
	tourist facilities, restaurants, cafes and				
	short stay accommodation as outlined in				
	the amendment report. These will add to				
	the vibrancy of the location and its				
	attraction as a destination for visitors and				
	residents.				
	Significantly, these mixed use precincts will				
	also support the proposed hotel and				
	associated investment by providing				

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
-			Recommendation
	complementary infrastructure and add		
	value to the overall development of this		
	area. In this regard, uses which encourage		
	activation and vibrancy both day and night		
	(primarily those focused on food and		
	beverage premises) should be facilitated,		
	including small bars and taverns.		
	It is noted that small bars are listed as an		
	'A' use in the proposed land use table (page		
	41). It is recommended that this be		
	amended to a 'D' use, requiring Council		
	discretion without the need to give special		
	notice to support this type of development.		
	The redevelopment of this site represents a		
	significant opportunity to create a popular,		
	vibrant destination for locals and visitors		
	alike. However, as with all mixed use		
	precincts which have an element of evening		
	and night time activity, careful management		
	is required to ensure that potential conflicts		
	are identified and addressed at an early		
	stage. In particular, appropriate		
	attenuation and mitigation measures to		
	manage noise is considered by Tourism		
	WA to be important in achieving the		

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1							
AN	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	envisaged tourism outcome and should be reflected in the Scheme Amendment.						
12	No response received.	Nil.	Nil.				
	The Department of Water advises that it has no comment on the Scheme Amendment Report. The Department has assessed and reviewed the Local Water Management Strategy prepared for Land Corp as part of the Middleton Beach Activity Centre Structure Plan (various consultants) and is satisfied with the document. The strategies contained within the Local Water Management Strategy are considered the best outcome based on the constraints of the site. These strategies have been adopted in the stormwater management concept, in addition to retaining up to the 5yr ARI storm event. Although the approach used within the Local Water Management Strategy is supported by the Department, the following additional advice should be noted:	The City of Albany and Landcorp are currently working to upgrade the stormwater management arrangements within the Activity Centre area.	Submission noted.				

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	The Local Water Management Strategy				
	on HPRM was not complete and as				
	such Appendix 5 and 6 could not be				
	reviewed, which relate to the				
	Stormwater Management Plan and				
	Drainage Plan.				
	Section 5 of the Local Water				
	Management Strategy outlines the				
	stormwater management proposed,				
	which based on the sites constraints				
	seems the most appropriate. However,				
	it was noted in Section 5.1 it was stated				
	that:				
	<ul> <li>First dot point, pre and post</li> </ul>				
	development flows would be				
	maintained. This is inconsistent with				
	the subsequent investigations by				
	WGE who put forward options of how				
	discharges could be reduced to avoid				
	impacting amenity on the beach.				
	$_{\odot}$ Third dot point said to retain and				
	infiltrate the 1yr ARI event. The				
	department recommends that this				
	rainfall event is managed as high in				
	the catchment as possible, it does				
	not need to be retained and				
	infiltrated. That said due to the very				
	high permeability of the underling				

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

<b></b>					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	sands the most appropriate				
	management is infiltration.				
	Section 5.2 of the Local Water				
	Management Strategy states that fill				
	level may need to be raised to ensure				
	soakwells remain above the maximum				
	groundwater level. However, there are				
	alternative shallower systems that could				
	be used instead of soakwells such as				
	Atlantis cells. This provides the ability to				
	reduce fill requirements, where the				
	controlling factor is not separation from				
	flood.				
	WGE letter outlines that Landcorp				
	intend to:				
	<ul> <li>Reduce discharges to the beach and</li> </ul>				
	improve the drainage and area				
	where possible, with the aim of				
	retaining and infiltrating up to the 5yr				
	ARI event. The approach include				
	use of sub-surface infiltration devices				
	within the beach foreshore area				
	upstream of the discharge locations.				
	o Maximise detention in the system				
	with the use of vegetated swales in				
	median strips and use of soakage				
	pits on site.				

#### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Oncer comment	Recommendation
	The subject area is adjacent to Middleton	NII.	Submission noted.
	Beach (Place No. 17520), which the		
	Heritage Council's Register Committee has		
	identified as a place warranting		
	assessment for possible entry in the State		
	Register of Heritage Places. One of the		
	key values of this area is the group of		
	Norfolk Island Pine Trees planted in the		
	1940s within the foreshore reserve.		
	We note the proposed structure plan		
	proposes an area of public open space that		
	incorporates and extends the foreshore		
	area and retains the avenue of Norfolk		
	Island Pines. A small number of trees are		
	noted for removal or relocation; however,		
	these are outside the area considered to		
	have heritage significance.		
	The grant of Osherry Arrest described		
	The proposed Scheme Amendment gives		
	due regard to the Structure Plan and		
	recognises the 'iconic location' of the public		
	foreshore reserve.		
	Overall the amendment is not considered to		
	negatively impact on identified heritage		
	places and there is therefore no objection		
	to the proposal.		

#### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff			
			Recommendation			
14	Asking if the City of Albany and LandCorp have consulted with the State Heritage Council regarding the Middleton Beach Development plan. The area from Ellen Cove to Flinders Parade is listed with the Heritage Council, with reference number; Heritage Place No. 17520 – Middleton Beach, – Middleton Beach Arising from nomination of P17771 Norfolk Pine Trees Albany Middleton Beach Landscape Arising from nomination of P17771 Norfolk Pine Trees Albany, and utilities Heritage List – YES on 30 December 1983 Constructed from 1940.	addresses the matter of heritage in	Submission noted.			
15	but had noticed there was no reference to heritage consultation in the plan.         Concerned that height will look out of place and degrade the unique low key attributes of the beach. Suggests four to five storeys is a more appropriate limit.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.			
16	Concerned regarding the height of the proposed Hotel/Mixed Use area. States that 12 storeys would be too high and would	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMI	ENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH SCHEDULE OF S		PLAN	
No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation	
		spoil a beautiful area. A maximum of 3 storeys would be better.			
17		In opinion that a building of six stories or above would not be in keeping with the glorious location of Ellen Cove. Low impact, low rise of not more than four stories would be more suitable for the location. The view through the trees to the sea and beyond belongs to everyone, not a few staying for a short while.	-	Submission noted.	
18		In opposition to proposed 12-storey development as she believes that it will destroy what they have there. The area is unique and unspoilt. Visitors to the area feel like they have discovered a well-kept secret. Doesn't think that [we] need development like this at the beach and there are many other places that would be more suited to it. She much prefer to have nothing than a massive building dominating the beachfront. Comments such as 'we need to be dragged kicking and screaming into the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century' are stupid, as I am not ashamed of liking our town the way it is and hope to keep it that way.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.	

#### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
19	States that unless the multistorey	Items one and nine of the key issues	Submission noted.
	Hotel/Mixed Use precinct is removed from	table address the matters of building	
	the proposal we strongly oppose the	•	
	amendment on grounds of landscape	-	
	considerations, loss of existing public		
	amenity near the 'Anchors precinct', visual	The development is not expected to	
		<b>o</b>	
	residents (particularly Spencer Park, Mira		
	Mar, Mount Clarence, Middleton Beach)		
	and the total lack of sympathy/sense of	-	
	place regarding some of Albany's finest		
	assets, viz. Middleton Beach, Ellen Cove	•	
	and their juxtaposition with a proposed	<b>o i</b>	
	'world class walking trail area' in the		
	adjacent Albany Heritage Park of Mounts	· · · · ·	
	Adelaide and Clarence. There will also be		
	a visual landscape impact from Middleton		
	Bay and King George Sound as well as	three-storey buildings.	
	looking back from the Gull Rock/Mount		
	Martin reserves.		
	The proposal therefore has a fundamental		
	The proposal therefore has a fundamental		
	flaw in not taking into consideration the		
	visual impacts when any high-rise (greater than four storeys) component of the		
	proposal is viewed from outside the		
	proposed development area, i.e. failure to		
	look 'outside the box' and genuinely think of		

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS Officer Comment No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation the highly significant landscape blot to residents and visitors alike. Furthermore, the proposal as depicted will result in significant reduction of the highly popular grassy public foreshore near Ellen Cove which is ideally suited to families with children's playgrounds, public change rooms, alfresco café, etc. Moreover, to be towered over by a multi-storey development irrespective of the number of floors. Parking for locals and families will no doubt be significantly compromised in the Ellen Cove vicinity unless there is a large set back retaining the current road and car parking system. Multistorey development above four floors should have no place in Albany's future as the community clearly demonstrated in the Frederick Street multistorey proposals a decade or so ago. Albany is attractive to visitors largely because of its fine natural setting and the lack of multistorey development. The scars of Observation City in Scarborough are a stark reminder of poor planning decisions in the past. Please don't try to take our beautiful and unique natural setting away by such an

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Cumment of Culturiation	Officer Commont	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	inappropriate proposal grossly impacting		
	upon our most valuable assets. The		
	demolished Esplanade Hotel was		
	sensitively designed within the setting and		
	ambience of Middleton Beach. Put it back		
	as it was! It had soft tones, sensibly scaled		
	setbacks from public areas and a true		
	'sense of place'. It also became an		
	extended community facility with its various		
	bars and lounges across a range of styles		
	to suit most tastes. The conceptual designs		
	in the various reports on the new		
	multistorey component of the proposal		
	comprise stark unsympathetic design		
	completely out of context with the valuable		
	natural surroundings and appear to be		
	devoid of community enhancement		
	potential.		
20	Expresses complete support to the	Nil.	Submission noted.
	proposed redevelopment at Middleton		
	Beach. The up to 12 Stories is fantastic		
	and is an opportunity to show leadership		
	and progress for our great City. This		
	project gives us another opportunity to		
	achieve an icon for the years to come.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF S				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
21	Expresses full support of the Middleton Beach proposal, including the proposed heights. It is obvious this is needed to attract developers. With the high side backing to the mount no-one should be affected or offended. If we do not let this proposal go ahead now we should be prepared to look at a vacant block for many years to come.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
22	<ul> <li>Believes that altering the parking will taking views away from residents, and that the proposed building too tall and too close to the beach. It is out of character of the area. The shadow will cast on the beach and on to the native vegetation. States that highrise is 'not Albany' and development should be on a hotel site, not public land with a three to four-storey maximum. People leave the city for a different experience and Albany is about beauty – it is special because it is not the city.</li> <li>Look at Scarborough; it is too busy on weekends and the '80s hotel looks terrible as the owner does not care about upkeep. You are selling the beach with this plan. It should be about the old hotel land.</li> </ul>		Preferred four-storey limit noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1	
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH	ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE	PLAN
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS	
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
	The amount of parking on the plan is under the requirement.		
	I am sure your buildings will have architectural merit at first, but in 20 or 30 years everyone will say 'what did we do?'		
23	In opinion that 12 storeys is out of context. Is there a shadow plan at 3pm in winter for a 12 storey building? That's a plan that that you would like to see. Sure it's up against a hill and reduces visual impact but will the jetty be in a shadow for half the day? It says '5+' storeys in the concept so guessing that it stacks up commercially after five storeys.	Items one and two of the key issues table address the matters of building height and overshadowing in detail.	Submission noted.
24	The new 'footprint' extends well beyond the old hotel site but I believe any new development should not intrude on the beach and grassed area. Clearly road re- alignment will be considered, however, LandCorp needs to be aware of the 'sentiment' surrounding access to the present beach.	Items eight and nine of the key issues table address the matters of road alignment and the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.

#### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	It is important that more car parking bays are included in the development. Tourism is an increasingly important industry in Albany but 90% of tourists will come by car so while we need to be a pedestrian friendly city, developments also need to be car- friendly. While we all understand that land is very valuable and a resource which must be fully utilized, overdevelopment that will spoil the 'character' of the area must be opposed. While several alternatives have been suggested, it is important that multistorey buildings are towards the rear of the site and plot ratios are not pushed to their limit. Catering for families at street level at the front of the site will mean that retail, food and beverage service will be easily available and accessible. Any improvements should include more toilets and improved toilet blocks. Often the number of toilets are decreased when in fact they are an essential service. While the above may seem minor matters I believe they are important and should be considered.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
25	I wish to congratulate the City of Albany,	Nil.	Submission noted.
	LandCorp and the Government of WA on		
	preparing the Middleton Beach		
	development proposals. Having lived in the		
	Albany area since 1990 and witnessed the		
	very slow rate of progress in bringing the		
	City of Albany into the 21 <sup>st</sup> Century, I trust		
	that the future development will include the		
	12-storey hotel as this will be absolutely		
	essential in getting international tourists as		
	well as interstate visitors into our region.		
	Albany can no longer simply look at being		
	an old people's retirement village where a		
	minority want to restrict future growth and		
	development. It is now a growing business		
	centre that must attract people who will		
	invest their energies and resources here		
	and allow opportunities for our children and		
	grandchildren to remain here in Albany.		
	Don't allow a small group of 'NIMBY' types		
	to 'shanghai' the proposed new vision that		
	has been presented. Just like all the		
	minority vocal groups who tried to stop the		
	Albany Entertainment Centre happening		
	whilst I was a City of Albany councillor a few		
	years back, they eventually disappeared		
	and now are at the facility to enjoy what the		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	Entertainment Centre has brought to our community. I trust that the Mayor and Councillors will speak up and support the often silent majority who just want Albany to take its place as the best regional city in Australia.					
26	In opinion that the proposed is a brilliant concept and that Albany cannot afford to 'lag' behind other towns such as Busselton or Esperance when it comes to attracting tourists.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
27	Is in support of the proposed believing it will put Albany in a better light. Also believes that Albany needs to embrace change and to be more inviting to visitors, and states that to do so Albany needs outside, sophisticated investors with plans to make something an attraction in addition to what Albany already has. Believes this plan is exactly that.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
28	Is delighted with the proposed plans, and thinks it important to ensure that development on the site is encouraged so the height of the hotel is reasonably high. He presumes that the 12-storey maximum	Nil.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
	is enough to entice developers. Believes it may be imposing, but Albany will become accustomed. Is not in support of the proposed planting of palms as it does not compliment the current climate.				
29	Suggesting that the proposal should allow more than 12 storeys and should include penthouses that have underground parking and lockable storage units.	Item three of the key issues table addresses the matter of parking in detail.	Submission noted.		
30	In opinion that the hotel should be a maximum of five storeys as the afternoon shadow cast by a 12-storey building will cover the beach. Also believes that not enough parking is provided for the increasing population.	Items one and three of the key issues table address the matters of building height and parking in detail.	Submission noted.		
31	Requests that adequate and hassle-free parking be provided.	Item three of the key issues table addresses the matter of parking in detail.	Submission noted.		
32	In opposition to the 12-storey hotel proposal as he believes that it will be a 'foot in the door' for other high-rise buildings to be developed within the Middleton Beach area, in turn minimising views of Ellen Cove.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL	PLANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
33	Is in great support of the artist's impression of the proposal.	n Nil.	Submission noted.		
34	Considers it important that a guideline be created to preserve the ground floor of the hotel so that it may be a shared space fo all. Believes this to be a great opportunit for Albany to expand the cycling facilities including increase of bicycle parking However, is concerned as to wha 'pedestrian priority access' means in relation to crossing Marine Drive.	<ul> <li>addresses the matter of parking in detail.</li> <li>The provision of end-of-trip facilities for cyclists will be a requirement at the time of development.</li> </ul>	Submission noted.		
35	In opinion that this proposal is what Alban needs to attract investment and give tourists incentive to visit and enjoy Albany	)	Submission noted.		
36	In concerned that the proposed height of the hotel will look out of place against the existing two-storey properties. Also concerned regarding the shadow that a 12 storey building will cast over the area and that it will deter visitors in the evening Believes the reduced road access is concerning given the population increase and raised similar concerns regarding the	<ul> <li>occurred prior to the lodgement of the current proposals, in order to inform its design, and further community consultation has taken place according to statutory requirements.</li> <li>Items one, two and three of the key</li> </ul>	Submission noted.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
	extra 20 car parking bays proposed. Believes that Albany needs development but does support the proposal and suggests more community consultation.	building height, overshadowing and parking, in detail.			
37	Raises concern stating that if the amenities are increased in the area then the car parking provided needs to reviewed, with direct concern regarding peak tourism seasons. Would also like to know how much control the City of Albany has over Developers ensuring that they adhere to this Structure Plan. Believes that the 12- storey proposed hotel development is not in theme with Albany or Middleton Beach, but does like the proposed grassed areas between the beach and the buildings.	The proposed local planning scheme amendment and Middleton Beach Activity Centre Structure Plan would create a planning framework for the Activity Centre area, which would guide its subsequent development. Items one and three of the key issues table address the matters of building height and parking in detail.	Submission noted.		
38	Believes that parking will become an issue, and suggests that the hotel be situated in the middle of the whole site where the apartments are located to be a central attraction. Also believes that the 12-storey proposal is too large and the hotel footprint too wide. Wishes to add a suggestion of the hotel be made structurally sound so that the developer will build to five storeys and may add more storeys at a later date.	Items one and three of the key issues table address the matters of building height and parking in detail.	Submission noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation					
39	Asks that all buildings are six-star rated.	Any future buildings would have to be constructed to meet the energy rating required by the Building code of Australia at the time of development.	Submission noted.		
40	Is in complete favour of the proposal, including the 12-storey maximum. Of the opinion that it will not dramatically affect views of residents and can only improve the value of surrounding properties.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
41	Of the opinion that not enough bicycle racks are provided in the proposal and that the more that can be installed, then the more traffic the area will generate. Asks that safety lockers be provided at the beachfront for the public to safely store personal belongings.	Bicycle parking is already required as a component of new development by <i>Local Planning Scheme No. 1.</i> The proposed local planning scheme amendment would also introduce a bicycle parking requirement for residential development in the Activity Centre area. Item three of the key issues table addresses the matter of parking.	Submission noted.		
42	Admitted to signing a petition recently opposing the 12-storey proposal, but since viewing the artist's impression boards, he is now in favour of the proposal believing it to	Nil.	Submission noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation					
	be magnificent, well thought out and well presented.					
43	Requests that no more than three storeys be proposed on the grounds it will be visually destructive to the open, friendly area and that only the wealthy will be able to live in the area once developed.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.				
44	Believes the proposal to be fantastic, and that it is important to cement Albany as a premier tourist destination.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
45	Is enthusiastically in favour of the Structure Plan and believes it is long overdue for this part of Albany. Of the opinion that the proposed heights made both design and commercial sense, and it in favour to have the 12-storey proposed hotel as part of the development.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
46	Believes that palms should be avoided as part of the vegetation plans, but all other detailed vegetation is appropriate.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
47	States that six to eight storeys would be ideal and that the mixed use sites should allow for home office situations, as he believes that it would be good for non-locals to be able to set up a small office and have	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation A 'home office' does not require a place to stay a few days a week. Does not want the area to become exclusive to a development approval, provided that it particular demographic (i.e. retirement is for the sole use of the occupier of the dwelling and is not open to the public. village) and that diversity needs to be encouraged in the area. Hopes that 'Office' is a use that may be permitted developers seize the opportunity. on the mixed use sites and there is an opportunity for dual use development. Is in support of the proposals and hopes 48 Nil. Submission noted. that it proceeds quickly. 49 Believes the proposal would constitute The proposed local planning scheme Submission noted. good planning if developed as presented, amendment and Middleton Beach but is sceptical of whether or not the City of Activity Centre Structure Plan would Albany and developers will adhere to the create a planning framework for the Plan. Is in opposition to any development Activity Centre area, which would being closer to the beach than shown, as guide its subsequent development. this belongs to the community and not solely tourists. Also wishes that the Item nine of the key issues table proposed artwork not be used. addresses the location of the hotel within the development area in detail. Is in favour of the proposal, and believes Item one of the key issues table Concerns noted. 50 that Albany needs a new hotel and addresses the matter of building height business outlets in the area. Only concern in detail. is that the height is above seven storeys, but if it is nestled into the Western side it should as to not impact on local residents if 12 storeys is approved.

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
51	All believe that the area will become too crowded with the proposal and that there will be adverse implications on traffic.	Middleton Beach is subject to a 40km/h speed limit and the proposals will result in additional traffic calming that will make the area more pedestrian- friendly. Item three of the key issues table addresses the matter of parking in detail.	Submission noted.			
52	Of the opinion that adequate car parking has not be allowed for and that the hotel site needs to go back to the bush and road as if it is against the mountain, then the height is not going to be an issue as it is tucked away in the corner. Also believes that the hotel site should be positioned where the current parking area is situated to open up the beach to the public because as the plans currently are, they encroach onto public areas, grassed area and beach.	Items one, three and nine of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height, parking and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.	Submission noted.			
53	Believes that there is no reason that this proposal should not go ahead. States that it would be better than the site in its current state.	Nil.	Submission noted.			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No. Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff				
	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	Of the opinion that the artist's impression	Item one of the key issues table	Submission noted.	
	drawings look excellent, apart from the	addresses the matter of building height		
	proposed 12-storey hotel site. Believes	in detail.		
	that five storeys should be the limit to the			
	site and that the 12-storey maximum gives			
	a 'Gold Coast' look to the area. Concerned			
	that allowing 12 storeys would facilitate			
	further decisions for 12-storey and taller			
	buildings, which would detract from the			
	character of the area.			
	Suggests that the hotel, residential and	Item one of the key issues table	Submission noted.	
	commercial buildings indicated on the	addresses the matter of building height		
	proposal should be no higher than six	in detail.		
	storeys; that underground parking be			
	provided for the hotel site; that the colour of			
	the building should be accommodating of			
	the environment and 'fit in' (i.e. previous			
	colour scheme of the Esplanade Hotel);			
	and suggests to close vehicle access to			
	Flinders Parade and allow areas for			
	markets, music, etc.			
	Is in opposition to the proposed 12-storey	Items one, two and six of the key	Submission noted.	
	hotel site as it will cause shading across the	issues table address the matters of		
	café and playground area through winter	building height, overshadowing and		
	when the sun is low, which will affect the	wind, in detail.		
	amenity of the area and that the gap			
	between Mount Clarence and the hotel will			
		drawings look excellent, apart from the proposed 12-storey hotel site. Believes that five storeys should be the limit to the site and that the 12-storey maximum gives a 'Gold Coast' look to the area. Concerned that allowing 12 storeys would facilitate further decisions for 12-storey and taller buildings, which would detract from the character of the area.Suggests that the hotel, residential and commercial buildings indicated on the proposal should be no higher than six storeys; that underground parking be provided for the hotel site; that the colour of the building should be accommodating of the environment and 'fit in' (i.e. previous colour scheme of the Esplanade Hotel); and suggests to close vehicle access to Flinders Parade and allow areas for markets, music, etc.Is in opposition to the proposed 12-storey hotel site as it will cause shading across the café and playground area through winter when the sun is low, which will affect the amenity of the area and that the gap	Of the opinion that the artist's impression drawings look excellent, apart from the proposed 12-storey hotel site. Believes that five storeys should be the limit to the site and that the 12-storey maximum gives a 'Gold Coast' look to the area. Concerned that allowing 12 storeys would facilitate further decisions for 12-storey and taller buildings, which would detract from the character of the area.Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.Suggests that the hotel, residential and commercial buildings indicated on the proposal should be no higher than six storeys; that underground parking be provided for the hotel site; that the colour of the building should be accommodating of the environment and fit in' (i.e. previous colour scheme of the Esplanade Hotel); and suggests to close vehicle access to Flinders Parade and allow areas for markets, music, etc.Items one, two and six of the key isues table address the matters of building height, overshadowing and wind, in detail.	

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
		SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS		
No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation	
		create a wind tunnel effect onto the beach. Believes that the other elements of the proposal are good but the high-rise component will impact the character of the area.			
57		Is in favour of the low-rise aspects of the proposal. Feels that a high-rise development is out of character with Middleton Beach and strongly urges the Council only consider low-rise proposals up to a six-storey maximum.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.	
58		Believes that the City of Albany need to take more notice of the community over the interest of private developers who do not reside in the area. Previous developments in WA has cause the communities to live with poor developments and visual eyesores (i.e. Scarborough Beach development). The hotel site and height is inappropriate for the Middleton Beach area. Believes that the development height should be no more than five storeys.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted	
59		Is in support of the proposed 12-storey hotel site as she believes Albany needs something of this nature. Also strongly	Nil.	Submission noted.	

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation believes that it will not impact on the community's view over the area. Believes it is imperative to leave open Items one, six and nine of the key Submission noted. 60 space on the foreshore to keep areas issues table address the matters of available for various activities. Will also still building height, wind and the location of the hotel within the development support what LandCorp indicates as the peoples' choice for low-rise buildings. area, in detail. Twelve storeys on the hotel site, insinuated into the mix by progressively increasing the height of the commercial/residential buildings is unacceptable. Completely disagrees with the proposed 12 storeys and considers that eight storeys would even be too much, and that six would be more agreeable. Does not accept the economic viability argument that demands height. And whoever put together the concept plan took no account of the easterlies which would make the main corridor a gigantic wind tunnel. I am also suspicious of the footprint of the hotel increasing towards the foreshore and its design to changes drastically to 'maximise return'. Believes the proposal to be absolutely Nil. 61 Submission noted. fantastic and that Albany needs a five-star hotel. Loves the concept plans, including

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	12 storeys if that's what the developer needs to make it financially viable. Albany is growing – we need accommodation to encourage tourism and business investment in our City as well as alternative residential options which this proposed development will provide.					
62	Is very impressed with the proposal plans and see good sense in the distribution of building heights. This allows the development to blend well into the current landscape. Road re-alignment and grassed areas at the waterfront is a benefit to the community. Retaining the iconic views between the Norfolk Island Pines and out into the sound is a priority always.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
63	Does not like the footprint of the proposed 12-storey hotel. Understood the proposal to be situated where the carpark near Three Anchors is hard against the mountain. Is also in opposition to the actual 12-storey height proposal, but is in favour of the re- development. Suggests not planting Red Flowering Gums as they are quite messy.	Items one and nine of the key issues table address the matters of building height and the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.			

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
64	In favour of the proposed development and believes that the 12-storey hotel will become iconic only if a wise range of architectural designs are considered. Suggest to make an Australia-wide competition for designs.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
65	Suggests to increase the height of the structures along Adelaide Crescent – the backdrop to the hill is not really obscuring views and lessen the height of the structure freestanding near the roundabout (hotel site) avoiding wind tunnels. Otherwise believes that it will work except maybe restricted traffic through the area and make Marine Terrace a more commonly used thoroughfare. Suggests to keep the idea of public access to the lower levels.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
66	Thinks that the Plan is a great concept. Does not mind the five-storey building proposal but does think that more than five storeys is too much and will not reflect the other buildings around Middleton Beach. Would love to keep a good view of the whole mountain.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.		

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
67	Does approve of the look of the proposal as it seems to fit a lot in. Would suggest moving the '6+' storey building even further back towards the mountain and putting the road in front of it. Would be in support of '6+' storeys if the site were located closer to the mountain.	Items one and nine of the key issues table address the matters of building height and the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.			
68	<ul> <li>Hotel – 12 storeys is excessive. The height and bulk is out of place in the area and will not fit the 'village' concept of the rest of the development and surrounding area. The height should be no more than four storeys.</li> <li>The streetscape created by the 12-storey height of the hotel is out of place. The architecture of the building should match the existing street scape along the adjoining streets.</li> <li>The hotel must have dedicated sufficient parking within its footprint.</li> <li>Public parking – apparently there will be approximately 30 additional public parking spaces. This appears to be inadequate due to the amount of additional traffic created by</li> </ul>	Items one and three of the key issues table address the matters of building height and parking in detail.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1 AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
		SCHEDULE OF S				
No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
		the proposed restaurants, taverns and shops.				
69		'You build it, they will come.'	Nil.	Submission noted.		
		Believes the proposal to be excellent, and suggests not to limit the number of storeys as developers need encouragement to come and build at Middleton Beach. Believes we should make Middleton Beach a worldwide icon, to go for it, and not let people who have lived in the area 'forever' to dampen spirits and put doubt into minds. Strongly believes this is 'our future' being a small local business owner and mother.				
70		Believes the proposed 12-storey hotel height is too small to be viable and suggests increasing the number to 15. In favour of the proposal and states that people who are silent do want this and to not listen to a noisy minority.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
71		This development will finally achieve a resolution to a problem that has been outstanding since the demolition of the hotel that once stood on this site. I am concerned about the 12-storey proposal	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	but would accept that height if there is no alternative.					
72	Suggests that the hotel site be a maximum of six storeys.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
73	Suggests to lose the path between the buildings moving them forward creating usable parking and market place space between Bay Merchants and new buildings.	Item nine of the key issues table addresses the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.			
74	Is concerned that there will be an issue with the easterly winds and a 12-storey building. Suggests keeping a lower profile with five storeys, which should lessen the effect and deflecting around the building. Also believes there is another issue with regard to the movement of beach sand into Ellen Cove. The beach keeps getting higher and the Council has to keep taking sand away so that the storm water drains can flush. Sand will block these drains no matter where you put them. Is in support of the proposal, only if it is kept to five storeys.	Items one, four and six of the key issues table address the matters of building height, coastal planning and wind, in detail.	Submission noted.			

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1							
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
75	States that she is not totally against the proposal, but feels that the hotel site and building should be further back against the hill where the carpark is across from Three Anchors so that it is not so imposing.	Item nine of the key issues table addresses the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.				
76	Is in favour of the concept and believes the hotel site with direct access to the beach is excellent. Suggests to not restrict the hotel site as it will not cause shading to anything important. States that the development must be economic and to be as high as it needs, with the only lack being that just 30 car parking bays are proposed. Suggests that LandCorp and the City of Albany seriously consider an underground public car park under the two lots facing Flinders Parade so that people can park and access the beach. Understands that to do so would be expensive and tricky as foundations would have to carry the proposed buildings, but having more car parking bays will be a bonus for business and the public in the future.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
77	Believes the proposal to be fantastic for Albany and loves the concept plan. Of the opinion that this development will only enhance Albany's ability to secure a bigger	Nil.	Submission noted.				
	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
-----	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------	--	--	--	--
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation						
	share of the tourism industry. Has seen two Esplanade buildings in his lifetime and believes that they bring good fortune. Believes that Albany is moving in the right direction.						
78	<ul> <li>The concept looks great and thinks that the hotel is a perfect fit for the mountain corner, but believes that the 12 storeys could be imposing and would prefer eight to 10 storeys as a minor adjustment. With that said, maximum occupancy is required for the investment to pay off. Of the opinion that the concept looks a little clinical in design, and that it would be better to blend it in more.</li> <li>Believes that parking and pedestrians must be elevated in importance for the area. All in all believes that the development would be an amazing upgrade to a very 'tired' area of Albany.</li> </ul>	Items one and three of the key issues table address the matters of building height and parking in detail.	Submission noted.				
79	Believes the proposal to be a great building on a great site, and that tidying at last the mess that has been the Esplanade for so long is good. Also believes that the development will bring jobs and revenue to	Nil.	Submission noted.				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL	PLANNING SCHEME No. 1	
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEAC		PLAN
	SCHEDULE OF		
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
	Albany. Asks 'how can this not be approved?'		
80	Believes that the proposed hotel height at up to 12 storeys would impact adversely or the character of the area. The argument that a developer wants a 'big' place car 	addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.
81	Both Mr & Mrs Loveridge express 100% support for the proposal as seen at the Sur Club in March 2016. It will enhance the area, showcase our wonderful beach, bring vibrancy to the area, offer a huge tourism boost, add value to residential properties		Submission noted.

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL	PLANNING SCHEME No. 1	
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEAC	H ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE F	PLAN
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS	
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
	and some businesses nearby and it should not dramatically effect residential views. Both Mr & Mrs Loveridge like the design/planning and believe that to an extent it would look like a 'mini Noosa'.		
82	Believes the Middleton Beach Activity Centre looks great, is very well planned and likes the situation of the hotel. Believes it will be lovely once again to have somewhere nice to go on a Sunday by the sea and to have a nice dinner.		Submission noted.
83	Mr Slattery objects to the expansion of the area available for development beyond the immediate area and surrounds of the former Esplanade Hotel site. The site allocated for the Hotel/Mixed Use extends way beyond the former Esplanade boundary, across the current alignment of Flinders Parade and into the current grassed foreshore parkland. The proposal brings built development much closer to the coastline and will destroy the amenity and continuity of a broad grass/tree parkland along the full	key issues table address the matters of building height, parking, road alignment and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.	Submission noted.

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

Summary of Submission	Staff	
Summary of Submission	Oncer comment	Recommendation
		Recommendation
0		
•		
the Three Anchors Café and approach to		
Ellen Cove.		
Furthermore, the approach down to		
<b>.</b>		
Beach will be lost. Drivers will instead be		
directed to a boring approach behind a		
• • • •		
precinct.		
A re-alignment is desirable, but it could be		
<b>.</b>		
An increase of 29 bays (22%) is not		
• • • •		
	Furthermore, the approach down to Middleton Beach along Marine Drive, which now provides a spectacular outlook of the Beach will be lost. Drivers will instead be directed to a boring approach behind a Hotel, losing the view of the parkland and beach from the only elevated road in the	length of Middleton Beach. There will be a narrowing between the hotel and the foreshore, instead of the wide swath of green that would otherwise flow through to the Three Anchors Café and approach to Ellen Cove.         Furthermore, the approach down to Middleton Beach along Marine Drive, which now provides a spectacular outlook of the Beach will be lost. Drivers will instead be directed to a boring approach behind a Hotel, losing the view of the parkland and beach from the only elevated road in the precinct.         A re-alignment is desirable, but it could be done better without the Hotel site where it is shown.         An increase of 29 bays (22%) is not proportionate to the additional development proposed, given that the Activity Centre is designed to attract many more visitors to Middleton Beach's upgraded facilities and

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
				Recommendation	
		The provisions for up to 12 storeys on the			
		Hotel/Mixed Use site is unnecessary and			
		inappropriate. In discussions between			
		authorities and stakeholders, the prospect			
		of 12 storeys has not arisen and suddenly			
		it is now considered necessary to attract the			
		right developer or the community will be			
		back to square one, which I think is not the			
		case.			
		Things are different now as there is a plan			
		for the whole precinct which can occur in			
		stages. It may well be advantageous for			
		others to be done earlier to bring more			
		people to the area and demonstrate the			
		new market to potential developers.			
		The large site in the corner of the bay would			
		be able to produce great ocean views			
		bother eastward and southward without the			
		need for 12 storeys if it had good design. A			
		more compact development would keep			
		more within the 'village' feel of Albany.			
84		The community consultation with LandCorp	LandCorp undertook their own	Submission noted.	
0-		has been welcome but are they holding the	consultation to inform the design of the		
		results? A recent survey resulted in 68% of	proposals. The City has advertised the		
		the participating public wanting building	proposals for public comment in order		
		the participating public wanting building			

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation height restricted to five storeys. So going to gauge community opinion and to developers stating a maximum of 12 inform the final recommendation. storeys would be deceiving the public and unfair to developers knowing there is so Items one and six of the key issues much opposition. I prefer a five-storey table address the matters of building maximum on the hotel site, and a threeheight and wind in detail. storey maximum on the old Esplanade site avoid wind tunnelling, to shade encroachment and future slums. 85 Believes the proposal to be a stupid idea, Nil. Submission noted. and states it should be re-considered. States that Flinders Parade should not be blocked off and that Albany should not be modelled on Perth. Suggests using the old Esplanade site only and not to build too high. 86 States that this plan looks good as it is Nil. Submission noted. much better than a hole in the ground. Believes that consideration should be given to moving the permanent accommodation block to the Flinders Parade frontage to afford a better view. States that too long too little has happened in the area and so believes that this proposal might revitalize the area.

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL	PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
		SUBMISSIONS	0. //				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
87	The proposed amendment to the Loca Planning Scheme allowing high-rise abov five storeys in nothing short of abandonment of civil duty and is purely matter of Council being 'in bed' wit LandCorp with the aim of making profi Development of high-rise to 12 storeys w adversely impact the amenity of the area.	addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				
88	I think the plans look fantastic are we are i favour of what is being proposed.	n Nil.	Submission noted.				
89	I believe that overdevelopment an inappropriate purposing of the fragile local is indicated follows. Large hotel on prominent location: Twelve storeys is too high for the intende location close to the beach, whose currer open, low-key and relaxed ambience is th most valuable feature to be preserved for visiting holidaymakers and local residents There will be overshadowing at some time of the day and a blocked view of the sunse from Ellen Cove and its hillside timbe	<ul> <li>issues table address the matters of building height, road alignment and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.</li> <li>The artist's impressions were prepared as a guide to provide the public with a sense how completed buildings could look and are not development proposals.</li> <li>The rerouting of Flinders Parade has been proposed in order to enhance the</li> </ul>	Submission noted.				
	tables and benches.	and public access to the beach from the proposed hotel/mixed use site.					

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	Purpose and architecture of hotel and		
	associated development on old Esplanade		
	site:		
	The formal and expensive character of the		
	hotel is not welcoming for holidaymakers		
	and families with children seeking to		
	casually picnic, play and swim at the		
	popular location.		
	Combined with proposals for the adjacent		
	vacant site left after demolition of the		
	Esplanade Hotel, the project's stated		
	purpose as a 'Tourism Precinct' – to include		
	a wellness centre, tavern, consulting rooms		
	and glass-fronted cafes - would appeal to		
	well-heeled adults but, unfairly, not have		
	broader appeal.		
	The style of any new buildings at Middleton		
	Beach should complement existing		
	structures in the area, some of which are		
	heritage buildings.		
	Bulk of hotel footprint:		
	This extends too far east and north. The		
	hotel would be alongside the most popular	<u> </u>	

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.     Summary of Submission     Officer Comment     Staff			01-14	
No.	Summary of	Submission	Officer Comment	
				Recommendation
		grassed area of Middleton		
	Beach, i.e.	near Three Anchors and	1	
	adjacent play	ground, and its depth and	1	
	breadth could	deter families with children	1	
	from the area	a. It would impinge on the	<b>;</b>	
	above-cited,	highly desirable openness	5	
	near the be	ach, and, importantly, the	2	
	parking bays	closest to this area would be	2	
	eliminated a	nd encroach on ease of	f	
	access. Addit	ionally, it appears from one of	f	
	LandCorp's of	liagrams that part of <i>Eller</i>	1	
	Cove Walk is	at risk of being eliminated.		
	Downgrading	of priority roads / re-routing of	f	
	Flinders Para	de:		
	Priority roads	are to be downgraded, which	1	
	again indicate	s that too much of the area	ı	
	nearest Middl	eton Beach will be for guests	,	
	owners or ter	ants of new commercial and	1	
	residential	establishments, and	1	
	compromise	public access and	1	
	amenity. To	create 'adaptable space', it	t	
	has been pr	oposed to re-route Flinders	3	
	Parade to create	ate a 'village road' and have a	1	
	public parki	ng area within the old	1	
	Esplanade sit	e, which could be closed for	-	
	-	ultural events. However, it is		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	unlikely that these spaces will be			
	considered for the activities as they are not			
	purpose-built for the same; there are other			
	suitable venues in Albany that can be used			
	for these.			
	Maximum height of five storeys for old			
	Esplanade site:			
	•			
	The Middleton Beach area is fragile and			
	could easily be spoiled by over-			
	development. I believe that its hillsides and			
	small suburban area do not accommodate			
	LandCorp's present concept. Most of the			
	suburban area of Middleton Beach is one			
	storey, with some buildings of two or three			
	storeys. The introduction of five storeys			
	would not be compatible with the average			
	height of existing buildings.			
	Suggestion for an Alternative :			
	Develop only vacant hotel site and do not			
	re-route Flinders Parade:			
	If a small to moderate-sized hotel is not a			
	viable option, develop high quality housing			
	of up to three storeys on the vacant site with			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Oncer comment	Recommendation
			Recommendation
	several one-storey specialty food outlets		
	along Flinders Parade, using transparent		
	wind barriers for al fresco areas, as were		
	used at the old Esplanade Hotel.		
	Food services could include: a		
	confectionery shop selling fairy floss, sea		
	salt taffy, ice cream and other sweet items;		
	a bakery; and a cafe/bar, or solely cafe,		
	providing a good standard of barista coffee,		
	teas and moderately priced quality foods -		
	dine-in or takeaway - that include items		
	associated with being near the ocean.		
	Shopping strips of this kind near the sea are		
	highly successful in Bunbury, Mandurah		
	and Rockingham.		
	In general:		
	There should be no construction on the site		
	being considered for a new hotel, and an		
	absolute maximum building height of four		
	storeys should be set, no matter the type		
	and purpose of development that ensues at		
	Middleton Beach.		

		CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
		SCHEDULE OF S					
No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
		In regard to suggested suitable architecture for Middleton Beach, attached to my covering email is an extract from <i>The West</i> <i>Australian – Real Estate</i> of 26-27 March 2016.					
90		In my previous submissions to LandCorp I highlighted my concerns about the development favouring a high ratio of land use devoted to private dwellings threatening to displace opportunities for short term accommodation, retention of robust height limits – e.g. Maximum four stories plus semi-underground parking, sun shadows being cast over the community's amenity during prime visitation periods. The Middleton Beach Scheme Amendment and Activity Centre Structure Plan appear to ignore or compromise best practice on these fundamental criterion. As I understand it, the Western Australian community own the development site through the State Government and agency LandCorp. It is an understandable position	issues table address the matters of building height, overshadowing and	Submission noted.			
		community own the development site through the State Government and agency					

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	dwellings would help to recoup some of the				
	purchase price of the site. In some small				
	part this would contribute to the alleviation				
	of State Government debt levels. However,				
	this is election term economics and comes				
	at the price of the long term viability of a				
	hotel development. A hotel with associated				
	short stay accommodation relies				
	absolutely, on an economy of scale to				
	prosper. Private dwellings are already				
	under-utilised in the Middleton Beach area.				
	The problem presents itself as an absentee				
	owner suburb with most vibrancy coming				
	from outside visitors enjoying the amenity.				
	More private dwellings will perpetuate this				
	problem.				
	I support a four-storey development with				
	dedicated parking beyond what you ever				
	think you will need.				
	My opinion is just one of many and our				
	community will ultimately get a				
	development that Landcorp deems best. I				
	was impressed with the extensive studies				
	presented in the Middleton Beach Activity				
	Centre Structure Plan. They will provide a				
	useful knowledge base for any future				

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

N1		0	0.0
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	development of the site. I am concerned		
	however, that shadow modelling of this		
	current proposal was for June when (a)		
	Visitation numbers to the beach amenity		
	are relatively low, and (b) due to the Winter		
	alignment of the sun, a very optimistic		
	shadow outcome is illustrated. So, let's see		
	some modelling for 1 January through to 1		
	April. How about you factor a real life		
	scenario - people who live and work in		
	Albany finish a hot day at work and knock		
	off at 5pm and rush to the beach to meet		
	the family for a swim or play. The shadow		
	modelling during my suggested period, of		
	this current proposal, would illustrate the		
	demise of the community's valuable		
	amenity. I thought the artist's impressions		
	were worthy, if not dominating of our iconic		
	Ellen Cove and majestic Mount Adelaide.		
	In your current concept, I would choose		
	your five-storey option over your 12-storey		
	one. If we got stuck with either, please		
	think about aligning the building axis more		
	east-west to minimise those prohibitive		
	shadows.		
91	Mr & Mrs Twentyman state their excitement	Nil.	Submission noted.
	as something may finally progress with		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	Middleton Beach. Believe that the proposed development appears great and in their view would be a tremendous opportunity for the City of Albany and the state. Believe the proposed hotel site is well positioned and appreciate that it must be economically viable. Also believe the proposal would greatly support the efforts of City of Albany and other parties to promote tourism in the area.					
92	In general I am not too concerned about the development on the land that was previously occupied by 'the Esplanade Hotel'. However, I am concerned about the location, size and height of the hotel site. Suggested overall priorities: • Preserve and enhance the natural environment and biodiversity, including the coastal reserves, open spaces, reserves and bushland. • Reduce car dependency and traffic through improved walkways, cycle ways and public transport and bus routes.	issues table address the matters of building height, overshadowing, coastal planning and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail. <i>State Planning Policy 2.6 – State</i> <i>Coastal Planning</i> is the relevant policy document when assessing coastal hazard risk management.	Submission noted.			

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	Create a community friendly	been prepared for the Activity Centre	
	atmosphere.	area and deals with the following	
	Important to create and maintain a	matters:	
	geographic buffer to absorb any natural		
	fluctuation in the coastline.	<ul> <li>Establishment of the context;</li> </ul>	
		<ul> <li>Coastal hazard assessment;</li> </ul>	
	Comments:	Risk analysis and evaluation;	
		Risk management and adaptation	
	General impression from looking at other	planning; and	
	local authorities especially those located	Monitoring and review.	
	along the Perth coastal strip i.e. Stirling &	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	
	Cottesloe is that the maximum height would	The Coastal Hazard Risk Management	
	be eight stories.	and Adaptation Plan identifies that the	
		Activity Centre area will be subject to	
	Also noted mention of imposing a minimum	coastal risks, which will require	
	setback of 500 metres from high water	management into the future.	
	mark as means of reducing the risk of	ő	
	damage caused by anticipated sea level	Two potential options have been	
	rise.	identified for managing coastal risk to	
		the site. The first of these is to restore	
	Current proposal appears to be	the level of the beach to the naturally	
	approximately 100 metres from the high	occurring higher level, while the	
	water mark. This of course could change	second is the construction of a seawall	
	dramatically by the end of the century.	along the length of the foreshore, or	
		solely around the proposed	
	Could the hotel rezoning expose the council	hotel/mixed use site.	
	and the government to future litigation by		
	dispossessed coastal landholders?		

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PL	ANNING SCHEME No. 1	
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH	ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE F	<b>PLAN</b>
SCHEDULE OF SU	JBMISSIONS	
Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
Does the rezoning comply with the planned r modifications to the shoreline law? t Traffic and parking study needs to be r undertaken. Over this Easter, car parks	The final method for coastal risk mitigation will be determined later in the planning process. Before a final decision is made on the preferred management option, additional studies will be required in order to determine the most effective long term measure.	
Any future development should be able to provide all anticipated extra parking requirements generated by any future development.		
The roundabout at foot of Marine Drive looks out of place. Does this need to be retained?		
Global sea level rise has accelerated in response to warming of the atmosphere and the ocean, and melting of the cryosphere. We know that scientific projections indicate that a one metre rise by the end of this century is possible.		
In the light of the damage caused by cyclone Alby in 1978, some 38 years ago,		

it could be deemed rather negligent to even

No.

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation						
	contemplate placing a 12-storey hotel on what is basically a beach site.						
93	I would like to object most strongly to the proposed hotel at Middleton Beach. Even a six storey height limit would be way too high. The area would be overwhelmed by visitors if filled, and visually the whole approach to Marine Parade would be spoiled. Taking it to 12 floors is ridiculous. Is the idea that we get so upset about 12, that the six seems good by comparison? Please don't allow this destruction of our lovely city.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				
94	5	Nil.	Submission noted.				

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Oncer comment	
			Recommendation
	is not in keeping with the current low-rise		
	residential and recreational nature of the		
	area. A structure marginally higher than the		
	pine trees would be suitable (3-4 storeys).		
	Although the plans include an increase in		
	public open space, a significant portion of		
	public open space will be limited in use by		
	shadows from the tower, making the area		
	cold. A lower structure which is stepped		
	back from the beach would be a better		
	option.		
	In a recent survey of the community, less		
	than 3% agreed with a seven plus storey		
	structure.		
	There has been no realistic and multi-		
	directional concept plans (views from the		
	beach, boardwalk, Middleton Road hill,		
	Emu Point) of the high-rise tower provided		
	to the community for comment. The visual		
	provided (The Weekender March 24 2016)		
	is an aerial view.		
	There is concern regarding the increase in		
	traffic on residential roads and parking		
	problems in the area due to high density		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S						
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	living (687 permanent residents), their visitors, office/retail/hospitalities employees, patrons and tourist accommodation traffic. An estimation of traffic and parking (I would estimate at least 400+ cars per day) would be a fair and reasonable addition to the research based information residents deserve.						
95	<ul> <li>The scale of the four blocks of low rise development seem to be appropriate and suitable for the site. These four blocks represent, I believe, what the public understand as the development site. I found the presentation very misleading. When I saw that only 33% of the site was to be developed I was greatly reassured only to find that in truth nearly 100% of the site (as the public will understand it behind the old fencing) is to be developed together with its dedicated access roads.</li> <li>The proposed hotel site seems much more of a problem as it overlays part of the existing car park and the green lawns now</li> </ul>	issues table address the matters of	Submission noted.				
	of a problem as it overlays part of the						

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	on the beach side. A building of this size			
	will completely dominate the southwest end			
	of Middleton beach and views from most			
	angles. The proposed site is far too close			
	to the beach not to destroy the existing use.			
	If a five-star hotel is built it may prove very			
	difficult to achieve a sufficient occupancy			
	rate throughout the year to make it viable.			
	A few high-spend guests will be attracted			
	but I fear not in sufficient quantities.			
	A better business model might be a four			
	star hotel with good conference facilities			
	with a maximum height of six stories. The			
	building costs would be reduced and the			
	occupancy rate improved.			
	Inevitably, 12 stories will become the datum			
	for future developments and may well			
	spread to other parts of this site. This would			
	be a disaster for Middleton Beach. One of			
	the main attractions of Albany is that it			
	represents a relaxed atmosphere and its			
	old-world charm which is more and more			
	sought-after by tourists from busy cities and			
	other resorts. My background is partly in			
	hotel development and I hope you will be			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
No.	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS Officer Comment	Staff				
			Recommendation				
	able to take these views into consideration when deciding on the final shape of this development.						
96	I was pleasantly surprised by the Middleton Beach Scheme. I think 20 storeys of hotel complex is probably too much and perhaps 10 or 12 would be a good compromise. My main concern is the lack of parking as at certain times parking space is extremely limited in the area. The plan states that parking will be increased by only 29 spaces which is not enough to cater for the added traffic the development will attract.	Item three of the key issues table addresses the matter of parking in detail.	Submission noted.				
97	The proposal to cater for up to 12 storeys is against the environment of Middleton Beach. Any development should take into account all factors to protect this environment and prepare a reasonable proposal. The public parking demand will increase and needs to be taken into account, and the use of underground private parking for residents needs to be a 'must', not a 'may be'.	Items one and three of the key issues table address the matters of building height and parking in detail.	Submission noted.				

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
98	Objects to the proposal to allow up to 12 storeys within the development as she believes it would adversely affect the amenity if the area. Crowding buildings in 	table address the matters of building	Submission noted.			
99	Subsequent to my previous e-mail, I would like to add some points of concern.	Item four of the key issues table addresses the matter of coastal planning in detail.	Submission noted.			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
No.	<ul> <li>inundation modelling for Busselton, Western Australia, under current and future climate, which has made some quite startling conclusions, i.e. the 'model' prepared by Cowell and Barry (2012) identified coastal erosion to be within the range of 263 metres and 537 metres respectively for a 50% and 10% probability of exceedance under a 1.1 metre SLR scenario.</li> <li>COMMENTS:</li> <li>1. An in-depth 'Risk Assessment' needs to be undertaken to evaluate the seriousness of any future coastal hazards. Something on the scale of the Busselton study mentioned above is required at the very least before any future rezoning can be considered.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>State Planning Policy 2.6 – State Coastal Planning is the relevant policy document when assessing coastal hazard risk management.</li> <li>In accordance with State Planning Policy 2.6, a Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan has been prepared for the Activity Centre area and deals with the following matters:</li> <li>Establishment of the context;</li> <li>Coastal hazard assessment;</li> <li>Risk analysis and evaluation;</li> <li>Risk management and adaptation planning; and</li> <li>Monitoring and review.</li> </ul> The Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan identifies that the Activity Centre area will be subject to coastal risks, which will require management into the future.	
	2. Detailed modelling by someone such as Cowell and Barry is essential to enable	Two potential options have been identified for managing coastal risk to the site. The first of these is to restore	

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
				Recommendation		
		<ul> <li>appropriate planning setbacks to be determined.</li> <li>3. The section of coast between Emu Point and Ellen Cove is known to be dynamic and the coastal processes to be considered relevant to this location would include Winds, Waves. Water Levels (tides, storms, waves etc.,)</li> </ul>	occurring higher level, while the second is the construction of a seawall along the length of the foreshore, or solely around the proposed hotel/mixed use site. The final method for coastal risk mitigation will be determined later in	Recommendation		
		<ul> <li>shoreline stability, currents and ocean circulation.</li> <li>4. It could be argued that an intensive development such as the Middleton Beach Activity Centre Structure Plan could prejudice the stability of surrounding structures which could therefore require the ultimate construction of some form of appropriate coastal protection works which might otherwise not have been required.</li> </ul>	decision is made on the preferred management option, additional studies will be required in order to determine			
		5. After reading some of the many reports available it would not seem unreasonable to consider using some if not all of the available vacant land to create some form of natural buffer and				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
		SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summar	y of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	consid best na	al wetlands. Such areas are ered by some as perhaps the atural barriers against storms and sea levels that we can have.					
	so citi	ceans will rise well into the future, es will eventually be forced to modate the extra water one way ther.					
100	hotel site acceptabl commerci eight sto model. Th have ade Norfolk p excessive unpleasar	<ul> <li>a appears well designed but the</li> <li>a up to 12 storeys would be</li> <li>a only to make a viable</li> <li>al business. I hope that up to</li> <li>reys would suit any business</li> <li>he areas marked landscape must</li> <li>quate wind protection.</li> </ul> bines are too large and cast <ul> <li>shadows, making the beach</li> <li>ht. A solution may be to remove a</li> </ul>		Submission noted.			
101	young pin	the trees and replace with new es.	Item three of the key issues table	Submission noted.			
	the fore business,	shore in close proximity to	addresses the matter of parking in detail.				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS						
No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff			
			The energies for silities to be previded as	Recommendation			
		based and wouldn't attract as many people on expense accounts, conventions, etc.	The specific facilities to be provided as part of any future hotel are outside the scope of these proposals.				
		<ul><li>Parking is a major problem, especially with events. I hope any hotel developers are required to provide off-road parking for all guests and staff so that four-wheel drives or large vehicles (roof racks, trailers, etc.) are catered for.</li><li>Will it be a requirement for the hotel developer to provide sufficient public function space?</li><li>Will four/five-star Bed &amp; Breakfasts be encouraged if no hotel goes ahead at first?</li></ul>					
102		The following advice is provided in response to the deferral of a scheme amendment as set out under Section 79 of the <i>Planning and Development Act, 2005.</i> The subject area is adjacent to Middleton Beach (Place No. 17520), which the Heritage Council's Register Committee has identified as a place warranting	Nil.	Submission noted.			

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
				Recommendation		
		values of this area is the group of Norfolk				
		Island Pine Trees planted in the 1940s				
		within the foreshore reserve.				
		We note the proposed structure plan proposed an area of public open space than incorporates and extends the foreshore area and retains the avenue of Norfolk Island Pines. A small number of trees are noted for removal or relocation; however, there are outside the area considered to have heritage significance.				
		The proposed Scheme Amendment gives due regard to the Structure Plan and recognises the 'iconic location' of the public foreshore reserve.				
		Overall the amendment is not considered to negatively impact on identified heritage places and there is therefore no objection to the proposal.				
103		After receiving the proposed change to Middleton Beach, I was pleased to note that it has been taken into account local concerns and provided a great plan for both residents and visitors.		Submission noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S						
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	There is a good mix of commercial and residential space and a responsible plan for height of constructions. It would be great to see some high-rise which attracts developers to the area with up to 15-20 storeys.						
	Businesses and jobs will be created for visitors and locals alike in Albany's best spot.						
104	LandCorp and the City of Albany should be commended on their vision and proactive approach for the proposed. It's obvious what an underutilised area Middleton Beach has beach, as it is devoid of the required infrastructure and hospitality to make it a tourist destination. The locality would benefit enormously from the proposed development.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
	The view would be spectacular and the majority of the shadowing will be kept to the adjoining bushland. The overall focus of the development must be towards tourism						

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S						
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	with plenty of accommodation, hospitality and retail.						
105	<ul> <li>We approve the proposed development in general. The fine detail can be more important and more significant than the basic proposal, so we suggest consideration to the following:</li> <li>The multistorey hotel complex will be as far as is possible into the corner of the lot, out of sight of residents in the upper levels of Wylie Crescent.</li> <li>The same site should have a six-storey limit for the same reasons.</li> <li>As much hotel accommodation be provided as the developers will allow as Albany caters badly to accommodation for performers.</li> </ul>	-	Submission noted.				
106	Believes the proposal for Middleton Beach is brilliant as it will add urban life to the area while providing for an economically viable accommodation enterprise.	Design Guidelines are being prepared for the Activity Centre area, which should ensure that any development is appropriate to the locality.	Submission noted.				
	Only suggestions is that the hotel blend into the mountain and harmonises with its surroundings. If the building is designed						

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	correctly it could be a piece of art, and I fully support the plan.						
107	Believes it will be a great space to see something done with the wasted area, and the concept plans look ideal. There can be no complaints regarding a high rise building as no views will be compromised because of its positioning.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
108	Albany is in dire need of five-star accommodation and the Middleton Beach site is ideal. Restricting the height to six storeys will have no impediment. Currently Albany caters to backpackers and business travel, but not for fly-in, fly-out, high-end valued customers. Many wish to visit the Great Southern but do not as there is a lack of high-end accommodation.I support the 12-storey development.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
109	Believes that any development of the vacant site at Middleton Beach can only be a good thing. There is already plenty of holiday accommodation in the area along with dinning.	Nil.	Submission noted.				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
110	Is in full support of the concept plans provided by LandCorp for Middleton Beach, including the proposed 12-storey hotel development. Middleton Beach is currently underutilised and I believe the proposed concept plans will make it a vibrant area to visit, eat and play.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
111	Believes the concept looks great.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
112	Believes the concept plans to be great and will allow the flexibility required to attract a suitable developer. Twelve storeys is not the 'Gold Coast' and will not cause any harm to the aesthetics of the area. If anything, it will significantly add to it. As a local business owner, I see Albany progressively and believe this is a huge boost needed to move forward. Fully supports the proposed concept.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
113	Believes it is about time Albany moved forward and provided substantial accommodation options for visitors that utilise our natural environment. I support the proposal and hope that the City of Albany proceeds, and is not swayed by small lobby groups that protest against	Nil.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	any change regardless of the scale, type or research that goes into it.					
114	Is in total agreement with the Middleton Beach Activity Centre as it represents the necessary transition from a wasteland to a viable economic opportunity for a successful waterfront. The concept as suggested is modern, tasteful and exactly what Middleton Beach needs to move towards the forefront as a vibrant City.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
115	Believes this is a fantastic proposal and is much needed for Albany and its future.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
116	Believes the concept plans to look great.Albany is a thriving regional City and needs to increase the commercial development in order to cater for the population both in and around Albany, and its visitors. The proposed development is aesthetically pleasing and caters for the City's needs with regard for commercial and residential facilities.Middleton Beach is 'crying-out' for a development of this nature and it will bring people down to enjoy the beautiful beach.	Nil.	Submission noted.			

## **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Summary of Submission Officer Comment** Staff Recommendation Believes the concept plans to look fantastic Nil. Submission noted. and in consultation with developers should make this project viable. Having diversity in the site allows a potential purchase choice to make it feasible. The proposed 12-storey height is excellent with the potential mix of accommodation, commercial and residential very important. The proposal will create jobs, enhance growth and allow diverse businesses to operate which will in turn benefit the public, the region and the state. Suggest that an economy feasibility report be prepared for potential developers to gain further insight into the economic benefits of the site. I am in full support of the proposal and hope positive decisions are made in relation to this for the future of Albany.

Nil.

Submission noted.

Believes the concept plans, including the

heights, are a wonderful idea and supports any development on this site. Albany needs something of this nature to provide employment, a proper hotel, and offer the

No.

117

118

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1							
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	public different housing possibilities like apartment living.						
119	The boundary creep onto the foreshore is not an issue unless the size of the land dictates the need for a 12-storey hotel development.The visual impact of any 12-storey hotel 	<ul> <li>issues table address the matters of building height, coastal planning, heritage and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.</li> <li>State Planning Policy 2.6 – Coastal Planning contains the following policy measure:</li> <li><i>"Ensure that land use and development, including roads, adjacent to the coast is sited and designed to complement and enhance the coastal environment in terms of its visual, amenity, social and ecological values."</i></li> <li>The development is not expected to have a greater visual impact on the landscape than existing development at Checkers Walk, Morley Place, Hare Street and Wylie Crescent, when viewed from vantage points beyond the immediate area. While it will possible to distinguish any future building as free-standing when viewed from Mira</li> </ul>	Submission noted.				

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	o. Summary of Submission		Officer Comment	Staff	
				Recommendation	
		maximum. This would not be necessary if more land was allocated to the proposed hotel development.	area, albeit one that is presently developed with single, double and three-storey buildings.		
		I object to a 12-storey hotel being built on any part of the Middleton Beach Activity Centre Structure Plan. This proposal has not taken into consideration the visual impact of a potential 12-storey hotel nor has it considered the natural environment and heritage value of this site. Bringing the built environment closer to the coastline would require even more stringent guidelines in relation to the visual impact on the amenity.	It is considered that the proposals will enhance the amenity and social values of the area and will have no minimal impact on ecological values as a brownfield redevelopment. The proposals are also considered to be consistent with the following policy measures contained within <i>State</i> <i>Planning Policy 2.6</i> : <i>"(d) the amenity of the coastal</i> <i>foreshore is not detrimentally</i> <i>affected by any significant</i> <i>overshadowing of the foreshore;</i> <i>and</i>		
		The sub-division as shown in the Middleton Beach Activity Centre Structure Plan is so rigid that it would appear there is no opportunity for any amendment to either the size of the lots, the zoning of the lots or the placement of roads.	<ul> <li>(e) there is overall visual permeability of the foreshore and ocean from nearby residential areas, roads and public spaces."</li> <li>Increasing the development footprint and reducing the overall height of a</li> </ul>		
		There has been no concrete justification for a change in the current Town Planning Scheme to allow a 12-storey hotel in the Middleton Beach Activity Centre, only to	hotel would be at odds with these policy measures as it may lead to overshadowing of a larger proportion of the foreshore area and would reduce		
## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Recommendation	
No.	a return on the investment. The alteration of the current Town Planning Scheme to allow a possible 12-storey development goes against community feedback from a LandCorp survey where 67% of comments indicated a preference for two to three storeys. IMPACT ON AMENITY, CHARACTER AND HERITAGE The proposed location and scale of the proposed development as shown on the concept plan will have a significant impact on the visual amenity of the area and the character of Middleton Beach.	Centre area. LandCorp undertook their own consultation to inform the design of the proposals. The City has advertised the proposals for public comment in order to gauge community opinion and inform the final recommendation. A visual impact assessment is only required where there is a specific policy requirement, which does not exist in this instance. However, it is likely that a visual impact assessment would be submitted with any development application for a building in excess of five stories or 21.5 metres	Staff Recommendation	
	about its casual and natural residential and tourism environment. Locals and tourists alike come to Middleton Beach to			

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
	Summary of Submissionexperience the ambience and community atmosphere provided by the natural environment and its low key lifestyle.Albany is attractive to visitors due to its natural beauty and lack of multi-storey developments. Albany should not even be considering high rise developments in its overall vision for the future. Community opinion regarding high rise development was evident a few years ago during the Frederick Street unit development protest. The long term, hideous visual impact of Observation City in Scarborough should alert us to the consequences of poor and short-sighted planning decisions.A 12-storey hotel or apartment block would not be authentic. Four hotels have graced this site since the first one opened in 1892. None have been more than three stories. A historic precedent has been set. A 12- storey hotel would destroy the casual, coastal character of Middleton Beach and be inconsistent with the heritage value of		Recommendation

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
110.			Recommendation	
	<ul> <li>The visual impact of this proposal from Public Vantage Points such as the Boardwalk, Marine Drive, King George Sound, the Golf Club and Emu Point to name a few, has not undergone any formal assessment by the either the City of Albany nor the WA Planning Commission.</li> <li>While I acknowledge the need for a development on this site after almost eight years as a vacant site, I would suggest that a 12-storey development would destroy Albany's competitive advantage. It is the uniqueness of Albany that visitors crave.</li> <li>A high rise development is an easy option. Sensitive, sustainable developments require commitment to the community and its environment.</li> </ul>			
120	Is in opposition to the proposed 12-storey hotel site and would prefer to a smaller three-storey building in its place. Believes that is should not be higher than the existing Norfolk Pines.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.	

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL	PLANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
121	The 12-storey proposal is far too high and the structure is completely out of character in the proposed location. I value the natura beauty of the location, in particular the height and magnitude of the mountain as well as the parkland areas. I urge plans for a 12-storey building be abandoned and all future buildings be limited to three storeys.	addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		
122	Expresses concern regarding the 12-storey hotel site as it will completely dominate the landscape and detract from the beachfrom vista. It will also dominate the view when driving around Marine Drive to Middleton Beach. Strongly suggests a maximum height of five to six storeys.	addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		
123	I congratulate all parties for the progress made thus far on the redevelopment of the prime Middleton Beach site. I submit for you consultation on fine tuning the precise location of the hotel site and the ability to maximise the area for public open space adjacent to the beach.	issues table address the matters of parking, the public access way and road alignment, in detail.	Submission noted.		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summery of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	Marine Drive needs to be re-aligned from		
	the south east and located close to Mount		
	Adelaide reserve, taking the existing car		
	park with a further three to five metre verge		
	strip added on the south side.		
	The proposed located roundabout on		
	Flinders Parade – Adelaide Crescent be		
	moved a few meters further to the south to		
	accommodate the realignment.		
	The planned car park can be then relocated		
	on the opposite side of Marine Drive and		
	extended with further parking bays		
	adjacent Three Anchors and extending the		
	terraced Cove area.		
	The hotel can then be moved as close as		
	possible to this realignment and most		
	importantly should be angled		
	approximately 23 degrees towards the		
	northeast and directly facing the Emu Point		
	Channel.		
	The effect of this realignment will give a		
	minimum of 500m <sup>2</sup> of public beach space.		
	It will reduce the perceived impact of the		
	hotel height with its location closer to Mount		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
No.		SCHEDULE OF S Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation	
		Adelaide. The perceived fire risk from Mount Adelaide reserve will not be compromised in any way with Marine Drive and the new verge a further protection buffer. Further close parking to Three Anchors, the beach and hotel will be created. The impact of the summer solstice on the new hotel site will be advantageous to maximise shade.			
124		Believes that the original Federation style hotel was perfect and that it should be brought back as it would please most occupiers and visitors. Suggests that the new building not be higher than the tops of the Norfolk Pines (five storeys), that the style reflect Albany's history and heritage by not being another massive glass, concrete and steel monument with asymmetrical shapes. Also believes that the hotel must blend into its surroundings, and that the current proposal does not satisfy, hence why he is in opposition to the proposal. States that he would still like to a see a boutique hotel on the vacant site however.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.	

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
125	I object to the amendment to allow a height of up to 12 storeys on the Hotel/Mixed Use site in the proposed Middleton Beach Scheme Amendment and Activity Centre Structure Plan. It seems to me that a maximum of five storeys would be much more desirable. The building with a 12-storey height would forever ruin the view from the whole of the Middleton Beach stretch towards Mount Clarence. Surely a building of lesser storeys would be cheaper to build and therefore require less investment which in turn would still result in a satisfactory return on investment. Yes, less guests, but also less staff, less overheads, etc.		Submission noted.	
126	Why can't we have a really interesting boutique hotel that will blend in nicely and be a real drawcard for the region?	Itom pipe of the key incurs table	Submission noted	
126	I object to the expansion of the area available for development beyond the	addresses the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.	

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	immediate area and surrounds of the	The artist's impressions were prepared			
	former Esplanade Hotel site.	as a guide to provide the public with a			
		sense how completed buildings could			
	The location of the site allocated for the	e look and are not development			
	Hotel/Mixed Use expands beyond the	proposals.			
	former Esplanade boundary. This proposa				
	brings built development much closer to the	A visual impact assessment is only			
	coastline and will destroy the amenity and	I required where there is a specific			
	continuity of a broad grass/tree parkland	policy requirement, which does not			
	along the full length of Middleton Beach.	exist in this instance. However, it is			
		likely that a visual impact assessment			
	The Hotel/Mixed Use site has clearly beer	would be submitted with any			
	placed in such a prominent location to				
	afford maximum ocean views. With good				
	design great views can still be achieved	<b>U</b>			
	without moving the site closer to the				
	beachfront.				
	The engine of device to Middleters Deed				
	The approach down to Middleton Beach				
	along Marine Drive, which now provides a				
	spectacular outlook of the Beach, will be lost. Drivers will instead be directed to the				
	boring approach behind the Hotel and no have opportunity to view the grassed				
	parkland and beach - from the only				
	elevated road. While acknowledging that				
	re-alignment of Flinders Parade is				
	desirable, it could be done better without				

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summery of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Oncer Comment	
			Recommendation
	the Hotel site where it is now shown. Why		
	should the public amenity of road and		
	public open space be lost to a Hotel		
	development, which could well have been		
	confined substantially to the former		
	Esplanade Hotel site.		
	I object to the height of up to 12 storeys on		
	the Hotel/Mixed Use site, the height should		
	be limited to five storeys and should include		
	stepping up of development away from the		
	foreshore. In discussions between		
	authorities and stakeholders, the prospect		
	of 12 storeys has not arisen. And suddenly,		
	it is now considered necessary to attract the		
	right Developer, or 'the community will be		
	back to square one'. The development of		
	the Middleton Beach precinct can occur in		
	stages over time.		
	Because the large site is at the corner of the		
	Bay, good design would produce a building		
	with great ocean views both eastwards and		
	southwards, without the need for 12		
	storeys. And a more compact development		
	would be far more in keeping with the		
	'village' feel of the rest of the Activity		
	Centre. The community accepted the		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
		Recommendation	
investment by the State Government in the			
Middleton Beach site as a genuine			
measure to achieve an appropriate			
development.			
The challenge is to work with and			
strengthen the wonderful natural landscape			
and unique coastal identity of Middleton			
Beach, that will attract people to live in and			
visit Albany.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
<b>c</b> .			
-			
Lobject to the low number of public car			
	investment by the State Government in the Middleton Beach site as a genuine measure to achieve an appropriate development. The challenge is to work with and strengthen the wonderful natural landscape and unique coastal identity of Middleton Beach, that will attract people to live in and	investment by the State Government in the Middleton Beach site as a genuine measure to achieve an appropriate development. The challenge is to work with and strengthen the wonderful natural landscape and unique coastal identity of Middleton Beach, that will attract people to live in and visit Albany. We need to allow sustainable levels of development but we must avoid large scale commercial development that damages the character of the coastal area. Noosa has rejected high-rise and has shown that buildings over five storeys high are not required in order to have a thriving tourist economy. The maximum height for the Cottesloe foreshore is eight storeys – and this is considered very feasible to attract developers. Why is Albany expected to accept a 12-storey high-rise development that was not even approved for a metropolitan beach?	

# **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation Centre' is being designed to attract more people to Middleton Beach's facilities. The public car parking near the foreshore/beach should not be reduced, given the number of local people who regularly visit the beach. An increase of 29 bays (22%) is insufficient for additional development proposed. I do not agree with the proposal to delete the current car parking requirements for visitor and hotel users. I have seen similar developments where bays intended for public parking are occupied significantly

There is not enough parking allowed for hotel/retail employees who will have to drive to work, given the lack of public transport to Middleton Beach.

with visitors to the residential sections.

No.

I object to lack of a visual impact assessment throughout the full period when this proposal was made available. The community has been unable to assess the visual impact of the proposal including height, bulk, over shadowing and changes to public open space. This appears to be deliberately misleading, particularly given the extent of good will demonstrated by the

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1	
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH	ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE	PLAN
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS	
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
	Community to participate and engage in fruitful discussion with LandCorp and the City of Albany.		
127	The first priority is to recognise the effect of the future structure of the Hotel on the present owners and long term supporters of the Middleton beach residential and recreational area.	table address the matters of building	Submissions noted.
	Every effort must be made to make to blend the hotel into the present landscape. A building of 12 storeys in the present position as proposed will be unacceptable. Therefore, no to 12 storeys as proposed. The Council has been given the opportunity to work with the planning commission to change the use of Council-controlled land to multi-residential and have the new hotel backed right up to mount Adelaide where 12 storeys or even more may be acceptable, as there would be very little interruption to present views and it would enhance the presence of the hotel with Mount Adelaide as a backdrop – particularly when approaching from the east.	fire risk assessment. This document ascertains that the development sites are sufficiently setback to allow the	

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1	
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH	ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE	PLAN
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS	
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
	The west wall of the hotel will not have a view in the proposed site, so siting it further back is the correct way to go.		
	Fire risk has to be considered and dealt with in the present proposal.		
	Housing is promoted on the Mountain Side. Fire risk must also be considered there.		
	The set of four complexes as mixed use are considered satisfactory.		
	Marine Drive giving access to the beach must be maintained. It is totally unacceptable for the hotel to control the beachfront. Only seven bays which may service Three Anchors is not enough.		
	My very considered recommendation is to push the Hotel back into the mountain.		
128	I agree with the basic concept plan and the positioning of the highest development backing onto Mount Adelaide. Suggests design elements of the hotel for preservation against ocean winds.	Item six of the key issues table addresses the matter of wind in detail.	Submission noted.

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
129	Rezoning Lot 8888 Flinders Parade from	Item one of the key issues table	Submission noted.
	'Hotel/Motel' and 'Tourist Residential'	addresses the matter of building height	
	zones is acceptable that the site no longer	in detail.	
	be zoned 'hotel/motel' but it is essential for		
	preserving beachside accessibility for the	The hotel/mixed use site cannot be	
	public and local community that it not	moved closer to the side of Mount	
	become exclusive for residential purposes	Adelaide due to the implications that	
	only.	this would have from a bushfire risk	
		perspective.	
	This site must have some 'tourist		
	residential' and retail/specialty/bars/cafes.	While increasing parking numbers, the	
	This appears to be adequately covered by	inclusion of on-street parking is an	
	'Special Use Zone SU25' as listed in	urban design principle that is proven to	
	'conditions', so I am supportive of this.	have a 'calming' effect on through	
	However, it is imperative that the natural	traffic by forcing drivers to reduce their	
	environment, rich cultural and European	speed. This principle has recently	
	heritage, and the unique coastal character	been applied on Stirling Terrace and	
	of Middleton Beach be preserved. This	has resulted in a much more	
	means I strongly oppose high rise, six	pedestrian-friendly environment with	
	storeys at maximum and that it be designed	vehicles travelling at lesser speed.	
	in stepped up from the beach. Otherwise it		
	will erode the precious aspects of Middleton		
	Beach.		
	Similarly for Lots 660 and 661 Marine		
	Terrace, portions of Adelaide crescent,		
	Marine Terrace, Barnett Street, Flinders		
	Parade and Marine Drive, rezoning parts of		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	the roads from 'Priority Road' to Local Road		
	reserves and Special Use Zone SU25 is		
	acceptable. As an aside, I wonder why		
	Adelaide Crescent isn't also re-aligned so		
	that the hotel motel can be close up against		
	the mountain side, thereby preserving the		
	beachfront. I am concerned at the proposal		
	to increase street-side parking, this would		
	reduce pedestrian and bike safety and		
	clutter the adjoining beachside area.		
	Parking needs to be diverted either to		
	underground on the existing site, north of		
	the surf club, or to additional parking zones		
	near the south end of the golf course or		
	east side of Eyre Park. Also increased		
	regular, frequent and reliable public		
	transport by 'mini-buses' not large		
	transporters, would be a preferred Council		
	priority.		
	I support the special use zone and applaud		
	the potential for more community		
	interaction and activity, public artworks and		
	recreational opportunities including cycle-		
	friendliness. A 'village' feel is my key wish		
	in all that is to be redesigned.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
No.						
	Drainage is my key concern and request that serious consideration be given to upgrading and rerouting the drainage away from the beachfront. Currently the drainage is an eyesore and it will not entice developers.		Recommendation			
130	I grew up in Albany and regularly return with my husband and children to visit family and friends. I was shocked and disappointed at the design of the proposed hotel. It does not compliment the beautiful natural beach or hill environment. It looks like any standard high rise building seen on any street in any city centre in the world. Middleton Beach and Albany are incredibly unique. We can only hope that this monstrosity does not go ahead and a classic, architecturally appropriate hotel in context with the natural surrounding is built instead.	The artist's impressions were prepared as a guide to provide the public with a sense how completed buildings could look and are not development proposals. Design Guidelines are being prepared for the Activity Centre area, which should ensure that any development is appropriate to the locality.	Submission noted.			
131	We object to the expansion of the area available for development outside the immediate area and surrounds of the former Esplanade Hotel site.	Items one and nine of the key issues table address the matters of building height and the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.			

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	We object to the height of up to 12 storeys	A visual impact assessment is only		
	on the Hotel/Mixed Use site. We object to	required where there is a specific		
	the lack of a visual impact assessment	policy requirement, which does not		
	through the full period when this proposal	exist in this instance. However, it is		
	was made available for public consultation.	likely that a visual impact assessment would be submitted with any		
	We regularly visit coastal centres on the	development application for a building		
	south coasts of South Australia and	in excess of five stories or 21.5 metres		
	Victoria. The communities in those cities	in height.		
	and towns seem to understand			
	development and tourism in a way that it	LandCorp undertook their own		
	seems The City of Albany do not. The	consultation to inform the design of the		
	visual amenity of these coastal towns is	proposals. The City has advertised the		
	intact with no high-rise. Indeed Noosa does	proposals for public comment in order		
	not deem it necessary to have buildings	to gauge community opinion and		
	beyond five stories in order to attract tourists.	inform the final recommendation.		
		The proposal has been referred to the		
	We suggest that you immediately scrap	Environmental Protection Authority,		
	your proposed Middleton Beach Scheme	which determined that the proposal		
	Amendment and Activity Centre Structure	does not require assessment.		
	Plan and come up with something that will			
	only allow a development that is of five	The development sites are sufficiently		
	stories or less and is stepped back from the	setback to allow the construction of		
	beach, and does not involve any	e e		
	encroachment on the existing foreshore	<ul> <li>Construction of Buildings in Bushfire</li> </ul>		
	reserve.	Prone Areas in order to manage		
	•	-		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
No.	Summary of SubmissionIt should be noted that a significant outcome of your community consultation is that the top concern people expressed was that the height of any proposed building would exceed three to five storeys.In fact, the majority (67%) of respondents in your 'Community Feedback Outcomes' stated a preference for no more than three storeys, while only 15% preferred three to five storeys, 8.2% preferred five to seven storeys and a mere 2.7% preferred higher. What is proposed shows no respect for community views and values.An up to 12-storey scenario was never mentioned during the public consultation period. There is no doubt that this scenario would have been rejected outright.The relationship of the built environment to its natural setting is one that is balanced and visually pleasing. By allowing up to a 12-storey building in such a natural setting would see the end of the visual amenity		
	12-storey building in such a natural setting would see the end of the visual amenity would set a precedent for this type of building to multiply.		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	The dismissive manner in which the				
	document deals with the biodiversity and				
	natural area assets is disappointing. The				
	flora and fauna of the adjacent Mount				
	Adelaide will be adversely impacted by this				
	proposal. Significant overshadowing will				
	impact on the general growth and				
	regeneration of native flora and lead to				
	degradation. The vegetation is important				
	habitat for a range of species in this				
	conservation area. We note that some of				
	the avifauna mentioned are endangered				
	and will undoubtedly be adversely affected				
	by the proposed development and its				
	proximity to native vegetation.				
	Management of fire hazards related to a				
	building of the magnitude proposed is also				
	another risk to the retention of the adjacent				
	Mount Adelaide bushland.				
	We believe that the State Government and				
	its agencies should be working to conserve				
	and enhance the character and outstanding				
	natural beauty of Middleton Beach not				
	proposing to devalue and degrade it by				
	inappropriate development.				

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
132	I would like to see developers create a	Nil.	Submission noted.		
	complex that is an example of green				
	building design using ideas that reduce				
	energy consumption, water usage and				
	minimise waste. Suggestion of having a				
	community space for gardens, encouraging				
	a collaboration and sharing of ideas and				
	common resources.				
	This is a brilliant site to showcase Albany				
	as an innovative City leading the way with				
	sustainable design.				
133	Expresses concern to the proposal of a 12-	Items one and two of the key issues	Submission noted.		
	storey hotel site as it would detract from the	table address the matters of building			
	natural scenery of the area to which most	height and overshadowing in detail.			
	locals and tourists find its main attraction.				
	Also expresses concern regarding the				
	overshadowing that would come from such				
	a tall structure and the stability of building				
	in close proximity to the beach. Believes				
	that development is needed for the area,				
	but asks that more consideration go into the				
	preparation of the proposal.				
134	We would like to advise the council that we	Nil.	Submission noted.		
	are wholly in favour of the whole				
	concept. We think a building of this height				

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	will give a real touristy feel to this area. We			
	also believe that the proposal to have			
	mixed shops, restaurants, coffee houses			
	and accommodation is an excellent			
	idea. In fact it reminds us very much of the			
	water front in Cairns which has great			
	atmosphere.			
	We also think that private housing needs to			
	be included in this site. This will give a solid			
	base of people who are in that area using			
	the cafes, restaurants, etc. We also feel			
	that having private homes in the area will			
	increase the value of the land in that area.			
	Further the height of the building is			
	appropriate as it will give the occupants of			
	the motel a great view and will be a great			
	draw card in its own right. Without a doubt			
	the views will be amongst the best in			
	Western Australia if not the world. If we			
	want to be serious about tourism in Albany			
	we need to be serious about this plan.			
135	I object to the amendment to allow a height	Item one of the key issues table	Submission noted.	
	of up to 12 storeys on the Hotel/Mixed Use			
	site in the proposed Middleton Beach			
	Amendment and Activity Centre. The			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation					
	maximum height should be limited to five storeys.					
136	I am opposed to a development in excess of four to five storeys as anything higher than this is completely inappropriate with the current environment, confronting and out of proportion to the existing buildings. Tourists visit Albany for its unique natural environment and its sense of history and culture, which the development should embrace. Any new development should be modest in scale and height to compliment the environment, not overwhelm it.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
137	I dread the thought of the character of the area being desecrated by such a high-rise proposal. I have seen the submission made by Pam Dolley and agree with her argument.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
138	We do not believe that a building on this site should be any more than five storeys high.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
139	Believes the proposal should go ahead as Albany is a major tourism destination. States the proposal will create employment for youth, an economic boost to Albany and	Nil.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S						
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	to tourism. Comments that if it were up to those opposed the proposal, nothing would be approved.						
140	Express their strong opposition to a 12- storey hotel as it would impact Ellen Cove.	Nil.	Submission noted				
141	Believes the height of any building should not exceed the height of Norfolk Pines on the foreshore. Suggests the material used in the building should ensure that building fits into the landscape, rather than sticking out like a 'sore thumb'. Also suggest gardens around building be compatible with native flora and fit into the shore line aesthetic.	Items one and nine of the key issues table address the matters of building height and the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.				
	Believes it is very important that any building placed in this beautiful spot does not detract from the natural scene, rather enhances it.						
142	Although some aspects of the proposal appear sensible and could result in better amenities and use of the area, I am disappointed in the proposed hotel size and location. I firmly believe that a lower profile would be more in keeping with the existing beachfront area and ensure ongoing use of	Items one and three of the key issues table address the matters of building height and parking in detail.	Submission noted.				

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
110.	ourinnary of oubinission		Recommendation
	the area as a family and resident-friendly beach. This could be a great opportunity for an aesthetic and environmental sensitivity to be included in the area and give Albany a uniquely southern coast design. Instead, the proposal (although conceptual) appears to have settled for maximising		Recommendation
	rooms at the cost of turning this beautiful area into a beachfront similar to ones found anywhere in the world. I would like to see the height restricted to five stories maximum and the hotel set back further from the foreshore.		
	I would like to see stringent conditions on design and materials used to minimise the environmental footprint, and to encourage some creative design rather than a square box. Be ambitious in style, not size!		
	These comments also apply to the residential/commercial/activity centre parts of the proposal, although they seem to at least be more in keeping with the scale of the site.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	I remain unconvinced that parking has been adequately considered even though, as a local I often walk rather than drive to the beach. On long weekends or in holiday periods currently it is very difficult to get parking so I am concerned that needs have been underestimated and that the small public green spaces will end up being sacrificed for additional parking as soon as the re-development occurs.						
	I would like to see some measures in place to limit the potential for a beachfront hotel to end up as a place where loud drinking and music overwhelms the ambience for other beachfront users. I would hate to see the beachfront become a place where it is unpleasant to be because it caters more to drinkers than to swimmers, walkers and picnickers.						
143	I strongly object to a twelve storey hotel being built at Middleton Beach. I have seen the LandCorp pictures of what it would look like and find it an eyesore at our beautiful waterfront. Any development here should	Items one and nine of the key issues table address the matters of building height and the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	be limited to the five storeys as originally suggested.					
144	I am appalled at the obvious disregard that the design has for the environment. The hotel site is oversized and dwarfs existing buildings, so it should not be more than four storeys. The design is unsympathetic to the existing style of buildings in the area. The style and scale of the hotel is brash, ugly and inappropriate for Albany. The central plan is more modest.	table address the matters of building height and the location of the hotel within the development area in detail.	Submission noted.			
145	I object to the expansion of the area available for development beyond the immediate area and surrounds of the former Esplanade Hotel site. There is enviable beauty and amenity in the trees and grasses along the foreshore. Why lose it for commercial interests? Drivers will not have opportunity to appreciate the wonderful view from Marine Drive into the Middleton Beach area. I object to the height of up to 12 storeys on the Hotel/Mixed Use site. The height should be limited to five storeys	<ul> <li>issues table address the matters of building height, parking and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.</li> <li>A visual impact assessment is only required where there is a specific policy requirement, which does not exist in this instance. However, it is likely that a visual impact assessment would be submitted with any development application for a building</li> </ul>	Submisison noted.			

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
		Recommendation
and should include stepping up of		
development away from the foreshore.	LandCorp undertook their own	
	consultation to inform the design of the	
In discussions between authorities and	proposals. The City has advertised the	
stakeholders, the prospect of 12 storeys	proposals for public comment in order	
has not arisen. It should not even be	to gauge community opinion and	
considered. Why do we need a 12-storey	inform the final recommendation.	
development, apart from commercial		
viability?		
Once one building is approved to 12		
like any other high-rise coastline.		
Buildings and development reflect fashions		
5		
-		
We need to allow sustainable levels of		
	<ul> <li>development away from the foreshore.</li> <li>In discussions between authorities and stakeholders, the prospect of 12 storeys has not arisen. It should not even be considered. Why do we need a 12-storey development, apart from commercial viability?</li> <li>Once one building is approved to 12 storeys, an argument will exist for others to follow, and our Middleton Beach will look like any other high-rise coastline.</li> <li>If the hotel site was moved closer to the foot of Mount Adelaide, so that it was not creating a height silhouette, or blocking the wonderful vista, it may be less of a problem, but there should still be a limit of five storeys.</li> <li>Buildings and development reflect fashions and commercial demands of the day. We are custodians, to care for our natural resources for future generations, not to exploit them.</li> </ul>	and should include stepping up of development away from the foreshore. In discussions between authorities and stakeholders, the prospect of 12 storeys has not arisen. It should not even be considered. Why do we need a 12-storey development, apart from commercial viability? Once one building is approved to 12 storeys, an argument will exist for others to follow, and our Middleton Beach will look like any other high-rise coastline. If the hotel site was moved closer to the foot of Mount Adelaide, so that it was not creating a height silhouette, or blocking the wonderful vista, it may be less of a problem, but there should still be a limit of five storeys. Buildings and development reflect fashions and commercial demands of the day. We are custodians, to care for our natural resources for future generations, not to exploit them.

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	development. But we must also avoid large		
	scale commercial development that		
	damages the character of this beach and		
	coastal area forever. If high-rise is		
	necessary for commercial viability, could an		
	alternative mixed business/accommodation		
	model be considered?		
	I object to the low number of public car		
	parking bays provided for. The public car		
	parking near the foreshore/beach should		
	not be reduced as per the proposal, given		
	the number of local people who regularly		
	visit the beach. An increase of 29 bays		
	(22%) is insufficient for additional		
	development proposed. There is not		
	enough parking allowed for hotel/retail		
	employees who will have to drive to work,		
	given the lack of public transport to		
	Middleton Beach.		
	I object to the lack of a visual impact		
	assessment throughout the full period		
	when this proposal was made available for		
	public consultation. The community has		
	been unable to assess the visual impact of		
	the proposal including height, bulk,		

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	overshadowing and changes to public open space.					
146	<ul> <li>I don't want anything under discussion to happen at the site. I don't want the view altered, listen to construction for two or more years, change my walk route each morning or walk in the shadow of tall buildings. But I am putting aside personal preferences and commenting on the greater good.</li> <li>I think a hotel development would be better placed in town where visitors would support existing retail infrastructure which struggles outside prime tourism season.</li> <li>I hope that there has been a full marketing plan that ensures the proposed hotel and retail spaces at Middleton Beach would be fully utilised and not become the dead heart of Middleton Beach.</li> <li>I hope the development of a new hotel is not detrimental to existing businesses.</li> <li>I do not think there is adequate parking in the new development. When we all head to the beachside pub for the Sunday</li> </ul>	Items one, two, three and nine of the key issues table address the matters of building height, overshadowing, parking and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail. The City of Albany and Landcorp are currently working to upgrade the stormwater management arrangements within the Activity Centre area.	Submission noted.			

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	session there will be more cars than carparks.		
	I am concerned about the wastewater. I read in the thick bound plan submission at the City of Albany office that existing systems would be utilised. I question that existing systems can absorb the increase outputs without environmentally detrimental overflows.		
	Shade on the beach is a real issue for a 12- storey building. The modelling of shadow in the concept plan showed 21 June at 9am, noon and 3pm. We want to know about 21 January 5pm when we get home from work and want a quick swim.		
	There will be a significant increase in vehicle numbers on Marine Drive/Burgoyne Road, Middleton Road and possibly Adelaide Crescent. I do not want to see increased traffic on Adelaide Crescent as it will spoil the main recreation area at Eyre Park. Adelaide Crescent should be for local traffic.		

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Summary of Submission Officer Comment** No. Staff Recommendation am concerned that 12 storeys is inappropriate for the hotel. However if 12 storeys allows for a smaller footprint than a five storey hotel it may be acceptable. I would like to congratulate the developer on the thought they have put into the proposal. I believe they have worked hard to present a workable plan. I understand that 12 storeys may make for a feasible proposition but would prefer less height. Asks when Albany will get it right with the Nil. 147 Submission noted. Esplanade hotels, as the previous two did not work. Suggests to not name the proposed hotel the Esplanade and to start with a clean slate. 148 States he has no issue with a hotel being at Items one and two of the key issues Submission noted. Middleton Beach, but more concerns with table address the matters of building its shadow effect of the proposal in the height and overshadowing in detail. afternoons at Ellen Cove. Believes 12 storeys to be too high a proposal and that the site itself needs to be set back from the beach front. Suggests that the site be shifted more towards to hill and tiered as in Noosa. Does not wish to

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation					
	see the 3pm shadows on the beach as seen in Surfer's Paradise.					
149	I am generally supportive of the plan, including the incorporation of commercial and retail areas, public open space and road layout.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
	High density options need to be supported in Albany to make the most of high amenity locations. Therefore, I support the multistorey proposal to a degree. I also feel that developers need to be respectful to the existing amenity of the area and that a 12- storey hotel would be inappropriate. This has been confirmed by my inspection of the photo montages that have been prepared. I would support a maximum seven storey development for the hotel, with the other buildings in the precinct being up to five storeys. The current plan shows the buildings increasing in height as they get closer to Mount Adelaide, which I support. I understand that having a residential component to the development will					

# CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1 AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff Recommendation as it is clear the proportion, number and location of residential versus short term accommodation and other commercial uses. I hope that local businesses will be given the opportunity to be involved in future

No.

	accommodation and other commercial uses. I hope that local businesses will be given the opportunity to be involved in future planning for the site. I note that only one local consultant was used in the compilation of planning information for the Structure Plan, which is disappointing, when expertise exists in the Albany.	
150	States that she is glad that something is being done with the area. Would like to add that more access routes to the beach for both able-bodied and disabled, the height of the hotel is too high and needs to be screened better my trees, and that the hotel's footings need to be in granite as storm surges create inundation of water in the area.	Submission noted.
151	I object to the amendment to allow a height of up to 12 storeys on the Hotel/Mixed use site in the proposed Middleton Beach Scheme Amendment. The maximum height should be limited to five storeys with a more	Submission noted.

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	compact development to be more suitable to the grassed areas and treed beach area. We have waited so long for this development, please don't spoil it now with a high-rise 12-storey building which will change the character of the Middleton Beach area.					
152	Expresses her strong disapproval of the development proposed as she cannot simply believe it.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
153	Believes that the low-key ambience of Middleton Beach will be destroyed by the dominance of a 12-storey hotel. The ambience is what makes the area so attractive to tourists as they are seeking out smaller, boutique-style hotels and not the hotel shown in the concept plans. Suggests a five-storey hotel would blend into the area better. States that a satisfactory return in investments can be make for a smaller hotel and that Middleton Beach mustn't be destroyed for high-rise.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff Recommendation         154       I wish to suggest that the development should have a maximum of five storeys, and that if the financial viability of this is       Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.       Submission noted.						
154       I wish to suggest that the development should have a maximum of five storeys, and that if the financial viability of this is       Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.       Submission noted.						
should have a maximum of five storeys, addresses the matter of building height and that if the financial viability of this is in detail.	1					
uncertain for developers and subsequent business then the Western Australian government should subsidise the gap. We regard Middleton Beach as one of the jewels of WA for the fact that it is still devoid of high developments. This is what attracts many visitors to Albany. Even if the proposed 12-storey building is subtly angled into the corner of the landscape, it opens the way to future developments of similar height. One also hopes also that however many storeys exist, that they are for short term accommodation and not a blight on the landscape for the pleasure of a few well-heeled apartment owners/investors who may often be absent, such as is observed on Perth waterfront properties. Please maintain the naturally scenic and low key uniqueness of the beach precinct for locals and regular visitors alike, by						

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	character, allowing the natural topography to continue to indelibly make lasting impressions. High-rise is everywhere globally, careful restraint is a rare attribute for tourist precincts.					
155	Expresses concern regarding the proposed hotel height of up to 12 storeys. Believes it should not exceed five storeys.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
156	<ul> <li>As a long term resident of Albany and 45 years' experience as a property developer and resort owner, the development at Middleton Beach must have:</li> <li>a) A very strong upmarket residential component of at least 40 units of approximately 140m<sup>2</sup> each on the top 4-6 floors of the mixed development building. The developer should be able to justify if the market is deemed to be big enough for an additional two floors. Taking the building to 14 floors with 8 permanent residential floors would give the developer an income from sales of \$50 million. This then makes it attractive enough to persuade the right developer to participate.</li> </ul>	Nil.	Submission noted.			
### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	<ul> <li>b) Because of the seasonality the commercial businesses on the ground floor sections of the total area need to have permanent residents to maintain the viability during the winter months. This will attract high net worth retirees with an accommodation type currently unavailable in Albany.</li> <li>c) Short term rentals and commercial areas of the total development will always go well during the summer periods and as a resort operator over the last 22 years in Denmark alone, the commercial area demise is the off-</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>season which these permanent retirees will support.</li> <li>d) As all developers now require at least 60% in presales before bank finance is available it may be necessary to give these selected developers opportunity to test the market before committal.</li> <li>Finally, it is a unique opportunity in the best position on the south coast, so it is essential to make the proposal attractive enough to</li> </ul>		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	get the best result with a development up and running as soon as possible.					
157	<ul> <li>The possibility of a 12-storey hotel on Middleton Beach does not suit the area. There is nothing near this height anywhere in the whole of Albany so a maximum of five or six storeys is desirable. Why put priority on the hotel above the local population and other visitors for use of the beach front land.</li> <li>Place the hotel back on the original location of the old Esplanade hotel and keep the height to five to six storeys high as is already planned for that area. Allow the beach front grassland and Norfolk Pine trees to remain untouched and be accessible to all visitors. This would be preferable using up a finite piece of beachfront by putting the hotel next to the Three Anchors cafe.</li> <li>The number of prospective apartment dwellers at a possible 687 people is far too many. That number of people will have a direct impact on the feel of the area. By putting the hotel here it also lessens the number of permanent residents.</li> </ul>	issues table address the matters of building height, parking and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	A total of 29 extra parking bays does not cover the number of people who would be attracted to this area and indeed would already being living there. I was told at the information session at the Albany Surf Lifesaving Club by a LandCorp employee that there will not even be dedicated visitors parking provided for the apartments. So they have to fit into the 29 extra parking bays when there is a possibility of 687 people having friends with cars visit them. Lastly the increased amount of traffic is of concern, no amount of traffic calming devices are going to make the road less busy.					
158	The multi-storied complex might look in place in a busy suburbia but negates the tranquillity of Albany. States that as a Bed & Breakfast owner, the thing that tourists love about Albany is the quiet lifestyle.	Item one and eight of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
159	The proposed Hotel/Mixed Use site should not exceed six storeys in height as the building will cast a shadow over Three Anchors. The concept plans show this hotel site outside the Esplanade block – why? Suggests that one parking bay for	Items one, two and nine of the key issues table address the matters of building height, overshadowing and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	each rental room within the hotel site should be provided. Believes that the proposal sets a bad precedent for years to come.					
160	<ul> <li>I do not support a 12-storey building in the Hotel/Mixed Use precinct as it will impact heavily on the natural environment of the area. I am in favour of development but 12 storeys will be most inappropriate.</li> <li>Believes that through years of previous workshops, it's clear that redevelopment is strongly supported at the old Esplanade site, but want to retain a low rise, low key village.</li> <li>I do not support something that contradicts the community ideals.</li> <li>I do not support the connection of Flinders Parade and Barnett Street as cars will be</li> </ul>	Items one and eight of the key issues table address the matters of building height and road alignment in detail.	Submission noted.			
161	dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists.         Believes we need to look at clever ways of building tourism accommodation that does	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.			
	not impinge on the unique landscape of the	in detail.				

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS					
No.						
	area. Also believes that 12 storeys is too high.					
162	The proposed 12-storey building is not in keeping with the way Albany should be developed. We do not want to start looking like the Gold Coast. Our uniqueness would be severely compromised. A building of four storeys would be an acceptable option.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.			
163	Albany's first hotel should not be built at Middleton Beach, it should be at the marina foreshore with the revamped Stirling Terrace, AEC, UWA and Queen Victoria Gardens, which is ideally the heart of Albany.I still support the proposed tourist- residential development proposal for Middleton Beach as I envisage three-storey narrow frontage buildings to maximise potential development. I have concerns with the 10-12 storey hotel site as this is Albany's family orientated area and aesthetics should be retained. Also have concerns regarding the shadow of such a building is it will affect Three Anchors, the playground area and Ellen Cove in summer	marketing the designated hotel site at the Albany Foreshore for a number of years now, without success. It has been indicated by prospective developers that the five-storey building height limit and restriction on permanent residential units that apply are too restrictive and that development is not commercially viable. Items one and two of the key issues table address the matters of building	Submission noted.			

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation afternoons. I suggest and support a maximum of six storeys of commercial ground floors with upper storeys for residents. The proposed height of up to 12 storeys for Items one and two of the key issues Submission noted. 164 a hotel is a major concern as images table address the matters of building provided by LandCorp of numerous views height and overshadowing in detail. show a building dominating and overpowering its surrounds. I suggest a While the comments regarding the type height maximum of five-storeys stepped of shop are noted. However, provided back from ground level. This would be just that a proposed use fits within the below the height of the Norfolk Pines. definition of a 'Shop' under Local Planning Scheme No.1, the City Shadows cast by the proposed hotel will cannot make a distinction between independent impact on the playgrounds, Three Anchors operators and and Ellen Cove in summer/tourism chain/franchise stores. seasons. Middleton Beach is historically a casual, family orientated beach, and this character must be retained with a sympathetic, people friendly Activity Centre with commercial options. The retail outlets should exclude chains/franchises and include small shops.

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Summary of Submission Officer Comment** No. Staff Recommendation Traffic management has been well planned. Predicted parking shortage can be offset by using spaces at Eyre Park, etc. and frequent shuttle buses in summer. Supports the development but wants to Item one of the key issues table Submission noted. 165 ensure that it be open to every socioaddresses the matter of building height economic level, also supports the rein detail. alignment of traffic for better beach access. Is in opposition to 12 storey hotel site, and suggests four to five storeys as a substitute. Hotel facilities will become known at 166 I believe that the planned hotel site should Submission noted. have a variety of rooms suitable for the development stage. conferences/seminars, etc. At least one such room needs to be for large gatherings which is better than what Albany currently provides. This would help support the hotel by bringing business functions, club and society functions, etc. to Albany. 167 States that it is a tremendous responsibility Item one of the key issues table Submission noted. to make decisions for future development addresses the matter of building height but believes that Council will continue to in detail. preserve the character of this great place. Surely five storey buildings in Ellen Cove is sure for congestion and is out of character.

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation					
	High-rise, traffic lights and congestion belong in places like Noosa but not on the foreshore of King George Sound.					
168	<ul> <li>We fully support the proposed changes to Middleton Beach as it is a great mix of hotel, private land ownership and business. Albany needs tourism to expand to provide jobs for youth to stay in the town. This proposal does not spoil Middleton Beach but enhance it.</li> <li>Suggest a luge be created from the top of Mount Adelaide (ANZAC Centre) to Middleton Beach with a cable car as seen in New Zealand as it would stimulate visitation to major attractions.</li> </ul>	Nil.	Submission noted.			
169	LandCorp did not provide visual depictions of the proposed development, showing its visual impacts from popular vantage points such as the beach and boardwalk, until the 8 <sup>th</sup> of April. This information was provided to several community members after they requested this several weeks before, but the general public has not had an	The visual depictions of the proposed development from vantage points, such as the beach and boardwalk, were produced in response to early community comments. These depictions were then added to the LandCorp website, which was	It is recommended that the proposed amendment to Schedule 4 – Special Use Zones is modified as			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

	Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff		
No.	Summary of Submissionopportunity to see or comment on this information. The community information session organised by LandCorp did not include these depictions, only aerial and overhead artist impressions. The information on the City of Albany's and LandCorp's websites was incorrect and misleading.For the majority of the consultation period the City of Albany's and LandCorp's websites showed a 'preferred development option' that was an out of date plan not now being proposed. This plan was provided to me by City of Albany officers, who printed it off from LandCorp's website believing it to be the valid plan for comment. The City of Albany's website contained no other information on the proposal other than the form for public comment, instead using a link to LandCorp's website. LandCorp's website contained 14 downloadable documents, with no advice on which documents needed to be referred to, or comment provided on. The first document in this list was the Concept Plan that forms	<ul> <li>website.</li> <li>The Minister for Lands issued a media statement on the day after the Council meeting where it was resolved to adopt the local planning scheme amendment for the purpose of public advertising. However, the City was not in a position to advertise the amendment for a week following the meeting, due to newspaper advertising deadlines. It was during this period that the submitter first visited the City of Albany offices seeking information.</li> <li>The City was expecting updated documentation from the proponent, incorporating some minor changes, prior to public advertising. In order to avoid confusion by circulating an incorrect Structure Plan, the submitter was given a copy of the 'preferred development option' plan from the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff Recommendation</li> <li>Replacement of "P" (Permitted) with 'D' (Discretionary) against "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" in the "Special Use" column under "Hotel / Mixed Use Precinct";</li> <li>Insertion of a new notation "2" against "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" and "Multiple Dwelling (above 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" to read as follows: '(2) Means that the permissibility of the use shall be contingent upon prior or concurrent construction of a hotel.'; and</li> <li>Renumbering existing notation "(2)" as notation '3'.</li> </ul>

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
	Caninary of Casiniccion		Recommendation
	study, master landscape plan, drainage	Structure Plan, but that the final draft	Recommendation
	study and so on) are lengthy documents,		
	that the public would find difficult to	public advertising period that would	
	understand or comment, and would have	commence in a week's time.	
	put people off from making comment.		
	Effectively, the community were swamped		
	with lengthy and detailed studies, rather	advertising period, the documentation	
	than guided to the key documents on which	was made available on the LandCorp	
	comment was sought (the Amendment and	website, which was hyperlinked from	
	Precinct Plan).	an advertising notice on the City of	
		Albany website. The advertising	
	LandCorp has focused their engagement	notice clearly stated that the City was	
	on the 'Concept Plan', which has no	seeking comment on the local	
	statutory basis and is indicative only. Of	planning scheme amendment and	
	most concern is the lack of information on	Activity Centre Structure Plan. These	
	the visual impact of the proposal. Given the	documents were clearly labelled on	
	scheme amendment is seeking a dramatic	the LandCorp website, while the	
	increase in building height adjacent to a	supporting studies were labelled as	
	beach, the public deserved to be provided	appendices to the Activity Centre	
	with unbiased information to assist them in	Structure Plan. The intent of providing	
	making comment on the proposal.		
	LandCorp did not do this, but rather	confuse the public but to provide	
	provided artist's impressions from oblique		
	or aerial viewpoints (not public places).		
	They have not provided a model of the	in the past for not providing enough	
	development, despite advising they would		
	do this on their website some 12 months	-	
	ago. They have provided no scaled		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	drawings of the development, showing	Furthermore, a date was set at the	
	cross sections from the foreshore despite	commencement of the public	
	being requested to do so from members of	advertising period for a public	
	the public. They refused to demarcate the	information session that was to be held	
	foreshore boundary on site, despite being	in order to provide an opportunity for	
	requested to do so from members of the	members of the public to ask questions	
	public. They refused to use a crane to	directly of LandCorp and City staff.	
	show the real height of the development,	City staff were also available to answer	
	even though there was a request to do so	questions for the duration of the public	
	and a willingness to pay for this from the	advertising period.	
	community. The development will be highly		
	visible and greatly alter the landscape, and	The concept plan was utilised by	
	so the lack of suitable visual impact	LandCorp to illustrate the intended	
	assessment information from the	development outcome, as it shows	
	consultation process until a few days before	landscaping and notional building	
	the end of the consultation period is a major	types, which are not included on the	
	concern. It also needs to be pointed out the	Activity Centre Structure Plan. It is	
	development will be subject to design	considered that the best type of	
	principles, that have also not been made	information has been provided to	
	public. There is a planning policy	illustrate the overall visual impact of	
	requirement that the community should be	the proposals.	
	provided with sufficient information so that	The proposed local planning scheme	
	they can make informed input to planning	amendment sets a number of land	
	development, particularly of this scale next	uses as 'A' uses, which means that	
	to the coast. This has not occurred in this	they are discretionary and require	
	case, and due to the scale of the	advertising for public comment, prior to	
	development and requirement for the	determination. This includes any	
	development to be approved by the State's	development on the hotel/mixed use	

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	Development Assessment Panel, the local	site in excess of five stories or 21.5		
	community is not likely to have a later input.	metres in height. Although any		
	I ask that the consultation period be	significant development may be		
	extended and include provision of the	determined by a Joint Development		
	recently completed visual impact images,	Assessment Panel, the public will have		
	as well as demarcation of the development	an opportunity to comment on certain		
	on site, and scaled drawings so that the	proposals.		
	community clearly is able to comprehend			
	the scale and impact of the proposal. I	Items one, two and six of the issues		
	would add that public comments from the	table address the matters of building		
	Council's mayor, that the development will	height, overshadowing and wind, in		
	not be visible as it is positioned next to	detail.		
	Mount Adelaide are inappropriate and			
	totally misleading.	State Planning Policy 2.6 – Coastal		
		Planning contains the following policy		
	I support a development height restriction	measure:		
	of up to six storeys for the Hotel/Mixed Use	"Frances that land use and		
	Precinct. I do not support higher	"Ensure that land use and development, including roads,		
	development given its adverse impacts on	adjacent to the coast is sited and		
	the visual amenity, landscape and public	designed to complement and enhance		
	enjoyment of nearby areas, particularly	the coastal environment in terms of its		
	Middleton Beach. There is a policy	visual, amenity, social and ecological		
	requirement that development takes into	values."		
	account the topography and landscape	The development is not every start to		
	character of the locality (e.g. State Coastal	The development is not expected to have a greater visual impact on the		
	Planning Policy). Local studies (e.g. City of	landscape than existing development		
	Albany 2015 Middleton Beach amenity	at Checkers Walk, Morley Place, Hare		
	study) showed users of the beach valued its	Street and Wylie Crescent, when		
		viewed from vantage points beyond the		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	natural beauty. The green backdrop of Mt Adelaide dominates the view looking south along this iconic beach. The proposed building of up to 12 storeys in the Hotel/Mixed Use precinct is twice the height of the foreshore Norfolk Island Pine trees and, as shown by LandCorp images, will dominate views from popular public vantage points, including the boardwalk and beach. The building is totally out of character with the locality and will overpower the landscape, transforming it into an urban and City Centre landscape. This is exacerbated by both the proposed height of the development, and its close proximity to the beach. These impacts are contrary to the policies mentioned. The present planning restrictions on building height were introduced to protect public amenity, and no justification has been provided to amend the development height. The introduction of mixed uses, rather than purely Hotel/Motel will enable development to occur on the site, and it is incorrect to say an increased height restriction is required to enable development of the area.	<ul> <li>to distinguish any future building as free-standing when viewed from Mira Mar, it is part of an established urban area, albeit one that is presently developed with single, double and three-storey buildings.</li> <li>It is considered that the proposals will enhance the amenity and social values of the area and will have no minimal impact on ecological values as a brownfield redevelopment.</li> <li>The proposals are also considered to be consistent with the following policy measures contained within <i>State Planning Policy 2.6</i>:</li> <li>"(d) the amenity of the coastal foreshore is not detrimentally affected by any significant overshadowing of the foreshore; and</li> </ul>		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	A building of 12 storeys will clearly impact on the public's enjoyment of the adjacent public open space and beach. This is contrary to policy, such as the Coastal Planning Policy, and to the principles detailed in the Scheme Amendment. This amendment states development in the Hotel! Mixed Use precinct should ensure 'No adverse impacts on the locality are presented by overshadowing' and the development needs to 'Contributes positively to the public realm'. These two principles are not reflected in the Scheme Amendment's Precinct Plan or the structure plan's concept plan, which show 12 storeys located immediately adjacent to the foreshore reserve. Modelling of the shadowing (in the Structure Plan) shows shadows being cast over the public domain, existing trees, public children's play area and existing café. In summer, this shadowing would extend to the sea, across the beach, late each afternoon. The 'preferred development option' plan on the City of Albany's and LandCorp's websites (only taken off about a week ago) had a range of development height restrictions within the Hotel / Mixed Use precinct, to	<ul> <li>protection plan can be required as a condition of any approval, which would be implemented to protect trees from damage during construction.</li> <li>The Structure Plan report contains a preliminary survey plan that clearly indicates that the eastern boundary of the hotel/mixed use site will to the west of the canopy spread of the nearest Norfolk Island Pine trees.</li> <li>City staff have identified that the Special Uses and Condition 11 of the Special Use zone proposed by the local planning scheme amendment do not preclude the development of the hotel/mixed use site for short-stay holiday accommodation or multiple dwellings, without a hotel. A modification to the Special Uses is recommended to address this issue.</li> </ul>		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	reduce impact and overshadowing on the		
	foreshore reserve. The latest Middleton		
	Beach Activity Centre Plan Structure Plan		
	and the Concept Plan now omit this, having		
	a blanket 12-storey height restriction. This		
	should be amended back to the previous		
	plan.		
	There is inconsistency between the policy		
	direction (State coastal planning policy and		
	Scheme Amendment text) and the		
	Middleton Beach Activity Centre Precinct		
	Plan and structure plan. In the latter two		
	plans building is permitted up to 12 storeys		
	immediately adjacent to the coastal		
	reserve, having impacts on the		
	environmental and social values of the		
	foreshore. This is contrary to State and		
	Local planning policy and the Activity		
	Centre Precinct Plan the concept plan need		
	amendment to reflect these policy		
	requirements, with development set back,		
	reduced in height and stepped up from the		
	foreshore. The Activity Centre Precinct		
	Plan needs to show reduced height		
	restrictions near the foreshore to reflect		
	these policies. Mixed Use precinct as the		
	development's impacts will be mitigated in		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	part by the existing Norfolk Island Pine			
	trees, particularly from the boardwalk. It will			
	still be highly visible from the beach to Emu			
	Point, but there is more retention of the			
	green backdrop provided by Mount			
	Adelaide, and the top of the building will			
	align more with existing development that			
	can be seen from this location. It will impact			
	on the landscape, but still retain the main			
	components of the present natural			
	landscape.			
	I support a three storey height restriction for			
	the northern two precincts. I support a five-			
	storey height restriction for the two			
	southern mixed use precincts, on the			
	condition a three-storey restriction is placed			
	on the Adelaide Crescent road frontage and			
	pedestrian access way. Five-storey			
	development along Adelaide Crescent will			
	provide a tunnel effect, made worse by the			
	summer easterly winds. Travelling along			
	this road by car or on foot will be unpleasant			
	if development towers above you, and such			
	a height does not integrate with			
	development further west along Adelaide			
	Crescent. A three-storey development			
	height restriction along the southern side of			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
				Recommendation
		the pedestrian access way will combine		
		with the same restriction on the north side		
		of the access way, making for a more		
		pleasant pedestrian experience.		
		The eastern development boundary of the		
		Mixed Use/Hotel precinct needs		
		realignment to protect the healthy growth of		
		the existing Norfolk Island Pine trees. This		
		is likely to require realignment of the		
		existing foreshore reserve boundary by		
		some five to 10 metres to the west. The		
		eastern boundary of the Hotel/Mixed Use		
		precinct has not been demarcated on site,		
		and is difficult to determine given it follows		
		no existing on-site features. It should be		
		surveyed so an assessment of its suitability		
		can be made. The boundary is shown		
		differently in different plans, with the		
		Landscape Master Plan showing the		
		canopy of the existing Norfolk Island Pine		
		trees extending to this boundary, while the		
		Concept Plan shows the trees some 10		
		metres to the east of the development		
		boundary. As the Landscape Master Plan		
		is based on aerial photograph images, the		
		Concept Plan is presumably incorrect. The		
		inaccurate representation of the trees'		

### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation location should be corrected, given public comment is specifically being sought on the Concept Plan. The foreshore reserve and the Pine trees are heritage listed, and the protection of the trees should be given a high priority. Earthworks and any alteration to natural ground level should not occur in close proximity to the trees. The Foreshore Reserve should be extended to include the full extent of the trees canopy, when fully mature. This is likely to require realignment of the existing foreshore reserve boundary by some five to 10 metres to the west. The protection of foreshore heritage, social and environmental values is a requirement of planning policy, such as the State Coastal Planning Policy. This policy justifies an extension of the foreshore reserve to include the full canopy of the existing trees when mature. This is particularly important when the Scheme Amendment is requesting a nil development setback for this boundary. The Activity Centre Precinct Plan and Structure Plan's Concept Plan need to be amended to ensure the development will integrate with the foreshore reserve, have a

# CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1 AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Staff	
NO.	ourinary of oubmission	Officer Comment	Recommendation
	around floor interface and built formaton up		Recommendation
	ground floor interface and built form step up		
	from the foreshore reserve, so as to reduce		
	adverse impacts on the foreshore such as		
	from overshadowing. The Precinct Plan		
	needs to show a Primary Active frontage on		
	the Hotel/Mixed Use and coastal reserve		
	interface, and the Hotel/Mixed Use Precinct		
	needs to contain stepped development		
	heights (as previously shown in the		
	'preferred development option' plan. The		
	Concept Plan needs amendment to step		
	built form up from the coast as required by		
	the Scheme Amendment text and Structure		
	Plans figures 18 and 19. The 'Preferred		
	development option' plan shown on both		
	the City of Albany's website and		
	LandCorp's website had a tiered		
	development height restriction within the		
	Hotel/Mixed Use precinct, but the proposed		
	Scheme Amendment's Precinct Plan now		
	only has a 12 storey height restriction. This		
	allows for 12 storey development to be built		
	immediately adjacent to the foreshore		
	reserve, with no development set back, no		
	stepping up of development or ground floor		
	interface for public purposes. The Scheme		
	Amendment states that in the Hotel / Mixed		
	Use precinct, a key principle will be that		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

Ne	Summery of Submission	Officer Commont	Staff
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	built form will step up from the beach. This		
	key principle in the Scheme Amendment is		
	supported by diagrams in the structure plan		
	- figs 18 and 19. These figures show a		
	development set back from the foreshore		
	and existing Norfolk Island Pines, a		
	permeable interface at ground level		
	between the foreshore and development,		
	and built form stepping from ground level,		
	to three storeys, then five storeys and then		
	to 12 storeys. I support all of these		
	principles, but they are not shown in the		
	proposed Scheme Amendment's Precinct		
	Plan or Structure Plan's Concept Plan,		
	which seem to have been completed		
	without regard for any of this guidance. The		
	Scheme Amendment's Precinct Plan does		
	not show a primary or secondary active		
	frontage along the coastal foreshore		
	reserve boundary closest to the beach.		
	There is no development set back		
	proposed. The Concept Plan shows		
	maximum height development within five		
	metres of the foreshore boundary, with no		
	stepping up of development from the		
	beach, or public interface. This is		
	inconsistent with the Scheme Amendment		
	and Structure Plan guidance. Effectively		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	the Scheme Amendment and Structure		
	Plan's Concept Plan show development		
	turning its back onto the beach. These		
	plans will permit development that will have		
	major impacts on the public's use and		
	enjoyment of the adjacent reserve. Both		
	plans need changing to be more consistent		
	with the Scheme Amendment's principles		
	and figures within the Structure Plan.		
	A Foreshore Management Plan should be		
	prepared by LandCorp, as a condition of		
	subdivision that shows how the foreshore		
	within the Middleton Beach Activity Centre		
	will be developed and managed. The		
	proposed development will greatly impact		
	on the foreshore area, and new trails,		
	interpretation, art work and landscaping are		
	to be implemented on the foreshore. These		
	are broadly covered in the Landscape		
	Master Plan, but this plan cannot be		
	progressed until the Scheme Amendment		
	itself is finalised as this will determine the		
	P05 area and boundaries. There has been		
	no community engagement with the		
	Landscape Master Plan, other than its		
	provision as an attachment to the Structure		
	plan. No specific public comment has been		

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation sought on this plan. It is a key principle of planning that the community is engaged in coastal planning and management, and foreshore management plans are a means of gaining such public input. I recommend such a plan is placed as a condition of subdivision, so that the facilities with the foreshore can be coordinated, and agreement reached their on implementation, with community participation in the plan's preparation. I do not support any increase in the development height restriction for the sole purpose of permanent residential. There should be a requirement that tourism accommodation and public use be provided

in the Hotel / Mixed Use and Mixed Use precincts. With the change from Hotel / Motel zoning to precincts with Mixed Use, with no stipulation of specific uses, there is the possibility tourism accommodation might not be provided. If permanent residential offers the greatest economic return, possibly only permanent residential use might be offered by developers, with

retail

accommodation. To guard against this, I

or

tourism

token

some

No.

		CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
		SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS			
No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
		ask that the structure plan or amendment contain some wording that expresses a preference that tourism accommodation is a major requirement.		Recommendation		
		People are supporting this development on the basis that a hotel or tourism use is being provided, and will be very concerned if higher development is allowed that only contains permanent residential. We don't want to have lose the tourism value of the site, or have adverse impacts on the locality for the sake of providing penthouses.				
170		The Middleton Beach Group is generally supportive of the proposed change in zoning from 'Hotel/Motel' to mixed use and hotel/mixed use precincts, on the condition that future use contains a tourism accommodation component. This should be included as a principle in the Structure Plan. The Middleton Beach Group notes the proposed zoning of the hotel/mixed use precinct does not require a tourism accommodation component, and that as such sole use for residential is possible.	Special Use zone proposed by the local planning scheme amendment do not preclude the development of the hotel/mixed use site for short-stay holiday accommodation or multiple dwellings, without a hotel. A modification to the Special Uses is recommended to address this issue.	<ul> <li>Submission upheld in part.</li> <li>It is recommended that the proposed amendment to Schedule 4 <ul> <li>Special Use Zones is modified as follows:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Replacement of "P" (Permitted) with 'D' (Discretionary) against "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" in the "Special Use" column under "Hotel / Mixed Use Precinct";</li> </ul>		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	<ul> <li>The Middleton Beach Group would not support increased development heights and density solely for the purpose of permanent residential use, given the tourism importance of the area. Of the opinion of the Middleton Beach Group, the required tourism component need not include a Hotel. Development with a mixed use and public benefit should occur, not 'development at any cost'.</li> <li>The Middleton Beach Group is supportive of the public access way that traverses the site west-east, as it enables public access to the centre of the site and enhances public use of the area.</li> <li>The public access way is consistent with the Middleton Beach Group's previous suggestion of a 'village green' feel, particularly if a central area is provided for relaxation. The group requests that protection from easterly winds is considered in the design, and the car park in the centre of the site also complements the public use in an integrated way.</li> </ul>	any of the Norfolk Island Pine trees located within the foreshore area. At the time of development, a tree protection plan can be required as a condition of any approval, which would be implemented to protect trees from damage during construction. The City of Albany and Landcorp are currently working to upgrade the stormwater management	<ul> <li>Insertion of a new notation "2" against "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" and "Multiple Dwelling (above 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" to read as follows:</li> <li>(2) Means that the permissibility of the use shall be contingent upon prior or concurrent construction of a hotel. '; and</li> <li>Renumbering existing notation "(2)" as notation '3'.</li> </ul>

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	The Middleton Beach Group is concerned		
	the proposed maximum allowable height		
	(12 storeys/46 metres) will impact on the		
	amenity of the local and surrounding areas,		
	and is in general not supportive of this		
	allowable height, instead recommending a		
	five/six storey maximum allowable height		
	for the hotel/mixed use precinct to reduce		
	its impact.		
	The Middleton Beach Group inputted to the		
	City of Albany's study of the amenity values		
	of Middleton Beach in 2013, and notes the		
	natural attractiveness of the beach was a		
	major value highlighted by tourists and		
	residents alike in this study. LandCorp's		
	community consultation in February – March 2015 found that 67% of public		
	submissions on the preferred development		
	height supported a two/three storey		
	development height restriction. Only 2.7%		
	of submissions supported a height		
	restriction of seven storeys or over.		
	Middleton Beach Group's own submission		
	to LandCorp was consistent with this		
	sentiment.		

### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation The most recent feedback from Middleton Beach Group members is from a meeting on April 5<sup>th</sup> 2016 to generate input to this submission, and the subsequent circulation to them of additional LandCorp images received on April 6<sup>th</sup> 2016. The majority of the 21 meeting attendees have now stated a preferred height limit of five to seven storeys in the hotel/mixed use precinct. Only three people supported the 12-storey limit, based on a perception the viability of the project was dependent on such a height requirement. It was felt by most that viability could not be used as a means of determining an appropriate development height as no information had been provided by LandCorp or the City on this issue and specific land uses had not been determined for the site. The Middleton Beach Group requests that information on 'viability' for development is made available by LandCorp, given it Is being used to justify proposed changes to development height restrictions, and the development height will have significant

impacts on public amenity.

## **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment Summary of Submission** Staff Recommendation The Middleton Beach Group supports the stepping of development from the beach and foreshore, to reduce adverse impacts on the amenity values of these areas. The Middleton Beach Group requests revision of the scheme amendment's Precinct Plan and Structure Plan's Concept Plan to show a ground floor interface and stepping of development from the foreshore to the east of the hotel/mixed use precinct, to accommodate the design principles as outlined in the scheme amendment and Structure Plan (figs 18 and 19). The location of high rise development immediately adjacent to the foreshore is a concern, given impacts on use and enjoyment of these areas. The scheme amendment states the development of the hotel/mixed use precinct should contribute positively to the public realm, and also contains the principle that 'the height of the proposed development responds to the site

and its context and steps built form away

development from the foreshore and beach is illustrated in the Structure Plan. These documents also highlight the need for

This stepping of

from the beach'.

No.

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	interaction between the development and		
	foreshore, at ground level.		
	The scheme amendment also states that		
	development within the hotel/mixed use		
	precinct should ensure 'no adverse impacts		
	on the locality are presented by		
	overshadowing' of the foreshore. The		
	Structure Plan's modelling clearly shows		
	the proposed development will overshadow		
	the adjacent reserve with adverse impacts		
	on the public open space. Modelling of		
	summer overshadowing is not shown, but		
	would show considerable impacts on the		
	beach to the east of the development. The		
	concept plan shows stepping of		
	development only to the north, not to the		
	existing coastal reserve and beach to the		
	east. The amendment's Precinct Plan and		
	Structure Plan's Concept Plan show five to		
	12 storeys immediately adjacent to the		
	reserve, with no ground floor interface.		
	These plans are considered inconsistent		
	with the scheme amendment and Structure		
	Plan policy direction, and should be		
	amended to include a ground floor public		
	use area, development set back, with the		
	built form stepped up gradually as shown in		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	Figs 18 and 19 so as to not impact on the				
	amenity values of the beach and public				
	open space.				
	The Middleton Beach Group supports the				
	widening of the public open space in the				
	northern portion of the site, as shown in the				
	Precinct Plan. The coastal foreshore				
	reserve is considered an important public				
	area, and its public use and existing Norfolk				
	Island Pine trees need to be protected and				
	where possible enhanced. The Concept				
	Plan shows development occurring up to				
	the foreshore boundary in the southern				
	portion of the site. This is a concern, as the				
	development could impact on the existing				
	Norfolk Island Pine trees. State policy,				
	such as the Coastal Planning policy,				
	requires the determination of coastal				
	foreshore boundaries to have regard for the				
	protection and enhancement of				
	<b>.</b>				
	considerations and the protection of these				
	trees would be justified under such policy.				
	The boundary of the foreshere recerve in				
	The boundary of the foreshore reserve in				
	the southern portion of the site is not clearly				
	shown or identifiable on site, but from the				

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	Landscape Master Plan appears to be at			
	the edge of the present canopy of the			
	Norfolk Island Pines. Given there is no			
	development setback, and the Concept			
	Plan shows development within five metres			
	of the present canopy, the proposed			
	development, including building and			
	earthworks, could impact on the future			
	growth and health of these trees.			
	The Middleton Beach Group requests that			
	the foreshore reserve boundary be			
	surveyed and determined so that it includes			
	the present and future growth requirements			
	of the existing Norfolk Island Pine trees.			
	The boundary should be demarcated on			
	site, so that the community is informed that			
	this has been achieved. Based on the			
	Landscape Master Plan, this would likely			
	require the realignment of the foreshore			
	boundary some five to 10 metres to the			
	west of the present boundary.			
	The Middleton Beach Group supports the			
	proposed use of public open space for			
	trails, interpretation and public art, but			
	requests that the design and			
	implementation of these be coordinated			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	through the preparation of a foreshore			
	management plan, as a condition of later			
	subdivision/development. Foreshore			
	management plans are commonly required			
	to achieve management of development			
	impacts on foreshore reserves, and are			
	promoted in State planning policy. They			
	also provide a means of assisting			
	community engagement in this process,			
	and the Middleton Beach Group would be			
	keen to be involved in the preparation of			
	such a plan. It is noted the Landscape			
	Master Plan provides some direction on this			
	matter, but is indicative at this stage of the			
	planning process and has not contained			
	input from the community, including			
	Middleton Beach Group.			
	The Structure Plan notes that it would be			
	desirable to redirect stormwater drainage			
	from the existing five outfalls onto to the			
	beach to an alternate location away from			
	beach users, and that infiltration at source			
	would be desirable within the existing			
	catchment of these drains. No details are			
	provided on this and it is noted these sites			
	are outside the development area. The			
	Structure Plan notes that considerable			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No. Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	earthworks and engineering will be		
	undertaken within the Activity Centre area,		
	to provide for the development and		
	potentially a seawall. Raising of the beach		
	is also proposed as a means of protecting		
	the area from coastal processes. All of		
	these activities could impact on the		
	potential to redirect stormwater away from		
	the beach, or could provide opportunities to		
	do so. The Middleton Beach Group		
	recommends that LandCorp and the City of		
	Albany integrate the development needs of		
	the site with the goal of redirecting		
	stormwater away from Middleton Beach. It		
	is requested that a plan be provided by the		
	City of Albany detailing how drainage onto		
	the beach can be reduced, prior to any		
	development works on the Middleton		
	Beach Activity Centre so that opportunities		
	provided by these works are utilised.		
	The scheme amendment proposes to		
	reduce car parking requirements of the		
	present Local Planning Scheme in the		
	Middleton Beach Activity Area, by over 100		
	public car parking bays, by excluding		
	requirements for hotel, restaurant/café		
	visitors and residential dwelling visitors,		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	<ul> <li>and reducing by half parking for retail users. It is noted an additional 29 public car parking bays is proposed, but that this does not cover this shortfall. The Middleton Beach Group is concerned the shortfall in public car parking, combined with the spreading of this parking over a larger area, particularly further from the beach, will cause increase use of adjacent residential areas and impact on local residents.</li> <li>The Middleton Beach Group recommends the request by LandCorp to reduce public car parking requirements for the Middleton Beach Activity Centre be reviewed and potentially increased, to reduce congestion and increased car parking impacts on nearby residential areas.</li> <li>The Middleton Beach Group recommends that the City of Albany consider the use of adjacent public areas, such as Eyre Park, and area north of Wollaston Road, as potential spill over public car parking areas when major events occur.</li> </ul>		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
171	Expresses her opposition to the proposed 12-storey height maximum for the hotel site as she does not believe that there would be a need for so many rooms nor it be a viable proposal. Also expresses concern regarding potential shadowing. Suggests instead a three to five storey hotel. Quotes Paul Lionetti's statement of "having the hotel along the side of the mountain, tucked in close, running East to West and North to South" as being a reasonable proposal.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		
172	Believes the proposal to be a fantastic idea as Albany needs a waterfront area like other seaside towns. Comments that creating jobs in Albany to encourage youth to move to Albany and keep people in the region is important and that Albany needs more local attractions. Believes the proposed hotel will look great, doesn't appear to impede any views and will enhance Middleton Beach.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
173	Signed petition with 138 signatures expressing support for a height restriction of no more than six storeys.	-	Submission noted.		

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
174	Expresses concern regarding the height of the proposed hotel, that a restriction of six storeys would be more acceptable to the beachfront environment.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		
175	Believes the proposal to be fantastic as Middleton Beach has had the 'eyesore' of the old site for a number of years. States that tourists have been deterred in the past as there is no five-star accommodation in Albany currently, so this proposal can only promote more visitors. Believes the hotel concept is very appealing in height and position and supports the proposal.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
176	Whilst in favour of the hotel, she expresses opposition to the 12-storey height limit. Believes it should be a maximum of four storeys.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		
177	Wishes to support the proposal to build a hotel at Middleton Beach. Expresses a preference that the height of the Hotel not exceed the height of Mount Adelaide (eight to 10 storeys), and believes a culturally sensitive building should be built on this iconic site blending in with the landscape, not altering the skyline.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1		
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS		
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation	
178	Objects to the amendment to allow a height of up to 12 storeys on the hotel/mixed use site in the proposed Middleton Beach scheme amendment and Activity Centre Structure Plan. Believes the maximum height should be five storeys and should include stepping up of development away from the foreshore.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.	
179	Believes the development is good apart from the 12-storey hotel limit as it would be too high a structure so close to the beach.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.	
180	Petition with 265 signatures in favour of a height limit of five storeys.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.	
181	Comments that he is keen for progress to be finally made but objects to the amendment to allow a height of up to 12 storeys on the Hotel/Mixed Use site. Suggests the maximum height should be limited to five storeys. However, if five is not likely to attract interest/investment, eight may be tolerable.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.	
182	I object to the expansion of the area available for development beyond the	Items one, three and nine of the key issues table address the matters of	Submission noted.	
### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
No.	immediate area and surrounds of the former Esplanade Hotel site. The location of the site allocated for the hotel/mixed use expands beyond the former Esplanade boundary, across the current alignment of Flinders Parade and into the current grassed foreshore parkland. This proposal brings built development much closer to the coastline and will destroy the amenity and continuity of a broad grass/tree parkland along the full length of Middleton Beach. The Hotel/Mixed Use site has clearly been placed in such a prominent location to afford maximum ocean views. With good design great views can still be achieved without moving the site closer to the beachfront. There will be a narrowing	building height, parking and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail. The local planning scheme amendment and Activity Centre Structure Plan do not contain any staging requirements for the development of the various sites within the Activity Centre area. A visual impact assessment is only required where there is a specific		
	While acknowledging that realignment of Flinders Parade is desirable, it could be done better without the Hotel site where it			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No. Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff		
Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
		Recommendation
is now shown. Why should the public		
amenity of road and public open space be		
lost to a hotel development, which could		
well have been confined substantially to the		
former Esplanade Hotel site?		
I object to the height of up to 12 storeys on		
•		
-		
foreshore.		
In discussions between authorities and		
stakeholders, the prospect of 12 storeys		
has not arisen. And suddenly, it is now		
developer, or 'the community will be back to		
square one'.		
Would it matter if the hotel/mixed use site is		
not the first developed? It may well be		
advantageous for others to be done earlier		
to bring more people to the area, and to		
demonstrate to prospective developers the		
nature of the new market.		
Because the large site is at the corner of the		
C C		
	Summary of Submissionis now shown. Why should the public amenity of road and public open space be lost to a hotel development, which could well have been confined substantially to the former Esplanade Hotel site?I object to the height of up to 12 storeys on the hotel/mixed use site. The height should be limited to five storeys and should include stepping up of development away from the foreshore.In discussions between authorities and stakeholders, the prospect of 12 storeys has not arisen. And suddenly, it is now considered necessary to attract the right developer, or 'the community will be back to square one'.Would it matter if the hotel/mixed use site is not the first developed? It may well be advantageous for others to be done earlier to bring more people to the area, and to demonstrate to prospective developers the	Summary of SubmissionOfficer Commentis now shown. Why should the public amenity of road and public open space be lost to a hotel development, which could well have been confined substantially to the former Esplanade Hotel site?I object to the height of up to 12 storeys on the hotel/mixed use site. The height should be limited to five storeys and should include stepping up of development away from the foreshore.In discussions between authorities and stakeholders, the prospect of 12 storeys has not arisen. And suddenly, it is now considered necessary to attract the right developer, or 'the community will be back to square one'.Would it matter if the hotel/mixed use site is not the first developed? It may well be advantageous for others to be done earlier to bring more people to the area, and to demonstrate to prospective developers the nature of the new market.Because the large site is at the corner of the

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	a building with great ocean views both		
	eastwards and southwards, without the		
	need for 12 storeys. And a more compact		
	development would be far more in keeping		
	with the 'village' feel of the rest of the		
	Activity Centre.		
	The community accepted the investment by		
	the State Government in the Middleton		
	Beach site as a genuine measure to		
	achieve an appropriate development for		
	this important regional city. The challenge		
	is to work with and strengthen the		
	wonderful natural landscape and unique		
	coastal identity of Middleton Beach that will		
	attract people to live in and visit Albany.		
	We need to allow sustainable levels of		
	development. But we must also avoid large		
	scale commercial development that		
	damages the character of this beach and		
	coastal area forever.		
	I object to the low number of public car		
	parking bays provided for.		
	The 'Activity Centre' is being designed to		
	attract many more people to Middleton		
	Beach's upgraded facilities, and the		

# CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1 AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation commercial outlets it will include. The public car parking near the foreshore/beach should not be reduced as per the proposal, given the number of local people who regularly visit the beach. An increase of 29 bays (22%) is insufficient for additional development proposed. I do not agree with the proposal to delete the current car parking requirements for visitor and hotel users. I have seen similar developments elsewhere where bays intended for public parking are occupied significantly with visitors to the residential sections. I object to lack of a visual impact

Submission noted.

assessment throughout the full period when this proposal was made available for public consultation. The community has been unable to assess the visual impact of the proposal including height, bulk, over shadowing and changes to public open space. This appears to be deliberately

Believes the hotel/mixed use site should be Nil.

supported at the proposed 12-storey height

misleading.

limit.

No.

183

TIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE F IISSIONS icer Comment one of the key issues table resses the matter of building height etail.	PLAN Staff Recommendation Submission noted.
one of the key issues table resses the matter of building height	Recommendation
one of the key issues table resses the matter of building height	Recommendation
resses the matter of building height	Submission noted.
51011.	
one of the key issues table resses the matter of building height etail. dCorp undertook their own sultation to inform the design of the posals. The City has advertised the posals for public comment in order gauge community opinion and rm the final recommendation.	Submission noted.
oosa oosa gau	als. The City has advertised the als for public comment in order uge community opinion and

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	Town, a city with a population of 3,740,025,		
	which is approximately 100 times the size		
	of this small town. I believe from		
	experience in regeneration of urban areas		
	and my understanding of places that		
	Albany cannot be compared with Cape		
	Town.		
	Albany has a large number of undeveloped		
	blocks of land and many empty houses		
	along with houses only utilised by seasonal		
	visitors. Albany is 'the end of the road' in		
	the most isolated State of Australia and as		
	such unlikely to reach the level of		
	development to support such a hotel. We		
	cannot build a reputation for excellence		
	overnight but we can start now by small		
	scale excellent developments.		
	It would be far better for the town if we had		
	fewer inflated egos in business and Council		
	and if we pursued development both at the		
	Harbour Foreshore and in Middleton Beach		
	in keeping with our capabilities and our		
	local character: i.e. small scale and top		
	quality – much on the line of developments		
	that have occurred at Hillarys Boat Harbour		
	in scale and character. I think the promoted		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1	
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH	I ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE F	PLAN
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS	
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
	scale of development actively counters any interest in developing these sites from local finance and at a smaller scale suited to the inhabitants and regular visitors to the area.		
186	Does not support the proposed 12 storey hotel as it will be dominating and deface Middleton Beach.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.
187	<ul> <li>As a Middleton Beach resident and architect who specialises in heritage places, I strongly object to the following:</li> <li>1. A height of more than three storeys at the proposed development on the urban block bounded by Flinders Parade/Adelaide Crescent/Barnet Street/Marine Terrace and any new block created adjacent to the public open space associated with Ellen Cove.</li> <li>2. A new development site being created so close to the public open space of the grassed foreshore of Ellen Cove.</li> </ul>	Items one, two, three, four, five, six and nine address the matters of building height, overshadowing, parking, coastal planning, heritage, wind and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail. State Planning Policy 2.6 – Coastal Planning contains the following policy measure: "Ensure that land use and development, including roads, adjacent to the coast is sited and designed to complement and enhance the coastal environment in terms of its visual, amenity, social and ecological values."	Submission noted.
	<ol> <li>Increasing the height of the lawn area and the sea wall.</li> </ol>	The development is not expected to have a greater visual impact on the	

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1	
А	MENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH	ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE	PLAN
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS	
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
	<ul> <li><u>The grounds for objection</u></li> <li>1. The height, bulk and appearance of the building.</li> <li>Middleton Beach is a small seaside suburb which has traditionally been single storey with a small amount of two storey development.</li> <li>The proposal of up to 12 storeys next to the 'Three Anchors' is totally out of scale with this area and its values.</li> <li>A high or medium rise development would have a very high visual impact for a wide area, and from a number of important vantage points, including the beach itself, Emu Point, the board walk, Marine Drive, Adelaide Crescent (the beach view would be obliterated) and Mira Mar.</li> <li>2. The type of use proposed and its impact with regard to traffic, noise and other potential adverse effects to the environment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>landscape than existing development at Checkers Walk, Morley Place, Hare Street and Wylie Crescent, when viewed from vantage points beyond the immediate area. While it will possible to distinguish any future building as free-standing when viewed from Mira Mar, it is part of an established urban area, albeit one that is presently developed with single, double and three-storey buildings.</li> <li>It is considered that the proposals will enhance the amenity and social values of the area and will have no minimal impact on ecological values as a brownfield redevelopment.</li> <li>The proposals are also considered to be consistent with the following policy measures contained within State Planning Policy 2.6:</li> <li>"(d) the amenity of the coastal foreshore is not detrimentally affected by any significant overshadowing of the foreshore; and</li> <li>(e) there is overall visual permeability of the foreshore and ocean from nearby residential areas, roads and public spaces."</li> </ul>	

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	Access to the proposed new development site, if developed to the density illustrated by the proposal, would place undue pressure on Adelaide Crescent, a mostly residential street, and would encourage through traffic on that street.3. Adverse effects on adjoining property, such as overlooking or overshadowing.A multistorey development would overlook	required where there is a specific policy requirement, which does not exist in this instance. However, it is likely that a visual impact assessment would be submitted with any development application for a building in excess of five stories or 21.5 metres in height.		
	the whole of Middleton Beach itself, and most of the suburb that is 'on the flats', creating privacy issues for users of the beach and residents of the suburb whose property it will overlook.	amendment and Activity Centre Structure Plan are being developed in		
	<ul> <li>Overshadowing of the beach and public open space would occur from midday, with a very high degree after about midafternoon in Summer. As we have a cool climate this is an issue for beach users.</li> <li>4. The effect on the amenity of the area.</li> </ul>			
	Amenity refers to the comfort and enjoyment of a place and extends to all of			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	the factors that people value in their locality.		
	Amenity may be affected by physical		
	factors such as noise, smell or light, but		
	also by the judgements in relation to the		
	appearance and design of the proposal,		
	which are often referred to as the		
	'aesthetics'.		
	The amenity of Middleton Beach is that of a		
	small sea side town beach. It is highly		
	valued by the population of the town, the		
	region and the state and also by interstate		
	and international tourists for its natural		
	beauty with a small mostly residential development with some low key		
	development with some low key commercial development in the form of		
	cafes and restaurants. Mostly, as has been		
	stated, single storey with some two storey		
	and very limited three storey.		
	Ellen Cove is an area enjoyed by a whole		
	spectrum of the population. For families		
	with children it is particularly enjoyable, as		
	there is a wide variety of interest and		
	activity for children, with a number of cafes		
	and restaurants close. The Three Anchors		
	is particularly popular. The proposed		
	development would radically impinge on		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Oncer Comment	Recommendation
	this areas to be according and the effective		Recommendation
	this amenity by crowding out the site and		
	creating a wall of development close to the		
	cove, where at the present time the		
	development is planned to occur across the		
	road, giving a more open aspect to the		
	grassed area of the cove.		
	I sincerely believe that this development		
	would have an adverse impact on the		
	tourism to the town, as we would		
	considerably alter the aesthetic value of our		
	iconic beach, which at the present time is		
	valued by both residents and visitors for its		
	relaxed "away from it all" ambiance.		
	Overshadowing of the beach and Public		
	Open Space would be an issue.		
	The development next to the Three		
	Anchors would create a wind tunnel effect.		
	The sea wall is a natural place to sit and		
	watch the beach and supervise children. A		
	raised sea wall and grassed area would		
	have the psychological effect of preventing		
	visual and physical proximity to the beach.		
	5. The effect on the character of an area.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	The character of Middleton Beach has		
	already been discussed. This proposal is a		
	large scale commercial type development		
	that may be acceptable in a dense		
	metropolitan area but is totally out of		
	character with this low key beach suburb.		
	6. The heritage value of a building or place.		
	Ellen Cove has exceptionally high heritage		
	values to the state – and indeed the nation.		
	These heritage values have not been		
	addressed in the proposal. The criteria that		
	are required to be addressed when		
	ascertaining the cultural heritage		
	significance of a place are listed at the end		
	of this submission. Ellen Cove/Middleton		
	Beach meets most if not all of these criteria.		
	The way these criteria are met by Middleton		
	Beach should guide appropriate		
	development.		
	Previous City of Albany town planning		
	schemes recognised the heritage value of		
	the place, but the present town planning		
	scheme does not.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	7. The suitability of the land for the type of development proposed.		
	Water Table: As underground car parking will be required I would question whether the water table would allow this to occur.		
	Rising sea levels: Engineers now accept that the effects of global warming will cause increase in sea levels. Middleton Beach has been affected by storms in the past that have breached the sea wall, and I therefore question the suitability of this site for such an intense development that will require underground parking and services.		
	8. The access and egress arrangements for vehicles to and from the site, the space for loading, unloading, manoeuvring and parking.		
	The value of this beach to the general population of Albany and tourist visitors is such that sufficient parking should be provided. Unfortunately this has not been achieved.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	Also see point 2 for impact on Adelaide		
	Crescent		
	9. Whether the proposal is consistent		
	with policies relevant to the area.		
	This proposal is not consistent with past		
	policies relevant to the area, which		
	previously stated a maximum of five storeys		
	with a height limit of 15 metres plus roof. In		
	•		
	fact, even five storeys is too high to retain		
	the cultural heritage values of the area.		
	10 Londopping		
	10. Landscaping		
	Middleton Beach has high value to the		
	community as a mostly natural landscape,		
	with the backdrop of the natural bush of		
	Mount Adelaide. Other natural elements		
	are the dune vegetation, sand, water and		
	boulders. Introduced elements are the sea		
	wall and the grassed area behind it, the		
	Norfolk Island Pines, some paving and		
	other retained areas. This has a relative		
	simplicity that has unfortunately become		
	cluttered by a number of introduced		
	elements.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summery of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	Residential development (mostly single		
	storey) has occurred behind the dunes and		
	along part of the lower flank of Mount		
	Adelaide. Some low key commercial		
	development has occurred along Flinders		
	Parade and Adelaide Crescent, creating a		
	low key beach-side suburb that is typical of		
	many seaside towns. Ellen Cove is		
	particularly special for the fact that it is one		
	of a small number of coves in Western		
	Australia, many of whose beaches are long		
	flat western facing strips with very little		
	shelter from the wind. It is also north facing,		
	another unusual characteristic of a town		
	beach in Western Australia.		
	A five to 12 storey development would		
	totally dominate this landscape with an		
	urban character that is totally inappropriate		
	to this place.		
	Ellen Cove/Middleton Beach Assessment		
	Of Significance		
	<u></u>		
	The following values are required to be		
	addressed when assessing a place for the		
	Register of Heritage Places. Middleton		
	Beach/Ellen Cove meets most if not all of		

# CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1 AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	these criteria, which could be specifically				
	developed to explain the cultural heritage				
	values of this particular site and would then				
	help to guide appropriate development.				
	Previous City of Albany town planning				
	schemes recognised the heritage value of				
	the place, but the present town planning				
	scheme does not.				
	Aesthetic				
	It is significant in exhibiting particular				
	aesthetic characteristics valued by the community.				
	Importance to a community for aesthetic characteristics (Criterion 1.1)				
	Importance for its contribution to the aesthetic values of the setting demonstrated by a landmark quality or				
	having impact on important vistas. (Criterion 1.3)				
	Importance for its contribution to the				
	aesthetic qualities of the cultural environs				
	or the natural landscape within which it is				
	located or Importance for its contribution to				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
		SCHEDULE OF S	UBMISSIONS				
No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
		the natural landscape as part of a cultural environment. (Criterion 1.3) Importance for the aesthetic character					
		created by the individual components that collectively form a significant precinct; that is, streetscape, townscape or cultural environment. (Criterion 1.4)					
		Historic					
		It is significant in the evolution or pattern of the history of Western Australia.					
		Importance for the density or diversity of cultural features illustrating the human occupation and evolution of the locality, region or the State. (Criterion 2.1)					
		Importance in relation to an event, phase or activity of historic importance in the locality, the region or the State. (Criterion 2.2)					
		Importance for close association with an individual or individuals whose life, works or activities have been significant within the history of the nation, State or region. (Criterion 2.3)					

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1						
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	<ul> <li>Importance as an example of technical, creative, design or artistic excellence, innovation or achievement in a particular period. (Criterion 2.4)</li> <li>Social</li> <li>It is significant through association with a community or cultural group in Western Australia for social, cultural, educational or spiritual reasons.</li> <li>Importance as a place highly valued by a community or cultural group for reasons of social, cultural; religious, spiritual, aesthetic or educational associations. (Criterion 4.1)</li> <li>Importance in contributing to a community's sense of place. (Criterion 4.2)</li> <li>Scientific</li> <li>It has demonstrable potential to yield information that will contribute to an understanding of the natural or cultural history of Western Australia.</li> </ul>					

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff			
			Recommendation			
	Importance for information/archaeological					
	material contributing to a wider					
	understanding of natural or cultural history					
	by virtue of its use as a research site,					
	teaching site, type locality, reference or					
	benchmark site. (Criterion 3.1)					
	Importance for its potential to yield					
	information contributing to a wider					
	understanding of the history of human					
	occupation of the locality, region or the					
	State. (Criterion 3.2)					
	Importance in demonstrating technical					
	innovation or achievement. (Criterion 3.3)					
	Rarity					
	Importance for rare, endangered or					
	uncommon structures, landscapes,					
	archaeological material/features or					
	phenomena. (Criterion 5.1)					
	Importance in demonstrating a distinctive					
	way of life, custom, process, land-use,					
	function or design no longer practised in, or					
	in danger of being lost from, or of					
	exceptional interest to, the locality, region					
	or the State. (Criterion 5.2)					

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL F	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
No.	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS Officer Comment	Staff				
			Recommendation				
	Representativeness						
	Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristics of a range of landscapes or environments, the attributes of which identify it as being characteristic of its class; for e.g. modernist architecture or, in the case of archaeological sites, being characteristic of a particular type of place/use. (Criterion 6.1)						
	Importance in demonstrating the principal characteristic of the range of human activities (including way of life, philosophy, custom, process, land-use, function, design or technique) in the environment of the locality, region or the State. (Criterion 6.2)						
188	Expresses great support for the current proposals to increase tourism potential and attraction to Middleton Beach. Additional accommodation, cafes and shopping options can only be good for the area. States the potential for a high-rise building as shown will not detract from the area as it will not be blocking views as it is placed close to the hill.	Nil.	Submission noted.				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
189	States that 12 storeys is too high for the Middleton Beach area, and makes a suggestion of having a larger ground floor for more rooms to make it viable.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				
190	Objects to the amendment to allow a height of up to 12 storeys on the hotel/mixed use site in the proposed Middleton Beach scheme amendment and Activity Centre Structure Plan and suggested the height needs to be restricted to five stories. States she would be horrified to see this beautiful area compromised. The attraction for tourists and holiday-makers, as well as for the people of Albany, is to be able to enjoy the natural environment set in to a village-like feel and a sense of belonging to all users.Also asks that consideration to all people who enjoy Albany before decisions are made that will be detrimental.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				
191	States that this plan does not provide to the community enough of an 'Activity Centre' for our community.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff			
			Recommendation			
	Disagrees with a large area being sold off	The artist's impressions were prepared				
	to private housing, as it will mean those	as a guide to provide the public with a				
	areas are lost to the community. A five to	sense how completed buildings could				
	12 story building is unnecessary and	look and are not development				
	inappropriate in the area proposed and may	proposals.				
	be unlikely to attract a developer.					
	The quality of design and architecture					
	proposed is uninspiring. The civic spaces					
	are mainly parking and roads rather than					
	activity areas. Private housing and					
	community activity areas will be in conflict					
	because of noise and movement issues. It					
	has been suggested that some of the area					
	will be used for professional offices, and					
	does not support this as the area needs to					
	be an activity area for all the community.					
	Suggests increasing the amount of					
	community activity areas as if the current					
	area was landscaped with picnic shelters					
	and a central activity area that would make					
	the area an activity area to be enjoyed into					
	the future when more appropriate and					
	imaginative ideas are created. A row of					
	shops, cafes and bars with short term					
	accommodation above could be an					
	extension of the current shops between					

		CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
		SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
		Rats Bar and Three Anchors. States this would be a much lower cost development and undoubtedly more likely to happen as the example of the Foreshore on the Harbour demonstrates. If Landcorp was to observe the example of many east coast towns, this is what happens in most places similar to Middleton Beach.					
192		Within the Middleton Beach environment, I believe we could carefully introduce some more holiday accommodation in the form of a low-rise, well-designed, modern resort which integrates with the natural landscape and contours. The outdoor as well as the indoor spaces of any such development should integrate. I note that the vision put forward by Landcorp includes a sparkling high rise that in no way appears to integrate with its surrounds. I disagree with allowing 12 storeys, four-storey would be the maximum. I don't believe we should be developing the site for a multistorey structure in this well used community location.	issues table address the matters of building height, wind and the location	Submission noted.			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No. Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff				
NO.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment		
			Recommendation	
	If there is a large structure is to be built, I			
	believe it would be better placed near the			
	Forts. In that location it should likewise be			
	low-rise, but extensive. Or alternatively			
	near the Entertainment Centre.			
	The so-called 'active frontage' proposed, in			
	my view is misplaced. That area collects a			
	great degree of wind and if we are going to			
	encourage the public to occupy those			
	areas, we need to provide more than a few			
	shop and hotel frontages. Giving the			
	Albany people more restaurants to go to is			
	not creating community capital. In terms of			
	offering a 'visionary plan for Albany' the			
	current proposal is very light on. It seems			
	to be an 'off the shelf concept' and does not			
	appear to contain any original idea or			
	visionary plan that would unite our			
	community and provide any real amenity			
	that is useable, apart from a windy grassed			
	area (similar to what is there now) and a			
	road that can be used at times for markets,			
	and some shopfronts. However, it's great			
	that the road is to be re-directed to make			
	this a more people friendly place.			

# CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1 AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff No. Recommendation I suggest creating a village square with a centre piece, some community space, some landscaped undulating space with a small grassed and protected bowl/amphitheatre. Adding short-stay holiday accommodation integrated with the village square and shops. I don't agree with putting corporates

193	accountants, as I think this area is more suited for holiday and community uses. Of course, commercial viability will dictate who eventually leases/buys the spaces. I support the rezoning of the site to Special Use as the change to mixed use provides		Submission upheld in part.
	opportunities for a vibrant development that accommodates many of the public amenities suggested by the community. The scheme amendment does not stipulate	the matters of building height, overshadowing, parking, the public access way, road alignment and the location of the hotel within the	
	include tourism accommodation. This should be rectified, given that the site has been identified as one of Albany's most significant tourist accommodation sites. Unless the above change is made, it would be possible for permanent residential	City staff have identified that the Special Uses and Condition 11 of the Special Use zone proposed by the local planning scheme amendment do	<ul> <li>Replacement of "P" (Permitted) with 'D' (Discretionary) against "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" in the "Special Use" column under "Hotel / Mixed Use Precinct";</li> </ul>

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	<ul> <li>accommodation to occupy the whole precinct, which I definitely do not support. I do not support increasing the maximum permissible building height above five storeys in the hotel/mixed use precinct, for the following reasons:</li> <li>More than five storeys is not in accord with the character of the area as Middleton Beach is of a low-key, family-friendly, seaside holiday nature and has a strong sense of place emanating from its beautiful natural environment. These values, and the community's aspirations for appropriate redevelopment of the old Esplanade site in tune with the natural and built environment, have been well documented over the last five years through workshops, surveys, Landscape Design Guidelines, public meetings and frequent communication with the City of Albany and LandCorp by the local community, the Middleton Beach Group.</li> <li>The main concern expressed by the community about the early concept plans was height above three to five storeys. After LandCorp's Preferred Concept Plan</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>hotel/mixed use site for short-stay holiday accommodation or multiple dwellings, without a hotel. A modification to the Special Uses is recommended to address this issue.</li> <li>While policy measures from State Planning Policy 3 – Urban Growth and Settlement and the City of Albany's Activity Centre Planning Strategy (2012) have been referenced in the submission, State Planning Policy 2.6 – State Coastal Planning is more pertinent in this instance. It is considered that the proposals are broadly consistent with the policy measures outlined in State Planning Policy 2.6.</li> <li>The City of Albany and Landcorp are currently working to upgrade the stormwater management arrangements within the Activity Centre area.</li> <li>Design Guidelines are being prepared for the Activity Centre area, which</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Insertion of a new notation "2" against "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" and "Multiple Dwelling (above 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" to read as follows:</li> <li>(2) Means that the permissibility of the use shall be contingent upon prior or concurrent construction of a hotel."; and</li> </ul>

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
				Recommendation
		was released, indications were that the	should ensure that any development is	
		hotel/mixed use precinct would be five	appropriate to the locality.	
		storeys of tourist accommodation with the		
		possibility of a further two storeys of		
		'penthouse' permanent residential.		
		Release of the scheme amendment was		
		the first indication the public had of a 12		
		storey maximum. More than five storeys		
		does not align with Objectives and		
		Development Principles contained in the		
		Structure Plan:		
		'A landmark site that is reflective of the		
		coastal character and scale of Middleton		
		Beach' and 'Design is place-based and		
		integrates with the foreshore, pines, Mount		
		Adelaide and the existing built form and		
		scale'.		
		It is difficult to reconcile these views with		
		the increased permissible height sought in		
		the scheme amendment, given that there		
		are currently no buildings in the area higher		
		than three storeys, with most no higher than		
		two.		
		A change in permitted maximum height		
		does not align with State Planning Policy 3		
	<u> </u>	– Urban Growth and Settlement – "To		

# AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
		Recommendation		
manage the growth and development of				
urban areas in response to the social and				
economic needs of the community and in				
recognition of relevant climatic,				
environmental, heritage and community				
values and constraints" and the City of				
Albany Activity Centre Planning Strategy				
(2012) states: "Preserve and where				
possible enhance the local character and				
amenity of residential neighbourhoods."				
Increasing the permitted height will have a				
detrimental visual impact				
which will be particularly noticeable from				
the boardwalk and further along the beach				
towards Emu Point, but also when walking				
<b>°</b>				
5				
misled the public and are simply not true.				
•				
·				
'stepped'.				
This will reduce the visual impact in the				
	manage the growth and development of urban areas in response to the social and economic needs of the community and in recognition of relevant climatic, environmental, heritage and community values and constraints" and the City of Albany Activity Centre Planning Strategy (2012) states: "Preserve and where possible enhance the local character and amenity of residential neighbourhoods." Increasing the permitted height will have a detrimental visual impact which will be particularly noticeable from the boardwalk and further along the beach	manage the growth and development of urban areas in response to the social and economic needs of the community and in recognition of relevant climatic, environmental, heritage and community values and constraints" and the City of Albany Activity Centre Planning Strategy (2012) states: "Preserve and where possible enhance the local character and amenity of residential neighbourhoods."         Increasing the permitted height will have a detrimental visual impact which will be particularly noticeable from the boardwalk and further along the beach towards Emu Point, but also when walking and driving along Adelaide Crescent. The most recent images from LandCorp show this impact very clearly and contradict the perception that 12 storeys will 'nestle against the hill'. Such comments have misled the public and are simply not true.         I support the requirement for buildings in the Hotel/Mixed Use precincts to be 'stepped'.		

# **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff Recommendation Hotel/Mixed Use precinct and reduce the 'canyon' effect along the Public Access Way, in the southern Mixed Use precincts. I support the public access way through the development, subject to the following: Appropriate traffic calming and parking arrangements should be devised to optimise the potential for the public access way to be the 'village green' along its full

extent, especially at its interface with the internal laneway and Flinders Parade. Wind mitigation measures should be

The interface of the car park and the public access way should take account of potential use of the car park as an

Consideration should be given to accessing the laneway on the north side of the public access way via Barnett Street and on the south side, from Adelaide Crescent, rather than allowing vehicle to cross over the

required.

occasional market.

public access way.

No.

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
INO.	Summary of Submission	Oncer comment	Recommendation
			Recommendation
	The amount of public open space at the		
	southern end of the site should be		
	increased, by adjusting the eastern		
	boundary of the hotel/mixed use precinct.		
	The present precinct boundary		
	compromises public amenity and may		
	adversely affect the western row of Norfolk		
	pines.		
	Re-aligning the current 'dog leg' boundary		
	in a straight line from the north east to the		
	south east corners of the precinct should be		
	considered, increasing the amount of public		
	open space in a potentially busy area. At		
	the moment it seems every effort has been		
	made to ensure the hotel/mixed use		
	precinct is as large and as 'beachfront' as		
	possible, at the expense of public amenity.		
	Overshadowing diagrams should be		
	provided for mid-summer to determine the		
	effect on the beach, playground and Three		
	Anchors. A diagram for 6pm should be		
	included, as this is when many visit the		
	beach, after work.		
	I support the relocation of piped outlets to		
	locations that minimise impact on beach		

# CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1 AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff Recommendation amenity. This is recommended in the Stormwater Drainage report attached to the Structure Plan. Although the stormwater beach outfalls are outside the development site, the opportunity should be taken for the City and LandCorp to address an ongoing, unsightly and unhealthy problem. We cannot let this outdated infrastructure diminish the visitor experience of the new development. I support the priority given to alternative transport, provided an improved bus services is available to/from the area and the City developing and administering Design Guidelines, as stated in the scheme amendment. It is critical that developers are required to conform to design guidelines that ensure high quality development with overall integrity and sympathy with the surrounding natural and built environment. Design guidelines should apply to all precincts, not just the hotel/mixed use precinct as stated in the scheme

amendment.

No.

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
				Recommendation	
194		I support development on the hotel site but	Items one, two and three of the key	Submission noted.	
		do not support a height of 12 storeys for the	issues table address the matters of		
		hotel/mixed use site, as it would	building height, overshadowing and		
		significantly impinge on the visual aspect	parking, in detail.		
		from multiple areas.			
		I am aware of economic viability importance			
		as a local business owner but do not agree			
		with the arguments put forward by			
		Landcorp. We should encourage			
		development that recognises the 'triple			
		bottom line', not development at any cost. I			
		support a height increase to six storeys in			
		this area and do support the 'hotel/mixed			
		use' for holiday accommodation in the			
		sense that it does not have to be a			
		traditional hotel but instead could be			
		serviced apartments.			
		I do not support any amendment that would			
		facilitate development which is residential			
		for the 'hotel/mixed use' lot.			
		I support mixed use and residential use for			
		the other four lots as identified, the up to			
		three to five-storey in the northern lots and			
		may support up to five storeys in the			
		southern lots if they were stepped back			

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
	development. I do not support up to five storeys in the southern lots as outlined.				
	Any development should complement the existing Norfolk pines. The Hames Sharley report on shadow impact shows only 3pm shadows in winter but this shadowing would progressively worsen as they day progresses, impacting on Three Anchors and the public areas around.				
	I do not support the extent of the parking proposed as regular daily demand would increase significantly.				
	Any amendments should build around the location's strengths and attractions (natural beauty, family friendly holiday destination) not a 'modern city style' precinct.				
195	Believes that a 12-storey building is not suitable for the Middleton Beach area as it will detract from the current amenity of the area. Suggests a height of three to four storeys as a maximum instead.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.		
196	Originally Mr Barnett said you will have a tavern. He forgot to mention that the tavern		Submission noted.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	would have an 11-storey roof above to	building height, coastal planning, wind		
	protect it from the rain. This is not what	and the location of the hotel within the		
	anybody asked for nor envisaged.	development area, in detail.		
	I favour a three-storey height restriction in order to retain the integrity of the location and the current visual amenities offered of unrestricted vast views over our hinterland from many vantage points. I believe a three-storey development will allow Middleton Beach to provide the necessary support to still engage with Middleton Beach as a family friendly and adult friendly venue.	State Planning Policy 2.6 – State Coastal Planning is the relevant policy document when assessing coastal hazard risk management. In accordance with State Planning Policy 2.6, a Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan has been prepared for the Activity Centre area and deals with the following		
	Fundamentally the incentive offered to the	matters:		
	private developer is to give them exceptional access to an iconic beachfront property encroaching on public recreational reserve with a height allowance of 12 storeys. The Foreshore has a 12-storey height allowance and there is no developer there. To ensure profitability private	<ul><li>Coastal hazard assessment;</li><li>Risk analysis and evaluation;</li></ul>		
	residential units are essential to the developer making a profit. I do not see any formula of a ratio of residential to tourism units as a benchmark of development requirement.	The Coastal Hazard Risk Management and Adaptation Plan identifies that the Activity Centre area will be subject to coastal risks, which will require management into the future.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	<ul> <li>when looking back to the town site.</li> <li>Highlights of this vision would be the Albany Entertainment Centre and the port reflected into the waters of Princess Royal Harbour with the twinkle of the house and street lights floating up our precious mounts defining the outline of our beautiful city.</li> <li>Defining our assets and our aspirations and the heart of Albany. Add to that a ferry trip across a sheltered harbour to take them back and forth. And what will they be looking at in the night sky from Middleton? A big expanse of darkness from ocean and mountain maybe.</li> <li>The emphasise that we must accept 12 storeys or forever be the poor second cousin is somewhat annoying as it is an option of destroying the iconic beach front</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>identified for managing coastal risk to the site. The first of these is to restore the level of the beach to the naturally occurring higher level, while the second is the construction of a seawall along the length of the foreshore, or solely around the proposed hotel/mixed use site.</li> <li>The final method for coastal risk mitigation will be determined later in the planning process. Before a final decision is made on the preferred management option, additional studies will be required in order to determine the most effective long term measure.</li> <li>It is not expected that the City of Albany would be required to fund coastal risk mitigation works in</li> </ul>			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	A further statement is that the 12 storey			
	development may encourage someone to			
	develop the remaining areas. And if it			
	doesn't we are left with an unwieldy 12			
	storey building with ongoing coastline			
	control costs.			
	Given that this proposed 12-storey			
	development may meet the needs of			
	Albany for some time to come it may also			
	discourage developments in other areas.			
	Currently the Middleton foreshore area is			
	semi-protected by a retaining wall which			
	acts to hold back storm waves and controls			
	to a degree the sand drift. It has been			
	stated that an under earth sea wall will be			
	required and the beach front graduated to			
	meet current planning requirements to			
	support a 12-storey building. Given that			
	there is currently sufficient sand build up on			
	the beachfront to transport it to Emu Point			
	beach erosion areas what measures would			
	have to be taken to prevent sand drift			
	across the grassed area into the building			
	complex? Middleton beach experiences			
	heavy seasonal winds. And more			
	importantly - who is responsible for the			
	construction of this seawall and who is to			
### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation pay for ongoing costs of maintenance for the gradient of the beach front. The expected 697 residents of the entire complex is a significant impact on the fragility of this foreshore and with the added anticipated influx of local users, possible sand drift and overshadowing the grassed areas may have little chance of survival particularly in the narrowed strip in front of the proposed 12-storey development. In conclusion I believe Albany must start standing on its' own two feet - let us achieve the achievable and decrease future maintenance costs that we as a low monthly earning population cannot afford to maintain and which the State Government should not be requested to supply endless cash to which they no longer have access. Please consider the reduction of heights and protect this area. 197 Considers the proposed height of 12 Item one of the key issues table Submission noted. storeys to be excessive and believes the address the matter of building height in hotel should not exceed the visual line of detail. Mount Adelaide when looking from the foreshore. Suggests that the proposal

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS **Officer Comment** No. Summary of Submission Staff Recommendation consider the natural location and historical nature of the site and town more. States that the scale of the proposal is beyond what Albany can accommodate, and additional holiday accommodation will impact on existing providers. The community does not support the scale of the development or its location beyond the original Esplanade site. 198 I support the general objectives as outlined Items one, two, three and five of the Submission upheld in part. on page 12 of the Hames Sharley key issues table address the matters of It is recommended that document March 2016. In particular I buildina height, overshadowing, the parking and heritage, in detail. proposed amendment to Schedule 4 regarding support the statement community objectives to 'enhance the - Special Use Zones is modified as identity of Middleton Beach' and 'social City staff have identified that the follows: Special Uses and Condition 11 of the interaction, including families'. Special Use zone proposed by the • Replacement of "P" (Permitted) I also would endorse the Middleton Beach local planning scheme amendment do with 'D' (Discretionary) against Activity Centre objective of 'a landmark site not preclude the development of the "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 hotel/mixed use site for short-stay that is reflective of the coastal character storeys (21.5 metres)" in the and scale of Middleton Beach'. holiday accommodation or multiple "Special Use" column under dwellings, without a hotel. "Hotel / Mixed Use Precinct": Α I support the statement on page 17 modification to the Special Uses is Insertion of a new notation "2" 'planning for liveable neighbourhoods recommended to address this issue. against "Multiple Dwelling (up to including a sense of community and strong 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" and local identity' and the increase in public "Multiple Dwelling (above 5 space and the west/east pedestrian

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PI	LANNING SCHEME No. 1	
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH	ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCT	URE PLAN
	SCHEDULE OF S	UBMISSIONS	
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation
	walkway – although I have reservations regarding the impact of the summer easterly winds.		storeys (21.5 metres)" to read as follows: (2) Means that the permissibility of the use shall be contingent
	I support a mix of land use including residential; visitor accommodation, hospitality and retail, and public/community open space and development which will		<ul> <li>upon prior or concurrent construction of a hotel.'; and</li> <li>Renumbering existing notation "(2)" as notation '3'.</li> </ul>
	enhance Middleton Beach as an iconic place to visit and a socially, active, vibrant place to live and work.		
	However, I have reservations regarding particular aspects of the amendment. The amended structure plan does not appear to follow the principles of context to local character; place and surrounding scale.		
	The images provided at the information session did not build confidence of the development of a 'world class' precinct which would enhance and strengthen the distinctive competences of this special part		
	of Albany. The comments made by LandCorp representatives and reiterated by some, that we need 12 storeys to attract developers, but that over seven to eight storeys is more costly so may not happen,		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No		01-11	
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	is in my view suggesting 'we accept this and		
	hope – and we need development at any		
	cost' to the detriment of the long term vision		
	for the area.		
	I do not support an increase in the height to		
	12-storey for the 'mixed/hotel' site. This		
	would dominate the environment. In		
	addition the structure plan appears to have		
	changed from a 'stepped' building to all 12-		
	storey. The recent images provided by		
	LandCorp (not presented at the information		
	session), show the negative visual impact		
	the amendment to allow up to 12 storeys		
	would have from the beach and boardwalk.		
	We have not been shown visual impact		
	from Adelaide Crescent. A 12-storey		
	structure would be highly visible from all of		
	these vantage points and detract from one		
	of our key characteristics of Albany and the		
	area and impinge on the ambience and		
	concept of a 'liveable neighbourhood'.		
	I do not support any amendment that could		
	provide the flexibility for the 'hotel/mixed		
	use' lot to be developed for solely		
	residential purposes. In discussion with		
	LandCorp at the information session, it was		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	suggested the amendment to 12-storey		
	and 'mixed use' site is necessary to attract		
	developers and could allow a developer, for		
	viability reasons, to propose solely		
	residential use. I would like Council to		
	ensure this is not the case.		
	The statement on page 48 in the Hames		
	Sharley document which states		
	'development of the area into 'a high street'		
	environment' Middleton Beach is a holiday		
	destination and a 'liveable neighbourhood'.		
	This statement and images presented		
	provide a 'city/urban' ambiance when the		
	strength and attraction of Middleton Beach,		
	as identified by earlier community		
	feedback, is it's natural beauty and		
	attraction as a family holiday destination.		
	I do not support the extent of the reduction		
	in the commercial parking requirements as		
	outlined on the same page of the		
	document. Whilst we would not want the		
	area to look like a 'car park' a reduction of		
	50% will impact on local use and overflow		
	to residential areas.		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	As recognised in the document, cars will be		
	the primary form of transport and we have		
	no meaningful public transport to this area		
	of Albany. I do recognise the importance of		
	economic viability but not at the expense of		
	social and environmental impact.		
	I would support a height increase to six-		
	storey in this hotel/mixed use area,		
	Adelaide Crescent area and other four		
	development lots. I have concerns re: the		
	proposed five storeys with no 'setback' on		
	the physical, visual and ambience of		
	Adelaide Crescent; which is the 'entry' to		
	Middleton Beach.		
	I would also support up to five-storey in		
	these southern lots if the plan included		
	'stepped back' development so that		
	Adelaide Crescent and the pedestrian		
	walkway were three-storey.		
	I am concerned re the traffic along Adelaide		
	Crescent and impact on the 'space' and		
	safety around Eyre Park.		
	I disagree with the statement in Hames		
	Sharley report that the impact of shadowing		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	<ul> <li>in the proposed structural plan has no undue impact. The report clearly shows shadows to the street, play area by Three Anchors and this would increase later in the day.</li> <li>I understand LandCorp and the City would not mark out the area of development which would have allowed the community to be more aware of the proposed impact. The area has heritage values and the Netfold proposed impact.</li> </ul>		
	Norfolk pines are a landmark which should be respected. I am disappointed that the information session did not present alternatives as LandCorp suggested they would. As an Albany resident I do want to support this development, however I disagree with the argument that we need '12 storey or we'll get nothing'. There are examples around the world where communities celebrate their unique environment and don't accept 'development at any cost'.		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
				Recommendation	
199		Objects to the amendment to allow a height	Item one of the key issues table	Submission noted.	
		of up to 12 storeys on the Hotel/Mixed Use	addresses the matter of building height		
		site in the proposed Middleton Beach	in detail.		
		Scheme Amendment and Activity Centre			
		Structure Plan. Believes a 12-storey Hotel			
		at Middleton Beach would totally destroy			
		the ambiance of the area and suggest a			
		maximum height should be limited to five			
		storeys.			
200		Approval for development of the	The proposed local planning scheme	Submission noted.	
		designated area of Middleton Beach is	amendment and Middleton Beach		
		certain and would be welcomed by	Activity Centre Structure Plan would		
		everybody. The only doubt surrounds the			
		type of development that will be finally	-		
		approved as everything seems to be	guide its subsequent development.		
		weighted in favour of a 12-storey resort-			
		style hotel being promoted to developers			
		and forced through by local and state	assessed on their individual planning		
		governing bodies.	merits and the public submissions		
			have been assessed in reaching the		
		All the statements in the Hames Sharley	final recommendation to Council.		
		Executive Summary that seem to take into			
		account the wishes of the local community	The phrase "Bulk and scale of the		
		amount to bland motherhood statements	proposed development are effectively		
		about 'a landmark site' or recognising 'the	-		
		iconic location and significance of the site	any future development on the		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	to the community' or the need for a	hotel/mixed use site to be of a 'podium'			
	'landmark building to respond to Mount	style, stepping back from the foreshore			
	Adelaide'.	as it increases in height, thereby			
		reducing its perceived bulk.			
	Their Scheme Amendment Report				
	prepared for LandCorp contains similar				
	statements flavouring what they call the				
	State Planning Context such as the need				
	'To build on existing communitiesand				
	enhance the quality of life in those				
	communities' or to have 'Good urban				
	design which creates and enhances				
	community identity, sense of place,				
	liveability and social interaction'.				
	The Scheme Amendment Report also				
	points out that the City of Albany Tourism				
	Accommodation Planning Strategy (2010)				
	claimed that 'based on the demand				
	analysis there does not appear to be a				
	demand for higher return motels, hotels				
	and/or additional resorts' and that 'the (City				
	of Albany Local Planning) policy provides				
	for a height limit of five storeys'.				
	However the Executive Summary also				
	contains far more weighted and directed				
	statements that allow for and even suggest				

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	the need for a 12-storey resort-style hotel.			
	The suggestion that 'the site will include			
	potential for development up to 12 storeys'			
	is followed by the Summary pointing out			
	that 'the (commercial) yield is based on the			
	development achieving the maximum			
	permitted development controls (Height up			
	to 12 storeys) and ( <i>yields</i> ) are likely to vary			
	and be notably less should a reduction in			
	height occur'.			
	The Executive Summary also points out			
	that the State's Western Australian			
	Planning Commission's Planning Bulletin			
	No. 83 and Improvement Plan No. 40 give			
	the Western Australian Planning			
	Commission the power to override Albany's			
	local planning policy in order to 'help			
	optimise the opportunity for successful			
	development' and 'to facilitate opportunities			
	for investment and development'.			
	The City of Albany Local Planning Scheme			
	No. 1, Amendment No. 1 has already caved			
	in to WAPC by requiring that 'opportunities			
	for investment and development are			
	facilitated'. The Key Principles for			
	Hotel/Mixed Use Precinct sound as if they			
<u>i I</u>		<u> </u>		

# CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1 AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

SCILDULE OF SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	will safeguard treasured values of the site		
	but they are littered with weasel words that		
	can be interpreted in any way a developer's		
	legal team wishes. Just what does 'Bulk		
	and scale of the proposed development are		
	effectively mitigated' mean?		
	All the concept plans and computer		
	renderings released to the public and the		
	media by LandCorp show flattering views of		
	a twelve storey hotel development at Ellen		
	Cove. All the language used by LandCorp		
	representatives at 'public consultations'		
	has favoured a twelve story resort style		
	hotel as the bait required to lure		
	international developers. Clearly the		
	demands of a developer will be allowed		
	take priority over the wishes of the local		
	community. I am not at all optimistic about		
	the outcome of this consultation process		
	and the effectiveness of submissions from		
	the public.		
201	It is encouraging to see community	Item one of the submission table	Submission noted.
	discussion continuing around the Middleton	addresses the matter of building height	
	Beach development. I would like to raise	• •	
	two issues with the City concerning building		
	scale and procurement process.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No. Ottion Commons of Cubmission Officer Commont			04-54
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
		While the comments regarding 'human	
	Human scale is essential in any	scale' are acknowledged, the majority	
	consideration of building development. In	of buildings in central Copenhagen, as	
	great liveable cities such as Copenhagen,	in many older European cities, are of	
	scale is capped at around six stories.	no more than six storeys due to the	
		limits of building technology at the time	
	When you tilt your head you can connect to	of their construction. The requirement	
	the upper levels visually and physically,	for 'podium' style development on the	
	there is a sense the urban environment can	hotel/mixed use site would reduce the	
	flow up into these buildings, and in turn they	perceived bulk of any future building	
	can offer life back to the street and their	when viewed from the foreshore.	
	surrounds.		
		Copenhagen is also home to at least	
	A 12-storey building creates a very different	five hotels in excess of 18 storeys and	
	condition. It becomes an object,	in recent years, urban redevelopment	
	•	in various parts of the city, including	
	from its context. This is particularly	waterfront areas, has seen the	
	accentuated in an area where social and	construction of residential buildings of	
	natural amenity is central, and is deeply	between eight and 12 storeys tall.	
	connected to residents and visitors. This is		
	a place with very strong traditions.	Design Guidelines are being prepared	
		for the Activity Centre area, which	
	The argument put is that Mount Adelaide	should ensure that any development is	
	will serve as a balance to such a large	appropriate to the locality.	
	structure.	appropriate to the locality.	
	รแบบเนเฮ.	LandCorp also requires that	
	However, the bill have a gradient and while		
	However, the hill has a gradient, and while	development proposals undergo a	
	the mass of this structure may appear to	rigorous assessment process, prior to	

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

-					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	balance against Mount Adelaide in	the sale of development sites. A			
	visualisations taken from birds-eye-views,	component of this assessment process			
	these are vantage points that humans will	considers the quality of design.			
	not experience at ground level, and they				
	diminish the impact of the scale of a twelve				
	story structure on this site.				
	Thinking of site and human scale, it must				
	be remembered that beaches and				
	beachfronts such as Middleton Beach are				
	inherently horizontal places. Their expanse				
	stretches on for a great distance, and this				
	makes the visual and physical impact of				
	any vertical structures exceptionally strong.				
	The effect of a twelve story tower would be				
	a burden on this site.				
	There is a reason that developments such				
	as those recently undertaken at Leighton				
	Beach in North Fremantle (by Kerry Hill				
	Architects and Spaceagency) are capped				
	at five stories. They sit in their				
	environments at a medium scale, they do				
	not detract in form or weight from the				
	pristine coastal dunes, the vast horizontal				
	expanse of the Western Australian				
	coastline. They give back to their				
	surroundings.				
	5				

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	I think I speak for many residents of Albany		
	and visitors who hold this place dear, when		
	I say that such a vision is fundamentally		
	inappropriate for this community.		
	Development is essential on this site. A		
	hotel and hundreds of new dwellings is		
	exactly what Albany needs at Middleton		
	Beach to foster and strengthen a vibrant		
	community, and limit the sprawl of the town.		
	However, the scale of the hotel is of		
	significant issue here.		
	The second point I make is around		
	procurement process. It is essential that		
	the design of this project be taken very		
	seriously. The process of tendering and		
	selection should strengthen the design		
	outcome. The schematic proposal put by		
	Silver Thomas Hanley is clearly only an		
	initial suggestion, it is concerning that many		
	in the community believe this to be a final		
	proposal. The architecture here needs to		
	be approached with absolute attention to		
	site, ecological credentials and design		
	quality.		
	quanty.		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	The Office of the Victorian Government Architect refers to principles in 'Government as Smart Client'. These offer procurement processes and strategies to enable good design.		
	Following these, could a competition be launched for the design of this project? Community consolation on a variety of design outcomes in the procurement process would be essential in addressing both community and site, and a great way to bring the community on board in the design process and foster ownership of the place into the future.		
202	Construction of buildings with underground carparks will cause more extensive soil disturbance than previous buildings at Middleton Beach, so hence asks if there any legal requirements for Aboriginal Heritage site surveys. Subject to any legal requirements, there may be an opportunity for archaeological projects at the site which could contribute to eventual cultural interpretation installations.	addresses the matter of building height in detail. There are no identified Aboriginal Heritage sites within the Activity Centre area. However, developers would	Submission noted.

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
NO.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	In relation to the proposed hotel site,		
	anything above five storeys will visually		
	dwarf all the aspects of Middleton Beach.		
	Instead of the current intersection of		
	vegetated Mount Adelaide, ocean, sky and		
	beach which makes Middleton Beach		
	appealing, a hotel greater than five storeys		
	will 'hot the eye' and reduce the positive		
	view.		
	A study says that a hotel up to five storeys		
	may need a shallow floating foundation, but		
	no mention is made of foundation		
	requirements for a higher building, so I ask		
	would these foundations disturb acid		
	sulphate soils.		
	If the hotel is to be higher than five storeys,		
	there could be long-term adverse impact on		
	•		
	the adjacent mountain vegetation from		
	over-shadowing. If this did happen, it would		
	impact on the Western Ringtailed Possum.		
	There also does not appear to have been a		
	serious attempt to study the potential		
	impact of overshadowing.		

#### **CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1** AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS No. Summary of Submission **Officer Comment** Staff Recommendation 203 As a permanent resident of Albany and a Item one of the key issues table Submission noted. regular user of Middleton Beach and its addresses the matter of building height amenities, I feel strongly about maintaining in detail. the space and beauty that attracts people to the area. I object to the expansion of the area available for development beyond the immediate area and surrounds of the former Esplanade Hotel site. I also object to the height of up to 12 storeys on the hotel/mixed use site. The height should be limited to five storeys and should include stepping up of development away from the foreshore. I also object to the low number of public car parking bays provided for. Item one of the key issues table 204 Believes the plan for more public space on Submission noted. the beachside is excellent and the potential addresses the matter of building height for more apartment type residents is in detail. attractive to the increasing number of elderly retirees. Also comments that the hotel is an interesting concept, however suggests that eight storeys would be more attractive and hopefully viable. 205 We strongly object to aspects if the Items one and three of the key issues Submission noted. Middleton Beach Scheme Amendment and table address the matters of building Activity Centre Structure Plan. height and parking in detail.

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No. Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff			
Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
		Recommendation	
Primarily, the proposed 'hotel/mixed use'			
precinct with the potential to be 12 storeys			
high and with its proposed footprint, is			
architecture, landscape and amenity.			
To build a structure like this in a small			
<b>.</b>			
3			
In the case of this proposal, little			
-			
I ask that intelligence, creativity and			
the development. Perhaps the			
development of a luxury and large hotel can			
would be more in keeping with the			
amenities of the area.			
	<ul> <li>precinct with the potential to be 12 storeys high and with its proposed footprint, is completely out of character with the local architecture, landscape and amenity.</li> <li>To build a structure like this in a small popular beachside suburb, where the buildings are not higher than three storeys with the public area mainly used for seaside activities, would constitute something similar to Scarborough Beach which is an eyesore.</li> <li>In the case of this proposal, little consideration seems to have been given to the public use of space and access to the beach.</li> <li>I ask that intelligence, creativity and sensitivity be used when finally approving the development. Perhaps the development of a luxury and large hotel can be considered for the foreshore which would be more in keeping with the</li> </ul>	Primarily, the proposed 'hotel/mixed use'         precinct with the potential to be 12 storeys         high and with its proposed footprint, is         completely out of character with the local         architecture, landscape and amenity.         To build a structure like this in a small         popular beachside suburb, where the         buildings are not higher than three storeys         with the public area mainly used for seaside         activities, would constitute something         similar to Scarborough Beach which is an         eyesore.         In the case of this proposal, little         consideration seems to have been given to         the public use of space and access to the         beach.         I ask that intelligence, creativity and         sensitivity be used when finally approving         the development. Perhaps the         development of a luxury and large hotel can         be considered for the foreshore which         would be more in keeping with the	

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
	The Middleton Beach development needs to be sensitive to the primary users of the area, including ease of traffic movement and adequate parking, viable opportunities for small businesses, and more residential/holiday accommodation designed along the current theme of the area. The more immediately serious issues with the proposal are congestion, lack of adequate parking, wind tunnels, shadowing and a large obstacle to beach access.				
206	Expresses that he is pleased with the overall plan for the Middleton Beach area, especially the position of the proposed 12- storey hotel being placed in the south east corner of the site. Placement will also not impinge on any residential views and any shadowing cast will also be towards the reserve. Believes it is a great concept plans and deserves to be accepted by the community to make a step forward.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
207	I am totally opposed to granting permission for the 'hotel/mixed use' to be five to 12 storeys high as this is out of character for	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF S				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
	<ul> <li>Albany, will create shadowing problems for the playground area and no matter the design, it will be unattractive. I am also opposed any development in Middleton Beach being over three storeys.</li> <li>The city of Albany should not be trying to meet developers' requirements over local ones. Albany is a small regional centre with the major attractions of natural environment and culture.</li> </ul>				
208	Comments that 12 storeys in the proposed position would be a mistake.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		
209	Is in wholehearted support of the proposal as the extra public space is great. Would like to comment that available parking should not be reduced in any way as this will become an important social hub. States it would be great to see development occur as per the concept plans and increase opportunity for work for the youth of the community.	Nil.	Submission noted.		
210	Believes that buildings over five storeys will adversely impact the character of the area, that an additional 29 car parking bays is	Items one and three of the key issues table address the matters of building height and parking in detail.	Submission noted.		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1		
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN			
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS		
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation	
	inadequate for the proposed additions to the area as current parking is not sufficient, and the appearance of the buildings should be compatible with the environment and surroundings.			
211	Asks that no more than five storeys be approved for the area.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.	
212	Wewelcomeprogresstowardsdevelopment of this iconic site, LandCorp'svisionfora 'vibrant, mixedusedevelopment'andtheiraimto'deliversocial, economic and liveability benefits forthe people of Albany as well as the manytourists who visit the area every year'.Giventhe enormousimportance of theunique character, landscape, heritage andidentity ofMiddletonBeach, any futuredevelopmentmust fit in with the area'snaturalandculturalenvironmentwhilea significantperiodoftimpactingadversely on itsinherent charm.Whilea significantperiodofussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolishedandtheussdemolished	Items one and two of the key issues table address the matters of building height and overshadowing in detail. City staff have identified that the Special Uses and Condition 11 of the Special Use zone proposed by the local planning scheme amendment do not preclude the development of the hotel/mixed use site for short-stay holiday accommodation or multiple dwellings, without a hotel. A modification to the Special Uses is recommended to address this issue. LandCorp undertook their own consultation to inform the design of the proposals. The City has advertised the	<ul> <li>Submission upheld in part.</li> <li>It is recommended that the proposed amendment to Schedule 4 <ul> <li>Special Use Zones is modified as follows:</li> </ul> </li> <li>Replacement of "P" (Permitted) with 'D' (Discretionary) against "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" in the "Special Use" column under "Hotel / Mixed Use Precinct";</li> <li>Insertion of a new notation "2" against "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" and "Multiple Dwelling (up to 5 storeys (21.5 metres)" and "Multiple Dwelling (above 5</li> </ul>	

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	lack of activity in the area, we believe that it is more important to get the new development right than to accept development at any cost.We support mixed use of site, the priority pedestrian access east to west and the increased public open space connecting with the existing foreshore.We support the inclusion of a hotel, however, we note that a hotel is not a required component of the hotel/mixed use precinct. Given the importance of tourism in this location and the lack of a five-star hotel in Albany, we would like to see the hotel stated as a required, and not an optional component.We do not support a 12-storey development for any building in any form on this site. It would cause significant shadowing, particularly across the foreshore reserve, public access areas and beach during the second half of the day and evening, impacting significantly on the ambience of the area and its recreational amenities. It would be highly visible from	to gauge community opinion and inform the final recommendation. The development is not expected to have a greater visual impact on the landscape than existing development at Checkers Walk, Morley Place, Hare Street and Wylie Crescent, when viewed from vantage points beyond the immediate area. While it will possible to distinguish any future building as free-standing when viewed from Mira Mar, it is part of an established urban area, albeit one that is presently developed with single, double and three-storey buildings. A visual impact assessment is only required where there is a specific policy requirement, which does not exist in this instance. However, it is likely that a visual impact assessment would be submitted with any development application for a building in excess of five stories or 21.5 metres	Recommendation storeys (21.5 metres)" to read as follows: '(2) Means that the permissibility of the use shall be contingent upon prior or concurrent construction of a hotel.'; and • Renumbering existing notation "(2)" as notation '3'.	

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
	key public view points such as Middleton	The proposals do not seek to remove	
	Beach, Emu Point, Mira Mar, King George	any of the Norfolk Island Pine trees	
	Sound, the Albany Golf Links and from the	located within the foreshore area. At	
	beach itself. A recent visit to the Duxton	the time of development, a tree	
	Hotel in Perth made it clear to us what 12	protection plan can be required as a	
	storeys would look like from the ground and	condition of any approval, which would	
	we consider this entirely inappropriate not	be implemented to protect trees from	
	only for this site, but for anywhere in Albany.	damage during construction.	
		The City of Albany and Landcorp are	
	We would not support a hotel greater than	currently working to upgrade the	
	five storeys in height, consistent with the	stormwater management	
	current Local Planning Scheme's maximum	arrangements within the Activity	
	height limit. We would like to see the height	Centre area.	
	limit for the remainder of the whole		
	development kept at two to three storeys.		
	Previous community input sought by		
	LandCorp on approximate height		
	restrictions for the Middleton Beach site		
	resulted in 220 public submissions, with		
	only 3% supporting a height restriction		
	above seven storeys, while 67% supported		
	a two to three storey maximum height, 15%		
	a three to five storey height and only 8% a		
	five to seven storey height.		

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No. Summary of Submission Officer Comment Staff		
Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
		Recommendation
Visual impact assessments are a normal		
requirement of significant developments on		
sites next to the coast and these have been		
used in the past to inform the Council and		
the community of likely impacts of		
developments, such as Barry Court near		
the golf course. We therefore find it		
unacceptable that on this occasion, there		
has been no specific visual impact		
assessment of the proposed development		
from high-use public amenity areas and		
vantage points. The visual displays made		
available to the public are not to scale, and		
do not give an accurate picture of the		
heights in the proposed development and		
how they relate to the existing adjacent		
areas, including the foreshore, beach and		
residential housing.		
We recommend that a visual assessment		
· · · ·		
	requirement of significant developments on sites next to the coast and these have been used in the past to inform the Council and the community of likely impacts of developments, such as Barry Court near the golf course. We therefore find it unacceptable that on this occasion, there has been no specific visual impact assessment of the proposed development from high-use public amenity areas and vantage points. The visual displays made available to the public are not to scale, and do not give an accurate picture of the heights in the proposed development and how they relate to the existing adjacent areas, including the foreshore, beach and residential housing.	Visual impact assessments are a normal requirement of significant developments on sites next to the coast and these have been used in the past to inform the Council and the community of likely impacts of developments, such as Barry Court near the golf course. We therefore find it unacceptable that on this occasion, there has been no specific visual impact assessment of the proposed development from high-use public amenity areas and vantage points. The visual displays made available to the public are not to scale, and do not give an accurate picture of the heights in the proposed development and how they relate to the existing adjacent areas, including the foreshore, beach and residential housing. We recommend that a visual assessment of the proposed development be undertaken from important public locations (such as the Ellen Cove Boardwalk, Eyre Park, Middleton Road), depicting several options (12 storeys, eight storeys, six storeys), that the visuals be represented in three-dimensional format, and the period

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	more informed community comment on the			
	visual impact of the Middleton Beach			
	Activity Centre.			
	While we support the emphasis on high			
	quality design to enhance public use of the			
	foreshore area with plantings, pathways,			
	seating and public art, we are concerned			
	about the development boundary where the			
	hotel/mixed use precinct meets the reserve.			
	Specifically, the various plans in the			
	documents show the location of the existing			
	Norfolk Island Pine trees slightly differently,			
	making it difficult to determine the actual			
	boundary of the site and whether the			
	natural growth of these trees will be			
	adversely impacted. This boundary needs			
	to be assessed to ensure that the healthy			
	growth of these established and popular			
	trees is not compromised by the			
	development.			
	We request that a foreshore management			
	plan be undertaken in consultation with the			
	community to address the impacts on the			
	foreshore reserve and adjacent public open			
	space in more detail.			
<u> </u>		<u></u>		

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
	We understand that there is a historical issue of stormwater drains and pollution in this area and are concerned about the potential of associated health risks. We note that stormwater drainage is given only a brief mention in the Structure Plan and attached drainage plans. We therefore request that the City of Albany and LandCorp develop a stormwater drainage plan to reduce stormwater discharge points to the beach, and make use of the Middleton Beach Activity Centre development and associated earthworks to implement this plan.				
213	Believes that a 12-storey building will spoil the beach and streetscape of the seaside precinct and suggests a development of six storeys instead.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.		
214	Expresses that she is in favour of redevelopment of the area but not at the expense of local access and parking. The higher building leads to more people and more vehicles in the area. Underground parking would need to be provided to accommodate this as most	Items one, three and nine of the key issues table address the matters of building height, parking and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.	Submission noted.		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
-			Recommendation
	<ul> <li>bays would be taken up my residents, leaving no available bays for visitors. Suggests implementing time restricted parking and limiting the hotel height to eight storeys and the residential buildings to two storeys to reduce the amount of traffic it would attract.</li> <li>Wishes to add that people travel to Emu Point as it is considered less busy than Middleton Beach, and so with an increase to Middleton Beach's popularity, Emu Point will become pressured and crowded.</li> <li>States that the need for a hotel to be commercially viable but comments that it must also fit into Albany's existing culture. Suggests positioning the hotel closer to the mountain. Also suggests that parking be increased along Adelaide Crescent as this road will become more commonly used.</li> <li>Also wishes to express concern regarding the proposed planting of palm trees as she believes that they do not suit the local scenery as they are a tropical plant.</li> </ul>		Recommendation

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
			Recommendation
215	We have no reason to change our initial	Item one, two and three of the key	Submission noted.
	comments dated 12 March 2016. Whilst we	issues table address the matters of	
	have noted some new images of the high	building height, overshadowing and	
	rise proposal provided by LandCorp, these	parking, in detail.	
	still omit the highly significant wider		
	landscape issues. The bottom line is that	The artist's impressions were prepared	
	any structure over four storeys will	as a guide to provide the public with a	
	inevitably impact on the site, the site's	sense how completed buildings could	
	immediate surroundings, and the full	look and are not development	
	landscape/seascape setting of the area.	proposals.	
	There will also be unavoidable loss of		
	existing public and open space.	The development is not expected to	
	We have also noted the recent issue (The	have a greater visual impact on the	
	Extra 25 March 2016) regarding circus	landscape than existing development	
	advertising with banning such short term	at Checkers Walk, Morley Place, Hare	
	colourful trailer displays on the basis of the	Street and Wylie Crescent, when	
	following reported quotes from a senior City	viewed from vantage points beyond the	
	officer "a visual eyesore" and "the visual	immediate area. While it will possible	
	amenity of our city needs to be maintained	to distinguish any future building as	
	and preserved, and everyone needs to do	free-standing when viewed from Mira	
	their part to ensure Albany presents as well	Mar, it is part of an established urban	
	as it can, not only for residents but also	area, albeit one that is presently	
	tourists and potential investors."	developed with single, double and	
		three-storey buildings.	
	We find it bizarre that the City considers		
	small scale temporary advertising to be an	The comparison of unapproved	
	eyesore whereas the permanent landscape	signage to the potential development	
		of a 12-storey hotel, which will be	

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.		Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
				Recommendation	
		scale impact from inappropriate multistorey	subject to design guidelines and		
		development is apparently endorsed.	extensive statutory requirements, is		
			not considered relevant.		
		This proposal remains of great concern to			
		us and is not supported.	The proposals do no impinge on the		
			existing foreshore parkland and will, in		
		Unless the multistorey hotel/mixed use	fact, lead to the creation of a larger		
		precinct is removed from the proposal we	area of consolidated public open		
		strongly oppose the amendment on	space.		
		grounds of landscape considerations, loss			
		of existing public amenity near the 'Anchors			
		precinct', visual impact upon several			
		thousand local residents (particularly			
		Spencer Park, Mira Mar, Mount Clarence,			
		Middleton Beach) and the total lack of			
		sympathy/sense of place regarding some			
		of Albany's finest assets, viz. Middleton			
		Beach, Ellen Cove and their juxtaposition			
		with a proposed 'world class walking trail			
		area' in the adjacent Albany Heritage Park			
		of Mounts Adelaide and Clarence. There			
		will also be a visual landscape impact from			
		Middleton Bay and King George Sound as			
		well as looking back from the Gull			
		Rock/Mount Martin reserves.			
		The proposal therefore has a fundamental			
		flaw in not properly taking into			

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No	Summery of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	
			Recommendation
	consideration the visual impacts when any		
	high rise (greater than four storeys)		
	component of the proposal is viewed from		
	outside the proposed development area -		
	i.e. failure to look 'outside the box' and		
	genuinely think of the highly significant		
	landscape blot to residents and visitors		
	alike.		
	Furthermore, the proposal as depicted will		
	result in significant reduction of the highly		
	popular grassed public foreshore near		
	Ellen Cove which is ideally suited to		
	families, with children's playgrounds, public		
	change rooms, alfresco café, etc. This		
	family-friendly, largely natural public space		
	should not be towered over by a multi-		
	storey development, irrespective of the		
	number of floors.		
	Parking for locals and families will no doubt		
	be significantly compromised in the Ellen		
	Cove vicinity unless there is a large setback		
	retaining the current road and car parking		
	system.		
	Multistorey development above four floors		
	should have no place in Albany's future as		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	the community clearly demonstrated in the				
	Frederick Street multistorey proposals a				
	decade or so ago.				
	Albany is attractive to visitors largely				
	because of its fine natural setting and the				
	lack of multistorey development. The scars				
	of Observation City in Scarborough are a				
	stark reminder of poor planning decisions in				
	the pastplease don't try to take our				
	beautiful and unique natural setting away				
	by an inappropriate structure which will				
	grossly impact upon our most valuable				
	assets.				
	The demolished Esplanade Hotel was				
	sensitively designed within the setting and				
	ambience of Middleton Beach. Put it back				
	as it was! It had soft tones, sensibly scaled				
	setbacks from public areas and a true				
	'sense of place'. It also became an				
	extended community facility with its various				
	bars and lounges across a range of styles				
	to suit most tastes. The conceptual designs				
	in the various reports on the new				
	multistorey component of the proposal				
	comprise stark, unsympathetic designs				
	completely out of context with the valuable				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	natural surroundings, and appear to be devoid of community enhancemen potential.					
216	Is in support of the proposed development however states that the height of the buildings should be no more than five storeys.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.			
217	Express their opposition to high rise development above three storeys in Albany, as they are concerned tha anything above three storeys would allow for the height to be normalised and promote more high-rise development in Albany.	addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
218	Believes that the proposed structures will not fit the historical ambience of the foreshore, and that the height of the buildings dot not complement the natural space and surroundings as it is far too tall Does believe that it is great the site is being developed but wishes that it be kep appropriate for Albany and its historical links. Comments that there is risk that the hotel will set a precedent for the Middletor Beach area becoming similar to the Gold	addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
	Coast, which is a mistake as it will push regular holiday makers to other areas.						
219	Suggests a height restriction of six storeys for the proposed buildings, that the selected colours blend in with the Norfolk Pines, and adequate parking be provided for the public.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				
220	Believe the concept plan presented by LandCorp for Middleton Beach for two to 12 storey hotel and mixed use looks excellent, and would recommend the hotel includes a minimum of 12 storeys as the future commercial use of this would be significant (world class and demand for international conferences). Comment that the positioning will have no impact on residents, that the views from the hotel will be magnificent and the facilities and landscaping will enhance the area. Also comment that the orientation of the hotel suits Albany's climate with protection from winds.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
221	Comment that Albany does not need high rise buildings as visitors come to the region for the low key feel. Believes the hotel	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
	should be limited to six storeys in heigh and blend in with the existing surroundings					
222	Believes that the area needs to be developed, but not to the height indication by the proposal and instead al development restricted to four storeys Comments that the 'commercial' feel the proposal has may attract guests but will fo the most part deter visitors, and tha existing accommodation providers will be negatively impacted.	addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
223	Expresses support for the developmen proposal but believes the height of al buildings should be restricted to five storeys.	addresses the matter of building height	Submission noted.			
224	Expresses grave concern that the proposed 12-storey maximum will have genitive repercussions by visua impediment of a standalone 12-storey building, increased shadowing over public areas and decreased enjoyment of visitors as there will be a feeling of 'being watched by the high rise hotel. Believes that this proposal is not in accordance with Albany	table addresses the matters of building height and overshadowing in detail.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation					
	and Middleton Beach as it creates a profi for developers at the expense of locals.					
225	Believes that if the proposal can be taken up by private developers it will create a boost for Middleton Beach, but expresses concern regarding the proposed height limi of 12 storeys will drastically alter the amenity of the area and over shadow public areas. Is also of the opinion that the curren position of the hotel site will be ugly in appearance and there are no other buildings of a similar height in the area Suggests a maximum of five storeys instead.	addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
226	Of the opinion that 12 storeys is an eyesore and is out of character for Middleton Beach Suggests a maximum of four storeys.	2	Submission noted.			
227	Believes the proposal to be a great idea to incorporate mixed use as it will be very good for Albany as it will represent it as modern. Suggests that more public friendly area like playgrounds, space for outdoor cinemas, etc. should be put in the design.		Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL F	PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS					
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation				
228	Suggests that a height limit of two to five storeys should apply to the whole site.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				
229	Believes that the look of the proposal is appealing, but suggests for area to cater for the youth of Albany, with an example of a stage for entertainment.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
230	Believes that the proposed development looks fantastic and will be great for future development of Albany as a National and International tourist destination.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
231	Believes that if a developer thinks the 12- storey limit be viable then the proposal will be a great addition to the area as Albany has been waiting for a development in Middleton Beach for some time. Believes the proposal to be forward thinking and a great tourism destination.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
232	Believes that the proposed five to 12 storey height limit is too high and even five to seven storeys is not suited to Albany or the area.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				
233	Believes the proposal would attract a number of tourists to the area but asks if the	Item four of the key issues table addresses the matter of coastal planning in detail.	Submission noted.				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN					
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation					
	erosion of the beach will be addressed as part of development.					
234	Comments that the proposal appears to be a great space and will be fully utilised, but expresses concern that the height limit of 12 storeys is too large for what the area can support.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
235	Expresses concern regarding traffic redirection once road re-alignments are completed, car parking provisions and believes that the proposed 12-storey height limit should be lessened to four to eight storeys. States that overall, the proposal looks good, offers 'hang-out' areas and will benefit Albany.	Items one, three and eight of the key issues table address the matters of building height, parking and road alignment, in detail.	Submission noted.			
236	Is in favour of the general concept of the proposal as he welcomes development in the area, but believes that the proposed 12 storey height limit is too much and should be restricted to seven to eight storeys as such a height would not distract from the existing landscape and would not take business away from local accommodation providers. Comments also that the proposed development will instil a lot of pride in Albany locals once complete.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1				
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation			
237	Believes that the proposal looks great and hopes the development is allowed to proceed as it will be a welcomed venue for both locals and tourists.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
238	Is in opposition to the proposal as it does not fit in with the existing, low level and relaxed Middleton Beach area. Believes that as the building is too tall and will creating overlooking issues, it will take away from the natural vista of the area.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.			
239	Believes that the proposal would be great for the area as it is not being utilised currently.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
240	Believes that the proposal would benefit Albany as currently there are no eye- catching buildings.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
241	Believes the proposal to be a good idea if it includes areas for children to utilise.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
242	Suggests to include a park in the south- eastern corner of the mixed use area.	Nil.	Submission noted.			
243	Suggests the proposal include park land adjacent to the beach to attract families.	Nil.	Submission noted.			

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN						
No.	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS         No.       Summary of Submission       Officer Comment       Staff         Recommendation						
244	Is in favour of the proposed development as the increased accommodation will attract more tourists.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
245	Of the opinion that the height restriction should be three storeys as the site is already large enough to be economically viable without making Middleton Beach similar to the Gold Coast.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.				
246	Believes the proposed 12-storey height limit should be reduced to a five-storey maximum.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.					
247	Expresses congratulation that development is moving forward for the Esplanade site and that the proposal looks great.	Nil.	Submission noted.				
248	Comments then the artists impression of the proposal is appealing, but suggests that the 12-storey hotel should be designed with staggered floors so that only 50% of the footprint be at 12 storeys. Also suggests that the rest of the proposal be restricted to a four-storey maximum.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.					

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL P	LANNING SCHEME No. 1		
AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF S	SUBMISSIONS		
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation	
249	Believes that the proposed 12-storey hotel will obscure views from residents in the Mount Clarence area and that the hotel should be restricted to five storeys instead of 12. Asks if the residents in the Mount Clarence area will experience property value decreases and that these residents should be personally consulted.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.	
250	Suggest that the hotel site be restricted to four storeys and that all other mixed use areas be restricted to two storeys. States that the importance should be on keeping Albany an attractive tourist destination as visitors come to the region to 'escape' high density cities. Suggests that the design material of the structures be sympathetic to the natural environment, with examples of rammed earth or limestone.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail. Design Guidelines are being prepared for the Activity Centre area, which should ensure that any development is appropriate to the locality.	Submission noted.	
251	Is in opposition to a proposed hotel in excess of five storeys.	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.	
252	Expresses opposition regarding the scale of the proposed development as she believes that a six-storey hotel on the proposed site would be excessive, and that the proposed will overwhelm the Middleton	Item one of the key issues table addresses the matter of building height in detail.	Submission noted.	

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1				
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS			
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
	Beach area as the increase in attraction will make the area loud and be unpleasant for families.				
253	Believe that the proposed heights are too large and should be restricted to the previous height limit of the Esplanade. Comment that the proposed heights will increase the already existing shadowing, and that the proposal detracts from the appeal of Albany as a holiday destination of natural beauty and historic value of a small city.	table address the matters of building height and overshadowing in detail.	Submission noted.		
254	The Albany Ratepayers and Residents Association Inc. does not believe that the general community is aware of the hotel position. The development should be within the confines of the original site and should not be extended out to the public open space. The hotel should be three levels including ground level in the proposed location. If it was within the confines of the original site then maybe five levels including ground level would be more acceptable. All the	<ul><li>issues table address the matters of building height, heritage and the location of the hotel within the development area, in detail.</li><li>A mix of tourist accommodation and permanent residential uses is consistent with current planning policy, as confirmed by Tourism WA.</li></ul>	Submission noted.		

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	other accommodation should not be more				
	than three levels including ground level.				
	The area is a tourist area and as such				
	should have no permanent residential as				
	part of it. It should be 100% tourist.				
	This Association believes that while there is				
	a need for tourist accommodation and even				
	a hotel that it should not be at the expense				
	of the public open space which is what				
	attracts people to the area. This is a public				
	area and should be kept as is. Leaving the				
	area open will be a bigger tourist asset in				
	the future because we have preserved the				
	natural beauty of the beachfront.				
	The area from Ellen Cove to Flinders				
	Parade is currently part of assessment by				
	the Heritage Council. Has the City				
	consulted the Heritage Council? The				
	following is the Heritage Council reference.				
	Heritage information needs to add to the				
	plan for future reference and consultation.				
	Heritage Place No. 17520 - Middleton				
	Beach, – Middleton Beach Arising from				
	nomination of P17771 Norfolk Pine Trees				

### AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff		
			Recommendation		
	Albany Middleton Beach Landscape				
	Arising from nomination of P17771 Norfolk				
	Pine Trees Albany, & utilities Heritage List				
	- YES on 30 Dec 1983 Constructed from				
	1940.				
	Heritage Place No. 15477 - Ellen Cove				
	Jetty & Norfolk Island Pine Trees, – Ellen				
	Cove Jetty & Norfolk Island Pine Trees				
	Flinders Parade Middleton Beach Ellen				
	Cove Jetty & Norfolk Island Pine Trees				
	Other Built Type Flinders, - Adopted on 30				
	Jun 2001 Constructed from 1899 Ellen				
	Cove jetty has played a significant role,				
	users. Ellen Cove Jetty has played a				
	significant role in the transport of goods and				
	people in Albany.				
	In conclusion the Albany Ratepayers and				
	Residents Association Inc. would like to see				
	the vacant land that was formerly the				
	Esplanade Hotel site utilised and should be				
	used for a hotel and tourist				
	accommodation. There should be no				
	development outside this site as the area is				
	for the general public and should stay that				
	way. There are many environmental issues				

	CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1			
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN			
	SCHEDULE OF	SUBMISSIONS		
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation	
	that is hoped the council will take interest consideration.			
255	Harley Dykstra is a developmen consultancy firm of Town Planners and Surveyors, established in Albany in 1954 Over the past 60 years we have been involved in many key developments in Albany and witnessed evolution of the land development industry responding to economic, planning and consume changes over time. We have a pertinen interest in the planning, environmenta economic and ongoing sustainability of Albany and the wider Great Southern region.	for the Activity Centre area, which should ensure that any development is appropriate to the locality.	Submission noted.	
	We commend the proponents of the Middleton Beach Scheme Amendment and Structure Plan Activity Centre and suppor changes proposed to this key tourist node We believe key tourist nodes such a Middleton Beach require a more fluid approach to permit residential and tourism elements to co-exist within the same development, and that in doing so will resu in a better and more sustainable outcome for these localities. Furthermore, thi			

## AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN

No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff	
			Recommendation	
	flexible arrangement allows the			
	development to respond to seasonal and			
	global fluctuations, and avoid high vacancy			
	rates and a lack of activity and activation			
	outside of peak season.			
	Due consideration has been given to the			
	built form scale and location with the siting			
	of the hotel development adjacent Mount			
	Adelaide providing high amenity and			
	potentially some shelter from south-			
	westerly winds. The indicative built form			
	responds well to the locality and			
	surroundings, and we encourage adoption			
	of a high quality outcome through future			
	design guidelines. The gradual increase to			
	building heights towards Mount Adelaide is			
	respectful to the surroundings and will			
	provide flexibility and certainty to future			
	developers to viably develop the land.			
	An increased focus towards more			
	sustainable forms of transport including			
	walking and cycling is encouraging,			
	however separation of these two modes			
	may require further consideration to avoid			
	future conflict. Suitable consideration has			
	been given to private motor vehicle			

CITY OF ALBANY LOCAL PLANNING SCHEME No. 1					
	AMENDMENT No. 1 & MIDDLETON BEACH ACTIVITY CENTRE STRUCTURE PLAN				
	SCHEDULE OF SUBMISSIONS				
No.	Summary of Submission	Officer Comment	Staff Recommendation		
	movements and parking, which are appropriately reflected in the proposed Structure Plan and Scheme Amendment provisions. We support the proposed Middleton Beach Scheme Amendment and Structure Plan, and anticipate it will enable viable development of the land, whilst still respecting the locality and surrounding land uses. We respectively encourage the City of Albany to approve the Scheme Amendment and Structure Plan when next presented to Council for consideration.				