

Council Guideline

Planning Applications

© City of Albany 2011

Version: 19/10/2010 Maintained By: Development Services, Document Reference: CM.STD.7 Synergy Ref: NP099015_6 102 North Road, Yakamia WA 6330 PO Box 484, Albany WA 6331 Tel: (+61 8) 9841 9333 Fax: (+61 8) 9841 4099 <u>staff@albany.wa.gov.au</u> www.albany.wa.gov.au

Version Control

Version	Date	Status	Distribution	Comment
01	21/07/09	Adopted	Minutes	16.2.1
02	02/02/2010	Revised	Corporate Document Register	Formatting only.
03	19/10/10	Revised	Corporate Document Register	Council OCM 19/10/10
04	15/02/11	Revised	Minutes	Council OCM 15/02/11
05	19/04/11	Revised	Minutes	Council OCM 19/04/11
06	11/10/11	Revised	Minutes	Council OCM 11/10/11

Table of Contents

Objective	.3
Scope	
Policy Statement	.3
Legislative Context	.3
Review and Position Date	.3
TABLE A – APPLICATION LEVEL AND REQUIREMENTS	.4
APPENDIX 'A' – DECISION MECHANISM	.5
APPENDIX 'B' – DECISION MAKING MATRIX	.6

Objective

The purpose of these Guidelines is to provide guidance to the development industry, public and staff charged with processing development applications.

The primary objectives of this Guideline are:

- To ensure sufficient information is lodged to facilitate an informed decision by the City of Albany;
- To promote a transparent planning process that the community and the development industry can have confidence in; and
- To facilitate industry, community and regulator engagement.

Scope

These Guidelines apply to all applications for planning scheme consent lodged with the City of Albany.

Policy Statement

All applications for Planning Scheme Consent received by the City of Albany are to be classified in accordance with appendix B (Decision Making Matrix), and processed in accordance with Table A (Application Level and Requirements) and decided upon in accordance with appendix A (Decision Mechanism).

Legislative Context

Planning and Development Act 2005; Residential Design Codes; Town Planning Scheme No. 1A and 3.

Review and Position Date

Executive Director Planning and Development Services to review on or before 11 October 2012.

TABLE A – APPLICATION LEVEL AND REQUIREMENTS

Level Application	Advertising (where required under Scheme or R-Codes@)	Referral	Legislation	Detail Supplied	Processing Times (Working Days)*
1	Sign on-siteImmediate NeighboursNewspaper Advertising	Neighbours Only^	Single Policy Issue	 Plans Justification Report Neighbours Comments 	Permitted Uses – 25 working days.
2	 Sign on-site Newspaper advertising Neighbourhood+ 	 Neighbourhood+ Ward Councillors (copy plans) Councillors Weekly Update 	 Scheme Compliance Council Policy Compliance State Policy Compliance 	 Plans Justification Report Images (elevations) 	Discretionary Uses – 30 working days. Advertised Uses – 42 working days.
3	 Consultation Pamphlet Distributed Photomontage / or Image Provided Letter to Locality# Pre-assessment Councillor Inspection Post Advertising Community Inspection Sign(s) on site Newspaper Advertising Press Release (for major projects) Developer Contact No. 	 Community (Locality)# All Councillors (copy plans) Technical Input Reports (independent) on Technical Information Councillors to advise on issues requiring attention 	 Scheme Compliance Council Policy Compliance State Policies Considered Procedural Fairness Legal Capacity to Make Decisions Determined State Agency Guidelines Identified 	 Plans Constraints Map Agency Consultation Sub-Consultants Reports Images Justification/Audit Against All Planning Instruments Contact Details (Liaison Officer) 	

* Planning Application processing times against stated KPI's are to be published quarterly from **March 2011**. These KPI's are consistent with the ISO 9001 Planning Team Manual and the Planning Team Service Plans, forming part of Council's Financial Sustainability Framework.

A Except if proposal is an application under R-Codes (then referral to neighbours as per the definition within the R-Codes), notification to be sent to 3 properties either side of subject land on same side of street, 3 properties opposite and 3 properties behind.

+ Shall generally mean the street block the application is within, however the full extent of those to be consulted shall be at the discretion of assessing officer.

Shall generally mean the locality (suburb) the application is within, however the full extent of those to be consulted shall be at discretion of assessing officer.

@Where an application involving the assessment of an element under the performance criteria of the R-Codes is made, such element shall be referred to adjacent landowners as per the consultation procedure stipulated within the Codes, except where in the opinion of the City of Albany's Executive Director Planning and Development Services, the variation is not likely to impact on the amenity of adjoining landowners. For the purposes of clarity all applications under the performance criteria of the Codes for Boundary Setbacks, Retaining Walls, Street Setbacks, Overlooking, Overshadowing and Building Height are to be referred to adjacent landowners. Where a proposal complies with the R-Codes yet is likely to be publicly controversial or of great interest to the adjoining landowner/s in the opinion of the City of Albany's Executive Director Planning and Development Services, a notification letter to surrounding landowners is to be sent explaining the proposed development and how the proposal complies with the Codes. In relation to all applications to be determined by Council the above procedure will be applied except there will be a presumption of possible adverse impact and in all cases the adjacent landholders will be notified.

APPENDIX 'A' – DECISION MECHANISM

(Refer Appendix B to determine whether the proposal is an A, B or C application)

(a) <u>SIMPLE APPLICATION</u>

The application will be determined by an officer within the Planning and Development Services Directorate following receipt of comment from an adjoining landowner.

(b) LARGER PROJECTS

The application will be determined by either the Manager or Executive Director within the Planning and Development Services Directorate after adjacent landowners, ward Councillors, and in some matters the community generally have been consulted and the concerns raised have been "adequately addressed" * (refer process and clarification below).

* "Adequately addressed" requires:

Where an application is advertised and:-

- (a) No submissions were received the application is to be determined on its merits.
- (b) Submissions objecting or seeking changes to the proposal were lodged, but were non-substantive, then a planning officer shall liaise with the person(s) who lodged the submission prior to determining the application. Depending on the submissions received the Executive Director Planning and Development Services shall determine if it warrants Council's consideration.
- (c) Submissions were lodged with substantive arguments against the proposal then the Executive Director Planning and Development Services may refuse the application or refer the application to Council for determination.

(c) <u>COMPLEX PROJECTS</u>

The application will be determined by Council at an ordinary Council Meeting.

APPENDIX 'B' – DECISION MAKING MATRIX

Application Type	Req.	Mech.	Application Type	Req.	Mech.
RESIDENTIAL		<u> </u>	Veterinary Clinic	1	B
Single House (R-Codes)	1	A	Veterinary Hospital	2	В
Home Occupation	1	A	Funeral Parlour	2	B
Relocated Dwelling	1	A	Public Utility	1	A
Family Day Care	1	A	Public Amusement	1	B C
Institutional Home	1	B	Cemetery	3	B
Grouped Dwellings (<10 units) Grouped Dwellings (10 - 25 units	1	A B	Garden Centre	2	В
Grouped Dwellings (>25 units)	2	C			
Aged Persons Dwellings (<20 units)	1	A	RURAL		
Aged Persons Dwellings (>20 units)	1	B	Chalets	1	В
Multiple Dwellings (2 storeys)	1	A	Caravan Park	3	C
Multiple Dwellings (> 2 storeys)	2	В	Kennels (<10 kennels)	2	B
	-		Kennels (>10 kennels)	2	C
Home Business	2	В	Silviculture	1	A
Aged Persons Village	2	B	Stockyards	2	B
Residential Building (<10 rooms)	1	B	Horticulture	1	B
Residential Building (>10 rooms)	2	В		•	_
5 ()					
Institutional Building (<10 rooms)	2	B	Winery	2	В
Institutional Building (>10 rooms)	3	B	Purol Inductor	0	В
Nursing Home	2	В	Rural Industry	2	В
			INDUCTRIAL		
COMMERCIAL / CULTURAL		D	INDUSTRIAL Fuel Depot	2	<u> </u>
Consulting Rooms Bulky Goods Outlet	2	B		2	C
Cinema	1		Light Industry General Industry	1	A B
	2	B	,	2	
Fast Food Outlet Holiday Accommodation (<10 units)	2	B	Noxious Industry	3	C A
Holiday Accommodation (<10 units) Holiday Accommodation (10 – 25 units)	1 2	A B	Service Industry	I	A
Holiday Accommodation (10 – 25 units)	3	C			
Hotel	3	C C	Extractive Industry (Hard Rock)	3	С
liotei	5	C	Extractive Industry (Other)	2	В
Office (<300m ² NLA)	1	В	Vehicle Repair Station	1	B
Office (>300m ² NLA)	2	B		1	
Liquor Store	2	B	Junk Yard		С
Motel	3	C	Transport Depot		B
Night Club	3	C	Warehouse		A
Restaurant	2	B	EXCEPTIONS:		
Service Station	2	B	Expansion or upgrading of existing land	1	Α
		_	uses within confine of current lot		
			(notwithstanding above requirement).		
Shop (<300m ² NLA)	1	В	Where prescribed maximum number	2	В
Shop (>300m ² NLA)	2	В	units/rooms places application into		
			alternate decision making category.		
Tavern	3	С	Use not Listed and declared as	-	Α
			prohibited land use.		
Vehicle Sales/Hire	1	A	Use not Listed and declared	2	В
			discretionary land use.		
Education Establishment (<30 students)	1	В	Applications that are inconsistent with	2	B/C
Education Establishment (>30 students)	2	С	Council Policy* (refer clarification		
			below)		
			OTHER REQUIREMENTS:		•
House of Worship (<40 seats)	2	В	* Where a development application does	not com	oly with an
House of Worship (>40 seats)	3	С	adopted local planning policy staff shall either refuse t		•
Day Care Centre	2	В	application, or where there is significant merit to relax		
Private Recreation	2	В			
Club Premises	2	В	 provisions of such a policy the item will be presented Council for consideration. 		Joenneu lu
Hospital (<18 beds)	2	В			1.0
Hospital (>18 beds)	3	С	* Where an application is required to be referred to the Gre		
Medical Clinic	2	В	Southern Joint Development Assessment Panel, Cour		
Museum	2	B and staff do not have delegation to make a decision (ie		ecision (ie.	
Restricted Premises	3	С	applications over \$7 million in value,	or whe	ere valued
			between \$3 million and \$7 million a		
					(4) (4) (5) (5) (5)
			chooses to make application via the DAP).	

APPLICATION FLOW CHART

