



MINUTES

DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING

Wednesday 11 March 2026

5.30pm

Council Chambers

The Five Strategic Pillars



Development & Infrastructure Services Committee
Terms of Reference

Functions:

This Committee is responsible for:

- Sustainable management of natural areas, balancing conservation with responsible access and enjoyment.
- Shared responsibility for climate action.
- Responsible growth, development, and urban renewal.
- Creating interesting, vibrant, and welcoming places.
- Valuing and preserving local history, heritage, and character.
- Ensuring a safe, sustainable, and efficient transport network.

It accomplishes this by:

- Developing policies and strategies.
- Creating progress measurement methods.
- Receiving progress reports.
- Considering officer advice.
- Debating current issues.
- Offering advice on effective community engagement and progress reporting.
- Making recommendations to Council.

Membership: Open to all elected members.

Meeting Schedule: Monthly Meeting

Location: Council Chambers

Executive Officers:

- Executive Director Infrastructure, Development & Environment Services
- Manager Development Services
- Manager Engineering & Sustainability

Delegated Authority: None

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Details	Pg#
1.	DECLARATION OF OPENING	4
2.	PRAYER AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL LAND OWNERS	4
3.	RECORD OF APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE	4
4.	DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST	5
5.	RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE	5
6.	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	5
7.	PETITIONS AND DEPUTATIONS	5
8.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	5
9.	PRESENTATIONS	5
10.	UNRESOLVED BUSINESS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS	5
	REPORTS	
DIS465	CHEYNE ROAD AND HASSELL BEACH ROAD, CHEYNES – ROAD RESERVE RATIONALISATION	6
DIS466	BUDGET AMENDMENT WAPC PUBLIC OPEN SPACE (POS) FUND ALLOCATION – CENTENNIAL PARK	10
DIS467	PEACE PARK JETTY – MAINTAIN OR REMOVAL	13
11.	MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN	18
12.	MEETING CLOSED TO PUBLIC	18
13.	CLOSURE	18

DEVELOPMENT AND INFRASTRUCTURE SERVICES COMMITTEE
MINUTES – 11/03/2026

1. **DECLARATION OF OPENING** – The Chair declared the meeting open at 5:30pm.
2. **PRAYER AND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF TRADITIONAL LAND OWNERS**

“Heavenly Father, we thank you for the peace and beauty of this area. Direct and prosper the deliberations of this Council for the advancement of the City and the welfare of its people. Amen.”

“We would like to acknowledge the Noongar people who are the Traditional Custodians of the Land.

We would also like to pay respect to Elders past, present and emerging”.

3. **RECORD OF ATTENDANCE, APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE**

Mayor:	G Stocks
Councillors:	
Deputy Mayor Councillor	P Terry
Councillor	R Sutton (Chair)
Councillor	L MacLaren
Councillor	R Stephens
Councillor	I Clarke APM (Arrived at 5:44pm)
Councillor	T Brough
Councillor	M Lionetti
Staff:	
Chief Executive Officer	A Sharpe
Executive Director Infrastructure, Development & Environment	P Camins
Manager Development Services	J van der Mescht
Meeting Secretary	N Banyard
Apologies:	
Councillor	C McKinley

There were no media representatives or members of the public in attendance.

4. DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

Name	Committee/Report Item Number	Nature of Interest
Nil		

5. RESPONSE TO PREVIOUS PUBLIC QUESTIONS TAKEN ON NOTICE - Nil

6. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Conduct of Persons at Meetings: Members of the public attending meetings must be respectful of the Presiding Member, Council and City Officers to ensure the meeting runs efficiently.

Prevention of Disturbance:

- Members of the public are admitted to meetings with the understanding that no expressions of dissent, approval, conversations or other interruptions will take place during proceedings.
- Attendees must:
 - Refrain from interrupting the meeting through approval, dissent or conversation.
 - Conduct themselves appropriately and follow directions if asked to leave.
 - Avoid obstructing access to the meeting or causing disturbances.

Public Question Time. In accordance with clause 4.2 (Procedures for public question time) and clause 8.3 (Where this local law does not apply or is silent) of the *City of Albany Standing Orders Local Law 2014 (as amended)*:

- Public Question Time is limited to 30 minutes, extendable at the discretion of the Presiding Member.
- The Presiding Member may decline to respond to a question if:
 - The same or a similar question was asked at a previous meeting.
 - The question or statement is offensive, unlawful or defamatory. The Presiding Member may request that it be rephrased to ensure that it is appropriate.

Contents of Minutes As per the *Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996*, regulation 11:

- The minutes of the meeting will include a summary of questions raised during Public Question Time and a summary of the response.

Documents Tabled at Meetings. Documents tabled during Public Question Time or Reports of Members will not be included in the minutes. The minutes will note who tabled the document and will provide a document reference number.

There were no requests to address Council from Members of the Public.

7. PETITIONS AND DEPUTATIONS - Nil

8. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

<p>RESOLUTION</p> <p>MOVED: COUNCILLOR BROUGH SECONDED: COUNCILLOR STEPHENS</p> <p>THAT the minutes of the Development and Infrastructure Services Committee meeting held on 3 December 2025 as previously distributed, be CONFIRMED as a true and accurate record of proceedings.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CARRIED 7-0</p>

There was no DIS Committee meeting held in February 2026 due to there being no agenda items

9. PRESENTATIONS - Nil

10. UNRESOLVED BUSINESS FROM PREVIOUS MEETINGS - Nil


DIS465: CHEYNE ROAD AND HASSELL BEACH ROAD, CHEYNES – ROAD RESERVE RATIONALISATION

Land Description	: Portions of Cheyne Road, Hassell Beach Road and Reserve 29883.
Proponent / Owner	: State Government – Department of Planning Lands & Heritage
Attachments	: 1. Map A –Cheyne Beach Rd (near South Coast Hwy) 2. Map B –Cheyne Beach Rd 3. Map C – Cheyne Beach Rd & Hassell Beach Rd intersection 4. Map D - Reserve 29883
Report Prepared By	: Lands Officer
Authorising Officer:	: Executive Director Infrastructure Development and Environment

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

1. This item relates to the following elements of the City of Albany Strategic Community Plan or Corporate Business Plan informing plans or strategies:
 - **Pillar:** A safe, sustainable and efficient transport network.
 - **Outcome:** Improve road safety, connectivity and traffic flow.

Maps and Diagrams:



In Brief:

- Portions of Cheyne Road, including at the intersection with Hassell Beach Road, that are managed by the City of Albany, have historically been constructed outside of the designated road reserve and through portions of adjoining Reserve 29883.
- Land actions are proposed to rectify these historical road alignment anomalies, by excising portions of land from Reserve 29883 for dedication as public road, and closing the unused portions of road reserve for inclusion into the Reserve.
- Council's resolution is required to progress this matter with the Department of Planning Lands & Heritage, who are the decision-making authority in relation to Crown land management, pursuant to sections 56 and 58 of the *Land Administration Act 1997*.

RECOMMENDATION

DIS465: COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

**MOVED: COUNCILLOR BROUGH
SECONDED: COUNCILLOR LIONETTI**

THAT the Authorising Officer Recommendation be ADOPTED.

CARRIED 7-0

DIS465: AUTHORISING OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council:

1. REQUEST the Minister for Lands to excise 7Ha of land from Reserve 29883 for dedication as road reserves for Cheyne Road and Hassell Beach Road, pursuant to section 56 of the *Land Administration Act 1997*, subject to final survey;
2. INDEMNIFY the Minister for Lands from any claims for compensation in an amount equal to the amount of all costs and expenses reasonably incurred by the Minister in considering and granting the request, pursuant to section 56(4) of the *Land Administration Act 1997*;
3. REQUEST the Minister for Lands to close 7Ha of the unused road reserves for Cheyne Road and Hassell Beach Road, pursuant to section 58 of the *Land Administration Act 1997*, subject to final survey;
4. REQUEST the Minister for Lands to incorporate the closed roads into Reserve 29883.

BACKGROUND

2. There are historical anomalies whereby portions of Cheyne Road and Hassell Beach Road were not constructed within their designated road reserves, but instead constructed through portions of adjoining Reserve 29883.
3. Additionally, there are portions of road reserve that have not been constructed. As Cheyne Road has already been built, with no realignments required, these sections of road reserve are no longer required.
4. The land within the curtilage of Reserve 29883 is classified as Environmental Conservation reserve in accordance with the City of Albany's Local Planning Scheme No. 2. The reserve purpose assigned to Reserve 29883 is 'Waychinicup River Catchment Area', and the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage are the responsible agency of the Reserve.

DISCUSSION

5. The proposed road rationalisation aims to resolve this historical road alignment anomaly and address various other minor administrative matters that have since been identified as part of the City's investigations. The proposed land actions involve:
 - Portions of land containing currently constructed roads to be excised from Reserve 29883 for dedication as public road; and
 - Portions of unused and undeveloped road reserve to be closed and consolidated into Reserve 29883.
6. The proposed road rationalisation will:
 - better reflect the current use of the land and remove surplus portions of undeveloped road reserve that are not required;
 - provide improved protections over areas of remnant vegetation in the adjoining Reserve 29883; and
 - resolve ambiguities or uncertainty in relation to land tenure and management and liability responsibilities between the City's managed road infrastructure outside of the

designated road reserve and within adjoining Reserve 29883, that is not under the City's management.

GOVERNMENT & PUBLIC CONSULTATION

7. Pursuant to section 58 of the *Land Administration Act 1997*, the City of Albany is required to undertake public consultation on the proposed road closures.
8. Public advertising of the proposal was undertaken, with the public comment period being open for 42 days from 1 August 2025 to 12 September 2025. No comments or objections were received.
9. Service providers were asked to provide comment from 16 September 2025 to 22 October 2025.
10. Community Engagement

Type of Engagement	Method of Engagement	Engagement Dates	Participation (Number)	Statutory Consultation
Consult	Public Notice in locally distributed newspaper	1 August – 12 September 2025	0	Yes
Consult	Public Comments page on City of Albany website	1 August – 12 September 2025	0	Yes
Consult	Letters and email to public service providers	16 September – 22 October 2025	Sent: 7 Received: 4 responses with no objections	Yes

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

11. Section 56 of the *Land Administration Act 1997* allows a local government to request the Minister for Lands to dedicate land acquired for use by the public as a road under the care, control and management of the local government.
12. Section 58 of the *Land Administration Act 1997* allows for a local government to request the Minister for Lands to close a road permanently.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

13. There are no policy implications relating to this item.

RISK IDENTIFICATION & MITIGATION

14. The risk identification and categorisation relies on the City's Enterprise Risk and Opportunity Management Framework.

Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Analysis	Mitigation
Legal & Compliance. Risk: There is a risk that by not having a designated road reserve over the constructed roads that the City does not have legal jurisdiction over the care, control and management of the road reserves.	Almost Certain	Major	Extreme	Council supports the rationalisation of portions of Cheyne Road and the intersection with Hassell Beach Road reserves by excising land along the current alignments of the constructed roads and closure of the unused road reserves for inclusion into Reserve 29883.
Environmental Risk: That retaining the current alignment of the existing road reserve creates uncertainty regarding the protection of some sections of road reserve that incorporate high quality, undisturbed vegetation.	Low	Moderate	Moderate	Council supports the closure of these sections of road reserve and consolidation of these areas into Reserve 29883.
Opportunity: To clear up a historical land tenure anomaly to better reflect the current use of the land. Opportunity: To provide clarification and appropriate protections for these areas of vegetation.				

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

15. The costs associated with the required land actions can be accommodated within existing budget allocations.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

16. There are no additional legal implications arising from this item. The proposed road rationalisation will clarify land tenure and ensure appropriate care, control and management responsibilities are aligned with the constructed road infrastructure.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

17. Some of the identified portions of unused, undeveloped road reserve include high quality, undisturbed vegetation. Rationalisation of these sections to consolidate them into Reserve 29883 will assist in ensuring the ongoing protection of these areas.

ALTERNATE OPTIONS

18. Council can choose to not support the proposed road rationalisation, whereby portions of Cheyne Road and the intersection with Hassell Beach Road will remain within Reserve 29883.

CONCLUSION

19. Portions of Cheyne Road and the intersection with Hassell Beach Road have been constructed through portions of Reserve 29883. Additionally, there are unused and undeveloped portions of road reserve extending through the Reserve.
20. The proposed road rationalisation will change the land tenure to allow the constructed road infrastructure to be contained within its designated road reserves, better reflecting the current use of the land. It will also remove unused, undeveloped road reserves surplus to the City's needs and provide improved protection over areas of high-quality remnant vegetation.
21. Council's resolution is required to progress this matter with the Department of Planning Lands & Heritage as the decision-making authority for Crown land management matters, pursuant to sections 56 and 58 of the *Land Administration Act 1997*.

Consulted References	:	<i>Land Administration Act 1997</i> <i>Land Administration Regulations 1998</i>
File Number	:	RD.ACQ.1
Previous Reference	:	None

**DIS466: BUDGET AMENDMENT WAPC PUBLIC OPEN SPACE (POS)
FUND ALLOCATION – CENTENNIAL PARK**

Proponent / Owner	: City of Albany.
Business Entity Name	: City of Albany.
Attachments	: Approval letter from Minister of Planning and Lands
Report Prepared By	: Manager City Reserves
Authorising Officer:	: Executive Director Infrastructure, Development & Environment

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

1. This item relates to the following elements of the City of Albany Strategic Community Plan or Corporate Business Plan informing plans or strategies:
 - **Pillar:** People
 - **Outcome:** A diverse and inclusive community
 - **Pillar:** People
 - **Outcome:** A happy, healthy and resilient community
 - **Pillar:** Place
 - **Outcome:** Interesting, vibrant and welcoming place

In Brief:

- This item is an additional budget review outside the standard process.
- Council approval is sought to approve the addition of \$127,272 to the Capital Works Budget for 25/26 from Public Open Space contributions
- It is proposed to transfer an amount of \$127,272 from the Public Open Space Reserve WO.12069.2703 allocating to:
 1. WO.C1526 Upgrades to the Central Precinct POS including path access around the lake for the Radio Control Sailing Club and additional shelter and trees for the fenced dog park \$12,272
 2. WO.C1523 Improved boundary fencing around Oval E and Barnesby Drive - \$50,000
 3. WO.C1524 Convert Old Gravel Carpark to Grassed Area for Community Use \$15,000
 4. WO.C1521 Youth Challenge Park Multi Use Court - Path connections and landscaping \$50,000

RECOMMENDATION

DIS466: COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

**Moved: Councillor Clarke
Seconded: Councillor Stephens**

THAT the Authorising Officer Recommendation be ADOPTED.

CARRIED 8-0

5:44pm Councillor Clarke arrived in the Chamber and was present during the discussion and voted for this item

DIS466: AUTHORISING OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council APPROVE the budget amendments to transfer an amount of \$127,272 from the Public Open Space Reserve WO.12069.2703, allocating to the following Projects:

1. WO.C1526 Upgrades to the Central Precinct POS including path access around the lake for the Radio Control Sailing Club and additional shelter and trees for the fenced dog park \$12,272.
2. WO.C1523 Improved boundary fencing around Oval E and Barnesby Drive \$50,000.
3. WO.C1524 Convert Old Gravel Carpark to Grassed Area for Community Use \$15,000.
4. WO.C1521 Youth Challenge Park Multi Use Court - Path connections and landscaping \$50,000.

BACKGROUND

2. The City of Albany has received Cash-in-Lieu contributions for POS from two subdivisions; 77 Stead Road, Centennial Park and 79 Earl St, Albany.
3. The funds are held in the City's Public Open Space reserve account and the Public Open Space Trust Fund, in accordance with section 154 of the Planning and Development Act 2005
4. The City requested the release of these funds, totalling \$127,272.73 (inc GST), for projects relating to Centennial Park Sporting Precinct (CPSP). Funds will contribute to items such as landscaping, pathways and shelters that aim to improve the general amenity and accessibility within CPSP.

DISCUSSION

5. The CPSP is Albany's main sporting precinct which has recently incorporated a new tennis centre, fenced dog park, skate park, upgrades to existing access ways and removal of old buildings. There are outstanding landscaping works to provide amenity, safety and accessible path connections throughout the site.
6. All other developed reserves within the area have been recently upgraded with no further works planned. The larger reserves surrounding the area are natural bushland areas which have received other funding for future works.
7. The City has received approval from the Minister for Planning; Lands; Housing; Homelessness to spend the POS contributions for the amenity and accessibility upgrades at the Centennial Sporting Precinct as attached.

GOVERNMENT & PUBLIC CONSULTATION

8. Nil

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

9. Nil

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

10. Nil

RISK IDENTIFICATION & MITIGATION

11. The risk identification and categorisation rely on the City’s Enterprise Risk and Opportunity Management Framework.

Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Analysis	Mitigation
Organisations Operations <i>No completion of outstanding landscaping works and safe accessible connections through the Centennial Precinct</i>	<i>Unlikely</i>	<i>Minor</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>Funding has been approved to complete these works.</i>
Opportunity – <i>Completion of landscaping and accessible connection will improve the amenity and access to the sporting precinct.</i>				

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

12. Project is funded through Cash-in-Lieu contributions for POS

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

13. There are no legal implications associated with this item.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

14. Nil.

ALTERNATE OPTIONS

15. The options are:
a) Council may refuse the recommendation, thereby preventing the spending of the funding provided.

CONCLUSION

16. It is recommended that the Authorising Officer’s Recommendation to adopt the budget amendment be supported, enabling the additional works at Centennial Sporting Precinct.

Consulted References	• <i>WAPC Reference Map 533-21 and 276-23</i>
File Number	<i>533-21 and 276-23</i>
Previous Reference	<i>N/A</i>

DIS467: PROPOSED DEMOLITION OF PEACE PARK JETTY

Proponent / Owner	: City of Albany
Business Entity Name	: City of Albany
Attachments	: ANZAC Peace Park Jetty Public Comment (names redacted)
Council Workstation	Structural Inspection Report, May 2024, Preliminary Quotations
Report Prepared By	: Technical Officer Building
Authorising Officer:	: Manager of Engineering and Assets

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS

1. This item relates to the following elements of the City of Albany Strategic Community Plan or Corporate Business Plan informing plans or strategies:
 - **Pillar:** Place
 - **Outcome:** A safe, sustainable and efficient transport network.

Maps and Diagrams:



In Brief:

- A structural report has identified major defects in the jetty's substructure.
- All options considered exceed the existing budget allocation of \$20,000.
- Repairing the jetty is estimated at \$350,000, which would only restore the structure with no improvements to its function. Fully restoring the jetty, including improvements, would require a budget of \$500,000.
- Removing the jetty is estimated at \$220,000, including the salvage of reusable components.
- The jetty has been closed to the public due to safety concerns, particularly the risk of structural failure during anticipated crowds for the 2026 celebrations.
- Public feedback indicates a preference for retaining the structure.
- Council is required to make a formal decision on whether to remove the jetty.

RECOMMENDATION

DIS467: COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

**MOVED: COUNCILLOR BROUGH
SECONDED: COUNCILLOR STEPHENS**

THAT the Authorising Officer Recommendation be ADOPTED.

CARRIED 7-1

Record of the Vote:

Against the Motion: Councillor MacLaren

DIS467: AUTHORISING OFFICER RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

- DIRECTS the CEO to arrange for the DEMOLITION of the Peace Park Jetty at a time that does not conflict with Albany 2026 events and, subject to that constraint, as soon as practicable.
- INCREASE WO.2489 Peace Park Memorial Jetty - Investigation and major maintenance from \$20,000 to \$220,000 funding from Parking, Bridges & Marine Structure reserve to demolish the Peace Park Jetty.

BACKGROUND

2. The Peace Park Jetty, originally known as the Pier of Remembrance, was constructed in 2011 using steel piles and crossheads supporting an aluminium deck and wooden handrail, and features memorial plaques for various ANZAC vessels.
3. The jetty was primarily funded by the Federal Government through the Regional and Local Community Infrastructure Program (RLCIP), with \$500,000 allocated to the Anzac Pier of Remembrance.
4. In 2024, a comprehensive structural inspection was undertaken following the observation of severe corrosion during routine maintenance.
5. The inspection report prompted the City's optioneering process to assess whether the asset should be maintained or removed.
6. The Peace Park Jetty has since been closed to the public to mitigate safety risks, including the potential for catastrophic failure during anticipated crowds for the 2026 celebrations.
7. A public survey has been conducted to gather community feedback on the future of the structure.

DISCUSSION

8. The structural report identified urgent concerns regarding the structural integrity of the jetty, with all 36 piles showing severe corrosion and significant structural damage affecting overall stability. Some sections of the sub-structure were recorded as having up to 62% loss of steel.

The City has sought pricing for both maintaining and removing the structure, summarised below:

9. **Option 1 – Demolish (\$220,000)**
 - Removal allows for the salvage of the decking, handrail, and memorial plaques, all of which are in good condition. These components can be reused in locations such as Eyre Park and Lake Seppings to honour the original structure's purpose and maintain community connection.

- The memorial plaque for HMAS AE2, the WWI submarine that first navigated the Dardanelles Straits, entering the Sea of Marmara and disrupting supply lines during the 1915 Gallipoli campaign, will likely be relocated to either ANZAC Peace Park or Albany Heritage Park following further consultation with the RSL and the Australian E-Class Submarine Foundation (AESMF).
- Savings generated from reusing salvaged materials in future projects will help offset the initial removal cost.
- Removal enables future foreshore planning without the constraints of a deteriorating asset, creating opportunities for alternative recreational or interpretive features that better meet current community needs.
- There is consideration is being made to salvage materials that can be reused in other public spaces

Option 2 – Maintain (\$350,000)

- Works would be repairs only, with no improvements to the asset.
- Although repairs would extend the lifespan, the long-term durability of the structure would remain uncertain.
- The asset would continue to incur operational maintenance costs over its remaining life.

Option 3 – Maintain and improve (\$500,000)

- Although repairs would extend the lifespan, the long-term durability of the structure would remain uncertain.
- The asset would continue to incur operational maintenance costs over its remaining life and would require additional funding as asset value is increased.
- If improvements such as lighting or additional features were included, the total cost could exceed \$500,000.

10. Although the public survey shows strong support for retaining the jetty, several significant considerations must be taken into account. Ongoing maintenance costs and broader budgetary pressures are key factors. The whole-of-life cost, including the poor value for money realised from the original investment due to the jetty’s relatively short service life in a corrosive environment, is also relevant. Additionally, there is an opportunity to redirect investment toward alternative infrastructure that may better meet contemporary community needs. For these reasons, officers recommend that the jetty be demolished.

GOVERNMENT & PUBLIC CONSULTATION

11. Community Engagement – Public Survey

Type of Engagement	Method of Engagement	Engagement Dates	Participation (Number)	Statutory Consultation
Consult	Survey	09/01/2026 - 28/02/2026	68 (current as of 04/03/2026)	

12. The public survey resulted in 64 responses in favour of maintaining the structure and 2 responses in favour of removal.

STATUTORY IMPLICATIONS

13. Jetties Act 1926, Section 6 Acquisition, lease, closure and removal of jetties.
14. Local Government Act 1995 3.18. Performing executive functions (3) A local government is to satisfy itself that services and facilities that it provides (c) are managed efficiently and effectively
15. Voting Requirement: Absolute Majority.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

16. Council's Purchasing Policy (Tenders & Quotes) and Buy Local Policy (Regional Price Preference) are applicable to this item.

RISK IDENTIFICATION & MITIGATION

17. The risk identification and categorisation relies on the City's Enterprise Risk and Opportunity Management Framework.

Risk	Likelihood	Consequence	Risk Analysis	Mitigation
People Health and Safety <i>Incident of a pedestrian along the jetty being harmed due to structural defect.</i>	<i>Likely</i>	<i>Major</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Removal or repair of the structure as directed by council.</i>
Legal and compliance <i>Possible harm to the public due to poorly maintained structure resulting in legal action.</i>	<i>Likely</i>	<i>Major</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Removal or repair of the structure as directed by council. Or permanent closure.</i>
Community <i>Possible negative feedback and reputational damage</i>	<i>Possible</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>Low</i>	<i>Council to review survey feedback and consider it within the discussion making process</i>
Finance <i>Supporting a non-funded project</i>	<i>Likely</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Moderate</i>	<i>Council to consider all funding options and whole of life asset cost along with any holistic costing saving options which may benefit the applicable reserve.</i>
Opportunity: <i>To realise long-term budget savings by eliminating ongoing maintenance costs and reallocating funds to areas of greater strategic priority</i>				

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

18. Current funding allocated to this project is \$20,000. An additional \$200,000 is required to deliver the recommended option.
19. It is proposed that the additional funding be sourced from the Parking, Bridges and Marine Structures Reserve, with any project savings to be returned to the Reserve.
20. If Council wish to retain and maintain the structure, the initial capital contribution from the Reserve will increase to at least \$330,000, in addition to ongoing maintenance costs associated with the asset over its renewed lifecycle.

LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

21. There are no legal implications related to report.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

22. City to consult subject matter expert contractors to provide the lowest impact removal techniques.
23. Majority of the removal works to be conducted from the adjacent carpark to minimise impact to the water.

ALTERNATE OPTIONS

Other options Council could consider are to:

24. Undertake repairs to the structure and approve a budget amendment of \$350,000 from the Parking, Bridges and Marine Structures Reserve to enable the works to be completed as soon as practicable.
25. Undertake repairs to the structure together with associated improvements, including lighting and/or other enhancements, and approve a budget amendment of \$500,000 from the Parking, Bridges and Marine Structures Reserve to enable the works to be completed as soon as practicable.

CONCLUSION

26. The City has assessed options and engaged the public to identify the most suitable outcome, aiming to balance whole-of-life asset management with the asset’s value to the wider community.
27. Although the public survey supports retaining the jetty, it is recommended that the City proceed with its removal in a timely manner to manage public safety and avoid the ongoing disproportionate costs of maintaining it in the extreme marine environment.

Consulted References	:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996</i> • <i>Council Policy – Purchasing</i>
File Number	:	<i>CP.DEC.147</i>
Previous Reference	:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Anzac Peace Park Jetty Treatment Assessment – Strategic Workshop Presentation – February 2026.</i> • <i>Briefing Note 26/08/2025 – Topic: Pier of Remembrance Remediation.</i>

11. MOTIONS OF WHICH PREVIOUS NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN - Nil

12. MEETING CLOSED TO THE PUBLIC – 6:04pm

13. CLOSURE

There being no further business the Chair declared the meeting closed at 6.04pm.

(Unconfirmed Minutes)

Councillor Robert Sutton
CHAIR